Occupational Exposures to Blood and Body Fluids among Healthcare Personnel

Filiz Bayar¹, R Erkal Ozkan¹, Dilek Tas²

ABSTRACT

Objectives and Aims: The sharp object injury and occupational exposures to blood and body fluids have kept to be a serious problem for the healthcare personnel (HCP), especially in the developing countries. The aim of this study was to evaluate the occupational exposures to blood and body fluids among HCP in Usak State Hospital and determine the measures for reduction of occupational exposures.

Materials and Methods: We evaluated 261 cases of occupational exposures to blood and body fluids among HCP during the period July 2011-October 2015 in Usak State Hospital by examining the records of Infection Control Committee (ICC).

Results: Total 261 HCP applied to ICC for the occupational exposures to blood and body fluids, and 196 (75.1%) persons of them were women, while 65 (24.9%) persons of them were men. The trainees were the occupational group which was mostly exposed to blood and body fluids with 48.3% among occupational groups, while the most cases of occupational exposures to blood and body fluids were reported by internal medical units with 31.0%. Most of the injuries (94.6%) were percutaneous, and the needlestick injury was the type of incident most frequently reported by HCP (91.6%). Nearly half of the HCP (54.4%) exposed to blood and body fluids reported that they wore gloves during the injuries, and the sources were known in 68.2% of all cases. Hepatitis B (HB) surface antigen was found to be positive in 10.7% of these patients; hepatitis C virus (HCV) was found to be positive in 3.9% of the patients; and anti-human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) was found to be positive in 0.6% of the patients. On the other hand, anti-HBs was found to be positive in 94.3 of the HCP, but none of the anti-HCV and anti-HIV positiveness were found after the exposure. 15 (5.7%) HCP with negative anti-HBs were included in vaccine program, and antiretroviral prophylaxis was applied to one HCP, which contacted with a person having positive anti-HIV. No seroconversion was detected in the HCP after a 6 month-regular screenings.

Conclusions: Occupational exposures to blood and body fluids have still been a serious problem for HCP. All the HCP and trainees should be trained about the occupational exposure, protection, and post-exposure procedures regularly to decrease the frequency of occupational exposures to blood and body fluids. **KEY WORDS:** Occupational exposures, safeguard measures, healthcare personnel.

Introduction

The exposure to the blood-borne pathogens poses a serious risk for the healthcare personnel (HCP). These pathogens are generally transmitted to the HCP by percutaneous or mucosal contact with the blood and body fluids of the infected patients. The transmission of the pathogens may happen by



the injuries with a needle and other sharp objects, direct inoculation of the viruses through bruise skin, stretch marks, and lesions or splash to the mucosal areas such as eve, nose, and mouth.^[1,2] At least 20 pathogens transmitted by sharp object injuries (SOIs) have been reported, and the crucial ones from these pathogens carrying transmission risk are hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).^[2] The World Health Organization projected that 3 million persons out of 35 million persons were exposed to blood-borne pathogens through the injuries in every year in the world. About 66000 HBV, 16000 HCV, and 200-5000 HIV infections are expected to emerge among HCP in every year as a result of these injuries.^[3] The HIV contamination risk after needlestick contaminated

¹Dr, Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Usak State Hospital, Usak, Turkey, ²Nurse, Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Infection Control Committee Infectious, Usak State Hospital, Usak, Turkey Address for correspondence: Filip Bayar, Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Usak State Hospital, Usak, Turkey, Phone: ±005057123235

Filiz Bayar, Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Usak State Hospital, Usak, Turkey. Phone: +905057123235. E-mail: drfiizsurucu(S)hotmail.com by blood infected with HIV and mucosa exposure is, respectively, 0.3% and 0.09%. HBV infection risk after only one parenteral exposure to the patient with positive hepatitis B surface antigen (HbsAg) is 6% if Hepatitis B e-antigen (HBeAg) is negative and may reach 40% if HBeAg is positive. HCV seroconversion incidence after injury from a positive HCV source is on average 1.8% (0-7%).^[4] The evaluation and treatment of this kind of incidents cause financial burden and workforce loss. This kind of exposure also affects the life quality of the HCP and leads anxiety for their family and colleagues.^[5,6] Furthermore, occupational hazard affects not only HCP but also the quality medical service.^[7]

The aim of this study is to analyze the SOIs and the occupational exposures to blood and body fluids among HCP of Usak State Hospital during the period July 2011-October 2015 and determine the measures for reduction of occupational exposures.

