# Nurick Grades and Quality of Life Outcome in Cervical and Lumbar Spine Pathologies: A Cross-Sectional Study

Michael Promise Ogolodom<sup>1</sup>, Anthony Chukwuka Ugwu<sup>2</sup>, Christopher O Akosile<sup>3</sup>, Hyacienth Uche Chiegwu<sup>2</sup>, Awajimijan Nathaniel Mbaba<sup>4</sup>, Joseph C Eze<sup>2</sup>, Daniel C Ugwuanyi<sup>2</sup>, Robert O Akhigbe<sup>5</sup>, Oluwafemi Olumide Egbeyemi<sup>6</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Background: It is not known to what extent differences in radiological findings of individuals with spinal pathologies may be associated with their quality of life (QOL) and the degree of disabilities. Objective: This study aimed at determining if QoL and degree of disabilities differs in individuals with cervical and lumbar spine pathology with different magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings. Methods: This cross-sectional study of 185 purposively sampled patients was carried out at Radiological facilities in Rivers State, Nigeria. Magnetic resonance images were assessed for pathological findings, Nurick grade scales and Short Form Health survey (SF-36) QoL questionnaire were used to assess participant's disability grade and QoL respectively. **Results:** Majority of the participants with cervical (n=49, 64%) and lumbar (n=86, 79.8%) spine pathologies were males. Degenerative spine pathology was the most common in both the cervical (75%) and lumbar (80.7%) spine regions. The patients with cervical (n=30, 39.5%)and lumbar (n=56, 50.5%) spine pathologies had Nurick grade I and Nurick grade II respectively. There were statistically significant differences in the physical functioning domain (k=12.27, p=0.03) and role limitations due to emotional problem domain (k = 13.03, p = 0.02) among individuals with different Nurick grades. **Conclusion:** Males were commonly affected by the spinal pathologies. Degenerative spinal pathology was the most common in both the cervical and lumbar spine regions. The most prevalent degree of disabilities associated with cervical and/or lumbar spine pathologies was Nurick grade I and II respectively.

KEY WORDS: Disabilities, Pathology, Quality of life.

## Introduction

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 

Imaging of the spine plays an ever increasingly essential role in the diagnosis and treatment of cervical and lumbar spine disorders. The diagnostic approach for the evaluation of spinal pathologies should take into account the clinical manifestations



of the conditions and the associated quality of life of the individual<sup>[1]</sup>, because according to recent evidence, treatment pattern, which depends on the diagnosis, is associated with improved function and quality of life<sup>[2]</sup>.

Quality of life is rapidly becoming a standard for evaluating outcomes in clinical trials, cost effectiveness analysis and clinical practice<sup>[3,4]</sup>. Pathologies of the lumbar and cervical spine can affect the QoL of the sufferer. Previous studies reported that by 7<sup>th</sup> decade, prevalence of spinal pathologies would have reached 95% in many individuals, and this increased the dependence rate and also decrease the quality of life (QoL) in older population<sup>[5,6]</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiography, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria, <sup>2</sup>Department of Radiography and Radiological Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences and Technology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nnewi Campus, Nigeria, <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Rehabilitation, Faculty of Health Sciences and Technology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nnewi Campus, Nigeria, <sup>4</sup>Department of Radiology, Rivers State University Teaching Hospital Port Harcourt, Nigeria, <sup>5</sup>Department of Radiography and Radiation Sciences, Lead City University, Ibadan, Nigeria, <sup>6</sup>City Gate Health Diagnostic Services, Ogun State, Nigeria

### Address for correspondence:

Michael Promise Ogolodom, Department of Radiography, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria. E-mail: michael.ogolodom@ust.edu.ng

The quality of life (QoL) outcome assessments are based on a number of clinician-scored grading scales. The oldest of them is the Nurick scores, which consist of five explanations of the effects of the conditions and is scored from 0 to 5, representing the degree of incapacitation of the affected individual.

Nonetheless, it is not known to what extent differences in radiological findings of individuals with cervical and lumbar spine pathologies may be associated with their quality of life and degree of disabilities. Therefore, this study was aimed at determining if the degree of disabilities and QoL outcome of individuals with cervical and lumbar spine pathologies differs with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings.