Materials and Methods

In this study, we examined the SOIs and mucosal exposures of infected blood and body fluids among the HCP during the period July 2011-October 2015 in Usak State Hospital. Total 261 HCP applied to the ICC for this kind of injury, and no HCPs had experienced repeated occupational exposures. The Usak State Hospital has inpatient bed availability for 800 persons and has 1140 HCP except administrative personnel, 274 hospital cleaning staff, and 845 trainees as of December 2015. We examined the forms retrospectively filled by the HCP, which applied to the ICC after the injury or occupational exposures to blood and body fluids. These forms include demographic information, occupational group, working unit of HCP, type of equipment causing the injury, time of injury, use of protective equipment during occupational exposure, serology of HCP and patient and follow-up information. First, we tested the normality by Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests and found that they did not exhibit a normal distribution. Therefore, we used Kruskal–Wallis test, which is a non-parametric method, for the evaluation of group differences. We used Pearson Chi-square test because our data is categorical. We choose 99% as confidence interval in the study and benefited from SPSS 20.0 software package for the statistical analysis.

Results

Total 261 HCP applied to the ICC for the SOIs and occupational exposures to blood and body

fluids. 196 (75.1%) of the 261 HCP were women, and 65 (24.9%) of them were men. The trainees including students from a medical career college and high school of nursing were the occupational group which was mostly exposed to blood and body fluids with 48.3% among occupational groups; then, nurses applied to the ICC for this kind of injury with 31.8%. On the other hand, hospital cleaning staff, allied health personnel including anesthesia technician. laboratory technician, emergency medical technician, radiology technician, and physicians were relatively less exposed to the sharp object injuries and occupational exposures to blood and body fluids and the share of these occupational groups as a percentage of 261 applications, respectively, were 14.5%, 4.6%, and 0.8% (Table 1).

94.7% of all the reported injuries were percutaneous. The tools causing percutaneous injuries were needlestick with 91.6%, scalpel with 1.9%, broken glass with 0.8%, and scissors with 0.4%. The contaminated body parts and their ration as a percent of 261 cases, respectively, were hand with 88.9%, leg with 3.8%, eye with 3.8%, arm with 1.9%, foot with 1.2%, and abdomen with 0.4%. We found that all the injured HCP wore work uniforms such as apron and forma as protective equipment but only 54.4% of the injured HCP pulled on gloves (Table 2). We also analyzed the relationship among the use of glove as protective equipment, gender, time of injury, type of occupation, and working service and found that women were more effective in the use of gloves as protective equipment relative to the men (P = 0.000). On the other hand, protective equipment were found to be used more within working hours relative to off-hours (P = 0.026). Laboratory technicians, emergency medical technicians, and anesthesia technicians were the occupational groups which used the protective equipment mostly among the HCP, while physicians, nurses, and trainees were the occupational groups which used the protective equipment least (P = 0.017). Finally, we found that protective equipment were used mostly in surgery rooms and services of oncology and gynecology, while protective equipment were used least in the services of orthopedics, neurology, and otorhinolaryngology (P = 0.000).

The internal medical units were in the lead with 31.0% among the clinics which reported the cases of SOIs, and occupational exposures to blood and body fluids were followed by surgical units with 16.9%,

Table 1: Study participants characteristics	
Variables	n (%)
Gender	
Male	65(24.9)
Female	196 (75.1)
Occupation	
Physician	2 (0.8)
Nurse	83 (31.8)
Anesthesia technician	5 (1.9)
Laboratory technician	4 (1.5)
Emergency medical technician	2 (0.8)
Radiology technician	1 (0.4)
Trainees	126 (48.3)
Hospital cleaning staff	38 (14.5)
Total	271 (100)

n: Number of individuals

Table 2: Type of injury, use of protective equipment and injured region					
Type of injury/ equipment	n (%)	Injured region	n (%)		
Splash and spill	10 (3.8)	Hand	232 (8.89)		
Dermal exposure	4 (1.5)	Leg	10 (3.8)		
Needle stick	239 (91.6)	Arm	5 (1.9)		
Scalpel	5 (1.9)	Foot	3 (1.2)		
Broken glass	2 (0.8)	Abdomen	1 (0.4)		
Scissors	1 (0.4)	Eye	10 (3.8)		
Use of glove as protective equipment					
Yes	142 (54.4)				
No	119 (45.6)				

n: Number of individuals

blood collection unit with 14.6%, and intensive care units with 14.2% (Table 3).

The source was known in 68.2% of all the cases. HBsAg was found to be positive in 10.7%; anti-HCV was found to be positive in 3.9%, and anti-HIV was found to be positive in 0.6% of these patients. On the other hand, anti-HBs was positive in 94.3% of the injured HCP, but no positiveness of anti-HCV and anti-HIV were seen (Table 4). All the personnel contacted with the source having positive HbsAg were immune to HBV. 15 (5.7%) HCP with negative anti-HBS were subjected to the vaccine program. On the other hand, antiretroviral

Table 3: Distribution of HCP exposing to blood and body fluids in terms of work location						
Injury location	n (%)	Injury location	n (%)			
Internal medical units	81 (31.0)	Surgical units	44 (16.9)			
Internal diseases	43 (16.5)	General surgery	15 (5.7)			
Chest diseases	14(5.4)	Gynecology	10 (3.8)			
Cardiology	7 (2.7)	Cardiovascular surgery	5 (1.9)			
Infectious diseases	5 (1.9)	Urology	4 (1.5)			
Pediatrics	3 (1.1)	Plastics	3 (1.1)			
Oncology	2 (0.8)	Interventional radiology	3 (1.1)			
Neurology	2 (0.8)	Orthopedics	2 (0.8)			
Psychiatry	2 (0.8)	Otolaryngology	2 (0.8)			
Physical therapy and rehabilitation	1 (04.)	Surgery room	23 (8.8)			
Palliative care	2 (0.8)	Laboratory	5(1.9)			
Intensive care	37 (14.2)	Other units	4 (1.5)			
Blood collection unit	38 (14.6)	Injection	2 (0.8)			
Emergency service	29 (11.1)	Laundry	2 (0.8)			

n: Number of individuals, HCP: Healthcare personnel

Table 4: Serology of source and injured HCP						
Source	n (%)					
	Positive	Negative	Unknown	Total		
HBsAg	19 (7.3)	159 (60.9)	83 (31.8)	261 (100)		
Anti-HCV	7 (2.7)	171 (65.5)	83 (31.8)	261 (100)		
Anti-HIV	1(0.4)	177 (67.8)	83 (31.8)	261 (100)		
HCP						
HBsAg	-	261 (100)	-	261 (100)		
Anti-HBs	246 (94.3)	15 (5.7)	-	261 (100)		
Anti-HCV	-	261 (100)	-	261 (100)		
Anti-HIV	-	261 (100)	-	261 (100)		

n: Number of individuals, HCP: Healthcare personnel,

HBsAg: Hepatitis B surface antigen, HCV: Hepatitis C virus, HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus

HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus

(tenofovir+emtrisitabin) prophylaxis was applied to one HCP contacted with a source having positive anti-HIV during a 4-week period. Furthermore, 7 HCP having contact with positive anti-HCV source were taken under review, and no positiveness of HBsAg, anti-HCV, and anti-HIV were detected in any HCP after 6 months follow-up.