# **Materials & Methods**

This cross-sectional study of 185 purposively sampled patients with complaints of neck and back pains was carried out at Radiological facilities in Rivers State, Nigeria from February 2020 to November 2020. The inclusion criteria include patients with properly filled request forms and clinical indications. The patients were informed about the purpose of this study and their consent was duly sought and obtained. The nature of their participation was entirely voluntary. Ethical approval (UPTH/ADM/90/SII/VOL.XL/897) was obtained from the Human Research and Ethic committee of University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, River State, Nigeria. Permission for this study was also obtained from the study centers. A total sample size of 185 patients included in this study was derived from the formula of unknown population given below

 $n = Z\alpha^2 pq$ 

 $\mathrm{d}^2$ 

Where,

n = Expected sample size

 $Z\alpha$  = significant level usually set at 95% confidence level,  $Z\alpha$  is 1.96 (two sided).

p = proportion of the population with similar attributes under study = 50% (0.5).

d = Margin of error tolerated or absolute error = 7.2% (0.072)

q = 1-p = 1-0.5 = 0.5 n =  $(1.96)^2 \ge 0.5 (1-0.5)/(0.072)^2$ n = 185

The purpose of the study was adequately explained to the participants and their consent was obtained. The voluntary nature of participation and their liberty to withdraw their informed consent were maintained. All retrieved data about the participants were treated with high level of confidentiality and used for the purpose of this study only. Only participants who were able to complete the quality of life questionnaires by themselves or with the help of others and had complete identification information were included in this study.

The spinal MRI investigations were performed using open type MRI machines (Brivo MR235, General Electric, and Siemens Magnetom C) with 0.35 Tesla using (medium and large neck spine array volume coils) and (planar surface and multi-coil phased array) for cervical and lumbar spine respectively. The patients were examined lying supine with head and neck in a neutral position for cervical spine while the body in a neutral position for lumbar spine. The scanning parameters include: Fast spinecho sequence was used to obtain T1 and T2 weighted images in axial and sagittal planes. Coronal images for T1W and T2W and Short Tau Inversion Recovery (STIR) sequences were also acquired for adequate assessment of the spine. The imaging parameters were: T1W sagittal image: TR/TE= 337-495/15-19, Field of view (FOV)= 240mm-260mm, slice thickness = 4-5mm, Flip angle =  $90^{\circ}$ , matrix size =  $206 \times 256$  and number of excitation (Nex)= 3-4, and T2W sagittal image: TR/TE = 3200-4700/110-125, FOV = 240-260 mm, slice thickness = 4-5 mm, Nex = 3-4 and Flip angle =  $90^{\circ}$ . Axial image T2W: TR/TE = 2221-3400/120-125, FOV = 240 x 240mm, slice thickness =4-5mm. Nex= 3-4 and Flip angle  $= 90^{\circ}$ . The acquired images were interpreted by the researcher and two consultant radiologists with more than three years of experience in MRI spine reporting.

The patients were evaluated for degree of disabilities using the Nurick grading classifications:

0:Signs or symptoms of root involvement but without evidence of spinal cord disease.

1:Signs or symptoms of spinal cord disease but no difficulty in walking.

2:Slight difficulty in walking but does not prevent full-time employment.

3:Difficulty in walking which prevented full-time employment or ability to do all household work but which was not enough to require somebody's help to walk.

4:Able to walk only with someone else's help or with aid of a frame.

5:Chair bound or bedridden<sup>[7]</sup>.

The assessment of the participant's quality of life (QoL) was done using generic Short Form-36 (SF-36). The SF-36 is a comprehensive scale for the measurement of quality of life (non-health-related and healthrelated) through self-administered questionnaires and made up of 8 subscales consisting of Physical function (PF), Role function (RF), Bodily pain(BP), General health(GH). Vitality(VT). Social function (SF), Role emotion (RE) and Mental health (MH)<sup>[3,8]</sup>. Patients who indicated interest in participating in this study were ushered to a private seating area and were informed orally and in writing about the patterns of the study, and that their participation would involve filling out a questionnaire and providing answers to some questions. Each patient filled in the SF-36 questionnaire based on their quality of life as at the time of administering the questionnaire and interview. The Radiographers, Nurses and patient's relatives who were involved in this study were adequately informed about the study and their consent and support were duly sought. Data such as gender, age group, spinal pathologies, degree of disabilities associated with spinal pathologies, and QoL outcome responses were collected using a data capture sheet and analyzed using descriptive statistics (mean standard deviation, tables, frequency, percentages and bar chart). Inferential statistics such as Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests and data processing and analysis were done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20(SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL USA). A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# **Results**

Out of 185 participants in this study, the greater number 58.9% (n= 109) had lumbar spine patholo-

gies while those with cervical spine pathologies accounted for 40.1% (n=76). Most of the participants with cervical 64% (n= 49) and lumbar 79.8% (n= 86) spine pathologies were males. The majority of the participants were within the age range of 20-39 years 41 %(n=31) and 40-49 years 48.6% (n=53) for cervical and lumbar spine pathologies respectively (Table 1).

From Figure 1, most of the participants had degenerative spinal abnormalities, which were 75% (n=57) and 80.7% (n=88) for cervical and lumbar spine regions respectively.

The results of the degree of disabilities associated with spinal pathologies in Figures 2 and 3 respectively, revealed that Nurick grade I 39.5% (n=30) was the most common among the participants with cervical spine pathologies while Nurick grade II 50.5% (n=56) was the most common score among individuals with lumbar spine pathologies. The lowest scores were Nurick grade IV 10.6% (n=5) and Nurick grade 0 1.8% (n=2) in individuals with cervical and lumbar spine pathologies respectively (Figures 2 and 3).

The QoL scores of the participants with different age groups were evaluated and the results revealed that in the physical functioning domain, age group < 20years and  $\geq$  60 years had the highest (155.50) and lowest (117.63) mean rank scores respectively. In the physical component summary, < 20 years and  $\geq$  60 years had the highest (169.67) and lowest (115.72) mean rank scores respectively, while in the mental component summary,  $\geq$  60 years and < 20 years had the highest (130.40) and lowest (111.94) mean rank scores. There was no significant difference in the QoL domains, components and total QoL in participants with different age group (p > 0.05) (Table 2).

The results of Kruskal-Wall's test mean rank values for some of the QoL domains in the participants with different Nurick grade scales and their level of statistical significance were; PF (0=121.74, I=141.66, II=113.15, III=109.57, IV=92.28, V= 123.40, k=12.27 and p=0.03), VT(0=128.52, I=117.02, II=112.75, III= 128.77, IV=148.92, V= 146.70, k = 5.67 and p= 0.034) and SF(0=118.09, I= 122.24, II= 121.93, III=130.03, IV = 94.81, V= 151.60, k= 4.30 and p=0.51). There were statistically significant differences in the physical functioning domain (k=12.27, p = 0.03), total quality of life (k= 11.63, p = 0.04) and role limitations due to emotional problem domain (k= 13.03, p = 0.02) among participants with different Nurick grade scales. Participants with Nurick grade scales of 0(132.65) and IV (71.61) had significantly highest and lowest mean rank scores in the physical component summary with significant differences (k= 12.75, p= 0.03) [Table 3].

The differences in the QoL of the participants with different durations of pathology was evaluated and the results, showed that in the physical functioning domain, those with < 6 months and 1-2 years durations had the highest (123.67) and lowest (107.94) mean rank scores respectively. There was no significant difference in the QoL of the participants with different durations of pathology in the physical functioning domain (k = 1.18 and p = 0.76). Participants with 6 months to 1 year and 2-3 years durations of pathology had the highest (130.02) and lowest (88.96) mean rank scores respectively in the physical component summary, and there was no statistically significant difference in the QoL of the participants with different durations of pathology in the physical summary component (k = 7.81 and p =0.06). Generally, there was no statistically significant difference in any of the OoL domains, components and total QoL among the participants with different duration of pathologies (p > 0.05)(Table 4).

 Table 1: Frequency and percentage distributions of the demographic variables of the participants

Demographic	Regions of	the spinal	Total
variables	Cervical n	Lumber n	
	(%)	(%)	
Gender			
Male	49 (64%)	86 (79.8)	135
			(73.5%)
Female	27 (36%)	22 (20.2)	50 (26.5%)
Total	76 (100%)	109 (100)	185(100%)
Age group			
< 20	12 (16%)	610(9.2)	22(25.2%)
20 - 39	31(41%)	27(24.8)	58(65.8%)
40 - 59	18(21%)	53 (48.6)	71(70.6%)
60 years	15(19%)	18(17.4)	33(36.4%)
and above			
Total	76(100%)	109(100%)	185(100)

#### Discussion

Most of the participants with either cervical or lumbar spine pathologies in this study were males. This finding is in harmony with the findings of the



Figure 1: Frequency and percentage distribution of the classified spinal pathologies



Figure 2: Chart showing Frequency distribution of degree of disabilities based on Nurick grades scores