Discussion

The mucosal contact with blood and body fluids and SOIs pose an important source of risk for HCP. 2.5% of HIV cases and 40% of hepatitis B and C cases among HCP in the world emerge due to occupational exposures.^[8] We conduct medical inspections of HBsAg, anti-HBS, anti-HCV, and anti-HIV for the new hired HCPs, and the non-immunited HCPs are included hepatitis B vaccination. Furthermore, training about blood and body fluid-borne infections and safeguard measures is given to the new hired HCPs during orientation training, and this training is done again a year.

In this study, 261 HCP applied to the ICC owing to SOIs and occupational exposures to blood and body fluids. 79% of all the HCP in Turkey experiences an SOI, at least, one time during their working life.^[9] Furthermore, the nurses have been found to be the occupational group which is most exposed to injuries by extensive studies in the literature.^[5,6,10-12] However, some studies also have found that hospital cleaning staff is the occupational group which is the most frequently exposed to the SOIs and occupational exposures to blood and body fluids.^[13,14] We found that trainees were mostly exposed to the SOIs and occupational exposures to blood and body fluids as distinct from the literature. 845 students undergo training in the Usak State Hospital as of December 2015, although their number varies depending on the school periods. The high injury ratio among the trainees may be arisen from a higher number of trainees relative to the other occupational groups, being inexperienced and educational problems. Furthermore, we think that the trainees implement invasive procedures such as blood collection, establishing vascular access, injection, and measurement of blood sugar in the services more. Finally, the occupational group with lowest injury ratio was physicians with 0.8%.

Following the protective measures and statements of these kinds of cases still have stayed at insufficient levels, although there have been extensive written procedures and handbooks about the SOIs and occupational exposures to blood and body fluids. One study in the literature suggests that only 14% of occupational exposures apply for prophylaxis and follow-up visits.^[15] We think that HCP, especially the physicians, do not report the occupational exposures which they evaluate riskless. In another study, the physicians did not regard the relevant training among the occupational groups, and 20% of the physicians did not participate to the training.^[16] Therefore, we think that the low ratio of occupational exposures among the physicians may be arisen from their not giving statement about the exposures considering the relevant findings in the literature. On the other hand, all physicians who reported the occupational exposures were from surgical units and our this result is consistent with the results of the other studies in the literature.^[11,15,17]

The most cases of SOIs and occupational exposures were seen in the internal medical units, and also, there have been some studies which reached the same result in the literature.^[11,18] However, many studies found that there have been relatively more SOIs and occupational exposures in the surgical services,^[9,10,19-21] and this result was explained by more uses of sharp objects and more direct contacts with the patients in the surgical services.

Use of disposable medical supplies and blood collection by vacuum tubes and throw of sharp objects into the solid boxes contribute to the significant decreases in the SOIs. However, cases of SOIs have been experienced frequently despite to these countermeasures and needlestick has still the most common tool causing the injuries. We found that the most of the injuries in our study were caused by needlestick similarly with the results in the literature.^[5,9,10,16,18,19,21]

Use of gloves by the HCP, which has been exposed to blood and body fluids changes between 52% and 75.7% according to the studies in the literature.^[11-13,17-19,22] We found that the ratio of glove usage by the injured HCP was 54.4%. This finding shows that the HCP should be more sensitive about obeying the standard measures. Taking the protective measures will decrease the risk of bloodborne infection by preventing the contact with blood and body. The standard measures were suggested by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in 1982, and a new guidebook about occupational exposures was issued in the coming years. In this regard, obeying the standard measures should be required by considering all the patients and blood samples being infected.^[23]

The patient on which was used the material causing the injury was unknown in the 29-46.7% of the percutaneous injuries.^[10-12,17,20] In our study, the ratio of injury by the material with the unknown source was about same with results of the relevant studies in the literature.