Figure 3: Chart showing Frequency distribution of degree of disabilities based on Nurick grades scores

studies conducted by Dallbayrak et al<sup>[9]</sup>; Harkema et al<sup>[10]</sup>; Laxton and Perrin<sup>[11]</sup>, Maaji et al<sup>[12]</sup>; Motter et al<sup>[13]</sup>; Mustapha et al<sup>[5]</sup>, Olarinye-Akorede et al<sup>[6]</sup>; RoseBist et al<sup>[14]</sup>, Ogolodom et al<sup>[15]</sup>, which also reported more males than their female counterparts. The male preponderance identified in this study could be ascribed to the fact that males constituted the greater proportion of the workforce in most societies and are mostly exposed to strenuous jobs,

Quality of life scores	Class	Mean Rank	K	Р
	<20 years	155.50	2.58	0.46
Physical functioning Domain	20-39 years	123.45		
i nysicai functioning Domain	40-59 years	118.38		
	$\geq 60$ years	117.63		
	<20 years	125.83	2.41	0.49
Pole limitation due to Physical health	20-39 years	128.45		
Kole minitation due to i nysical nearth	40-59 years	114.39		
	$\geq 60$ years	125.56		
	<20 years	109.50	1.94	0.59
Mental health	20-39 years	120.10		
	40-59 years	119.45		
	$\geq 60$ years	134.97		
	<20 years	139.83	2.60	0.46
Vitality	20-39 years	126.99		
Vitality	40-59 years	114.13		
	$\geq 60$ years	126.51		
	<20 years	114.22	2.42	0.49
Role limitation due to Emotional Problem	20-39 years	126.99		
Kole minitation due to Emotional Problem	40-59 years	115.09		
	$\geq 60$ years	133.87		
	<20 years	117.50	0.85	0.84
Social functioning	20-39 years	126.60		
Social functioning	40-59 years	117.69		
	$\geq 60$ years	121.79		
	<20 years	170.44	6.44	0.09
Pain	20-39 years	120.86		
1 4111	40-59 years	122.51		
	$\geq 60$ years	106.90		
	<20 years	139.00	1.67	0.64
Ceneral health	20-39 years	114.79		
	40-59 years	124.68		
	$\geq 60$ years	123.84		
	<20 years	169.67	4.77	0.19
Physical component Summary score	20-39 years	122.93		
r nyolour component e unimury score	40-59 years	118.23		
	$\geq 60$ years	115.72		
	<20 years	111.94	3.49	0.32
Mental component Summary score	20-39 years	129.60		
Montal component summary score	40-59 years	113.13		
	$\geq 60$ years	130.40		
Total quality of life	<20 years	156.56	5.29	0.15
	20-39 years	127.24		
	40-59 years	111.91		
	$\geq 60$ years	128.66		

which predisposes them to spinal pathologies<sup>[15]</sup>. Contrary to our finding, Babinska et al<sup>[16]</sup>, Miyagishima et al<sup>[17]</sup>, Nikjooy et al<sup>[18]</sup> and Shalaby et al<sup>[19]</sup>, reported high female preponderance. The differences identified in the finding of this study and that of the previous researchers, could be attributed to the different sample sizes studied, the nature and purposes of the various studies.

The age group commonly affected with spinal pathologies was evaluated and the results revealed that majority of the individuals with cervical spine pathologies were mostly found within the age group of 20-39 years while those with lumbar spine pathologies were more in the age group of 40-59 years. This finding is in agreement with the findings of the studies conducted by Ogolodom et al<sup>[15]</sup>. Our findings could be ascribed to the fact that people in these age range are the most active parts of every society and are usually involved in vigorous jobs and social activities that often dispose them to spinal pathologies.

Most of the participants with cervical spine pathologies had Nurick grade I, which implies that they had signs or symptoms of spinal cord disease but no difficulty in walking. Among those with cervical spine pathologies, the least had Nurick grade IV, in which they were able to walk with the aid of someone or frame. This finding is in consonance with the finding of the study carried out by Ogolodom et al<sup>[15]</sup>, but not in agreement with the findings of the studies conducted by Olarinye-Akorede et al<sup>[6]</sup> and RoseBist et al<sup>[14]</sup>. Nurick grades III and IV scores were reported in the study conducted by Olarinye-Akorede<sup>[6]</sup>. In RoseBist et al<sup>[14]</sup> study, which was carried out to determine the clinical and radiological correlation of cervical spondylosis, reported Nurick grade II [43%] to be highest followed by Nurick grade I (35%), and the least was Nurick grade III (15%). The differences in our findings could be attributed to the different sample sizes employed in the various studies as well as the nature of the various studies. The majority of the patients in our study with lumbar spine pathologies had Nurick grade II, which means that they had slight difficulty in walking but they were not prevented from full employment. This result is in keeping with the findings of a research work conducted by Ogolodom et al<sup>[15]</sup>, which also reported Nurick II to be more common among individuals with cervical spine pathologies.