The ratio of HBV carriers in Turkey is between 2% and 10% and is located among intermediate endemicity regions. This ratio is 1.5-2 times more among the HCP.^[24] In this case, vaccination of the HCP, a risky group in terms of occupational exposure, exhibits importance. 5.7% of the injured HCP was found to be negative, and no positiveness of HbsAg was detected in this study. No prophylaxis against HBV was required, or no HCP was required to be treated after contact with HBV carrier source.

Transmission rates of HIV and HCV are low, and the injured HCP should be monitored. Taking and the following standard is important because it is not possible to protect against the prevention of transmission by the vaccine. It is projected that 0.5% of the HCP meets with HIV as a result of occupational exposure and 1000 new HIV infections emerge in every year in the world.^[25] The seroprevalence of HCV is about 0.5-2% in the world, while the seroprevalence of HCV is about 1.6% in HCP and 0.3-0.5% in blood donors.^[26] In this study, 7 HCP which contacted with positive anti-HCV source were monitored during 6 months. Furthermore, antiretroviral prophylaxis was applied to one HCP having contact with positive anti-HIV source during the 4-week period, and no positiveness of HbsAg, anti-HCV, and anti-HIV were detected during the follow-ups.

Conclusion

We examined 261 cases of occupational exposures to blood and body fluids among HCP during the period July 2011-October 2015 in the Usak State Hospital. The results indicated that the occupational exposures to blood and body fluids have still been a serious problem for the HCP. However, it is seen that there have been the existence of unvaccinated HCP, insufficient usage level of protective equipment, and low statement level by the physicians with SOIs or exposed to blood and body fluids. All the HCP and trainees should be trained regularly about nosocomial infections, standard procedures, blood-borne infections, statement of the injury, and prophylaxis post injury to reduce the level of occupational exposure.

References

 Trim JC, Adams D, Elliott TS. Healthcare workers' knowledge of inoculation injuries and glove use. Br J Nurs 2003;12:215-21.

- 2. Beltrami EM, Williams IT, Shapiro CN, Chamberland ME. Risk and management of blood-borne infections in health care workers. Clin Microbiol Rev 2000;13:385-407.
- 3. Pruss-Ustuin A, Rapiti E, Hutin Y. Sharps Injuries: Global Burden of Disease from Sharps Injuries to Healthcare Workers. WHO Environmental Burden of Disease Series No. 3. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003.
- 4. Varghese GM, Abraham OC, Mathai D. Post-exposure prophylaxis for blood borne viral infections in healthcare workers. Postgrad Med J 2003;79:324-8.
- Sabbah I, Sabbah H, Sabbah S, Akoum H, Droubi N. Occupational exposures to blood and body fluids (BBF): Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice among health care workers in general hospitals in Lebanon. Health 2013;5:70-8.
- 6. Markovic-Denic L, Brankovic M, Maksimovic N, Jovanovic B, Petrovic I, Simic M, *et al.* Occupational exposures to blood and body fluids among health care workers at university hospitals. Srp Arh Celok Lek 2013;141:789-93.
- 7. Yenesew MA, Fekadu GA. Occupational exposure to blood and body fluids among health care professionals in bahir dar town, northwest ethiopia. Saf Health Work 2014;5:17-22.
- 8. Shokuhi Sh, Gachkar L, Alavi-Darazam I, Yuhanaee P, Sajadi M. Occupational exposure to blood and body fluids among health care workers in teaching hospitals in Tehran, Iran. Iran Red Crescent Med J 2012;14:402-7.
- Altiok M, Kuyurtar F, Karaçorlu S, Ersöz G, Erdoğan S. Healthcare workers experiences with sharps and needlestick injuries and precautions they took when injuring. EJ Nurs Sci Art 2009;2:70-9.
- Bozkurt S, Kökoğlu ÖF, Yanıt F, Kocahasanoğlu U, Okumuş M, Sucaklı MH, et al. Needle sticks and injuries due to surgical instruments in health care providers. Dicle Med J 2013;40:449-52.
- 11. Karadeniz A, Yeşilbağ Z, Alaşehir EA, Gürkan S. The evaluation of injuries related to blood and body fluids among healthcare providers. Maltepe Med J 2015;7:1-4.
- Kaya Ş, Baysal B, Eşkazan AE, Çolak H. Evaluation of sharp objects injuries in the health care personnel working in the diyarbakir training and research hospital. Viral Hepat J 2012;18:107-10.
- Merih YD, Kocabey MY, Çırpı F, Bolca Z, Celayir AR. Epidemiology and preventive measurements of sharp injuries in a state hospital during the last three years. Med Bull Zeynep Kamil 2009;40:11-5.
- Aydin F, Canturk EK, Kuzucu C, Parmaksiz N, Celik T, Yetkin F, et al. Evaluation of percutaneous injuries of health care workers; eigth years of data analysis. J Turgut Ozal Med Cent 2015;22:231-4.
- Erol S, Özkurt Z, Ertek M, Kadanalı A, Taşyaran MA. Occupational exposure of healthcare workers to blood and body fluids. Turk J Hosp Infect 2005;9:101-6.
- Karabay O, Kaya G. The effect of training on the notification of sharp instrument injuries. Mediterr J Infect Microb Antimicrob 2014;2014:3-21.
- 17. Turan H, Togan T. The evaluation of injuries related to blood and body fluids among healthcare workers. Klimik Journal 013;26:98-101.
- Ünsal G, Erbay A, Demir MI, Kader Ç. Evaluation of exposures related to blood and body fluids in Bozok University Hospital healthcare workers. Gazi Medical Journal 2015;26:130-2.
- Kişioğlu AN, Öztürk M, Uskun E, Kırbıyık S. IN a medical hospital's health workers incisive and perforating injury epidemiologies and prevention behaviours and attitudes.

Turk Klin J Med Sci 2002;22:390-6.

- Yılmaz GR, Güven T, Bekgöz AG, Öztürk GT, Güner R, Tufan ZK, et al. Sharp instrument injuries and exposure to blood and bodily fluids of health care workers in a tertiary care facility. Flora 2014;19:85-90.
- 21. Wicker S, Jung J, Allwinn R, Gottschalk R, Rabenau HF. Prevalence and prevention of needlestick injuries among health care workers in a German university hospital. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 2008;81:347-54.
- Beltrami EM, McArthur MA, McGeer A, Armstrong-Evans M, Lyons D, Chamberland ME, et al. The nature and frequency of blood contacts among home healthcare workers. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2000;21:765-70.
- Central for Disease Control and Prevention (2008) Workbook for Designing Implementing and Evaluating a Sharps Injury Prevention Program. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/ sharpssafety/pdf/sharpsworkbook_2008.pdf. [Last accessed on 2015 Sep 12].
- Dogan Y, Koc I, Dogan S, Dogan HK, Kaya A, Ceylan MR. Seroprevalence of HBV, HCV and HIV among healthcare workers in a secondary care hospital. Medical Journal of the Mustafa Kemal University 2015;6:14-8.

- 25. Hamlyn E, Easterbrook P. Occupational exposure to HIV and the use of postexposure prophylaxis. Occup Med (Lond) 2007;57:329-36.
- 26. Boşnak VK, Karaoğlan İ, Namıduru M, Şahin A. Seroprevalences of HBV, HCV, HIV of the healthcare workers in the Gaziantep University Research and Training Hospital Viral Hepatitis Journal 2013;19:11-4.

Financial Support: None; Conflict of Interest: None

How to cite this article: Bayar F, Ozkan RE, Tas D. Occupational exposures to blood and body fluids among healthcare personnel. J Med Sci Health 2016;2(2):13-18.

Date of submission: 31-01-2016 Date of peer review: 10-02-2016 Date of acceptance: 14-03-2016 Date of publication: 10-06-2016