The QoL scores of the participants with different age group was evaluated and the results, revealed that there was no significance difference in the QoL domains, components and total QoL in participants with different age group, meaning that all the different age groups have similar quality of life scores across the various domains, components scores and in their total quality of life outcomes. Nevertheless, those within the age group < 20 years had the highest role limitations due to physical functioning while those within the age group  $\geq 60$  years had the least role limitations due to physical functioning. This could be attributed to the fact that people within the age bracket of  $\geq$  60 years are usually highly experienced and can also adapt easily to health challenges when compared to the younger ones.

The results of the QoL scores in the participants with different degrees of disability revealed that, there were statistical significances in the role limitations due to physical functioning, emotional problem, as well as in the total quality of life among participants with different degree of disabilities. This implies that participants role limitations due to physical function, emotional problems and their overall QoL scores, were not same across the different Nurick grade scales. In physical functioning domain, those with Nurick grade I (participants with signs or symptoms of spinal cord disease without difficulty in walking) class were highly limited in their physical functioning when compared to those with Nurick grade IV class. The loss of somatic and autonomic control, which is associated with spinal cord disease, results in a reduction of physical activity and blunted cardiovascular response to exercise<sup>[20]</sup>. The consequences of this reduction in physical activity are significant physical deconditioning, altered body composition, and development of detrimental metabolic profiles leading to poorer health outcomes in this population<sup>[20]</sup>. According to Ditor and Hicks<sup>[21]</sup>, physical activity programs and information about how activity can promote health are two of the services most desired but least available to people with spinal cord disease.

The differences in the QoL of the participants with different durations of pathology was evaluated and the results showed there was no statistically significance difference in any of the QoL domains, components and total QoL among the participants with different duration of pathologies. This implies that the quality-of-life scores across the different domains, components and the overall QoL are same among individual with different duration of pathology. However, in the physical functioning domain, those that had < 6 months duration of pathology had the highest role limitations due to physical functioning. This could be attributed to the fact that these categories of persons were still yet to be adapted with the pathological conditions.

# **CONCLUSION**

This study demonstrated that males are commonly affected with either cervical or lumbar spine pathologies. Functional grading of patients with either cervical or lumbar spine pathologies revealed that most patients with cervical spine pathologies have Nurick grade I while Nurick grade II pathologies are more prevalent among patients with lumbar spine pathologies. QoL outcome is independent of age group and duration of the different pathologies. Role limitations due to physical functioning, emotional problem, as well as total quality of life differ and depend on the different Nurick grade scales.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

None declared among the authors

# **References**

- Leonard JC. Cervical Spine Injury. Pediatric Clinics of North America. 2013;60(5):1123–1137. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ abs/pii/S0031395513000916?via%3Dihub.
- Fehlings MG, Wilson JR, Kopjar B. Efficacy and safety of surgical decompression in patients with cervical spondylotic myelopathy: result of the Aospine North

   American prospective multi-center study. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. 2013;95(18):1651–1659. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/jbjsjournal/ abstract/2013/09180/efficacy\_and\_safety\_of\_surgical\_ decompression\_in.3.aspx.
- 3. Bruno HF, Andressa BL, Rita SLM, Branlio LF, Elizabeth RA. Cross - cultural Adaptation in Quality of life instrument: Systematic review method. International Journal of Development Research. 2018;8(4):19853–19858. Available from: https: //www.journalijdr.com/cross-cultural-adaptationquality-life-instrument-sistematic-review-method.
- Marion AB, Bret RS, Lisa MR. Quality of life Assessment manual. 2018. Available from: http://wqli.fmhi.usf.edu/\_docs/wqli-instruments/ qualityoflifeassessmentmanual.pdf.
- Mustapha Z, Okedayo M, Ibrahim K, Abbs AA, Ahmadu MS, Yusuf AA, et al. Cervical spine MRI findings in patients presenting with Neck pain and Radiculopathy. Inter Res J Basic and Clin Stud. 2014;2(2):20–26. Available from:

https://www.interesjournals.org/articles/Cervicalspine-mri-findings-in-patients-presenting-with-neckpain-and-radiculopathy.pdf.

- Olarinoye-Akorede S, Ibinaiye P, Akano A, Hamidu A, Kajogbola G. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Findings in Cervical Spondylosis and Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy in Zaria, Northern Nigeria. Sub-Saharan African Journal of Medicine. 2015;2(2):74–74. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/ssjm/fulltext/ 2015/02020/magnetic\_resonance\_imaging\_findings\_ in\_cervical.4.aspx.
- Nurick S. The pathogenesis of the spinal cord disorder associated with cervical spondylosis. Brain. 1972;95:87–100. Available from: https://doi.org/10. 1093/brain/95.1.87.
- 8. Fontal JAB, Granell JB, Olmo JG, Tarragó AR, Ramos M, Rived X, et al. Evaluation of Health Related Quality of Life in Patients Candidate for Spine Surgery. Journal of Spine. 2015;04(04):239–239. Available from: https: //www.hilarispublisher.com/open-access/evaluation-of-health-related-quality-of-life-in-patients-candidate-for-spine-surgery-2165-7939-1000239.pdf.
- Dalbayark S, Yaman O, Firidin MN, Yilmaz T, Yilmaz M. The contribution of cervical dynamic magnetic resonance imaging to the surgical treatment of cervical spondylotic myelopathy. Turkey Neurosurg. 2015;25:36–42. Available from: https:// turkishneurosurgery.org.tr/pdf/pdf\_TTN\_1456.pdf.
- Harkema SJ, Shogren C, Ardolino E, Lorenz DJ. Assessment of Functional Improvement without Compensation for Human Spinal Cord Injury: Extending the Neuromuscular Recovery Scale to the Upper Extremities. Journal of Neurotrauma. 2016;33(24):2181– 2190. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1089/neu. 2015.4213.
- Laxton AW, Perrin RG. The relations between social support, life stress, and quality of Life following spinal decompression surgery. Spinal Cord. 2003;41(10):553– 558. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.sc. 3101432.
- Maaji SM, Saidu SA, Shamaki AMB, Danfulain M, Yunusa GH, Kakale S. Spinal MRI findings in patients with signs and symptoms of Radiculopathy in Sokoto , NorthWestern Nigeria. Kanem Journal of Medical Sciences. 2012;6:15–22. Available from: https://www. ajol.info/index.php/kjms/article/view/224180.
- Motter BV, Machado AN, Brandão TK, Ueno FH, Cesar AEM, Rodrigues LMR. QUALITY OF LIFE IN PA-TIENTS BEFORE AND AFTER LUMBAR SURGERY IN DIFFERENT WORK SITUATIONS. Coluna/Columna. 2015;14(3):198–201. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/ 10.1590/s1808-185120151403147815.
- K RP, Peethambaran AK, Peethambar GA. Cervical spondylosis: analysis of clinical and radiological correlation. International Surgery Journal. 2018;5(2):491– 491. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-2902.isj20180338.

- Ogolodom MP, Ugwu AC, Akosile CO, Mbaba AN. Pattern of Magnetic Resonance Imaging Findings in Lumbar Spine Pathologies and its Correlation with Demographic Variables in Rivers State. JOUR-NAL OF CLINICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC RESEARCH. 2021;15(6):5–09. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10. 7860/jcdr/2021/48984.14980.
- 16. Babi ka A, Wawrzynek W, zbieta Czech E, Skupi ski J, Szczygieł J, Łabuz-Roszak B. No association between MRI changes in the lumbar spine and intensity of pain, quality of life, depressive and anxiety symptoms in patients with low back pain. Neurologia i Neurochirurgia Polska. 2018;53(1):74–82. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.5603/pjnns.a2018.0006.
- MIYAGISHIMA K, TSUSHIMA E, ISHIDA K, SATO S. Factors affecting health-related quality of life one year after lumbar spinal fusion. Physical Therapy Research. 2017;20(2):36–43. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/ 10.1298/ptr.e9919.
- Afsaneh N, Jafaritt, Saba MA, Ebrahimi N, Mirzaei R. Patient Assessment of constipation quality of life Questionnaire: transition, Cultural adaption, reliability and validity of the Persian version. Iran J Med Sci. 2018;43(3):261–268. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC5993898/pdf/IJMS-43-261.pdf.
- Shalaby AS, el sharaki DR, Salem GM. Anxiety, depression, and quality of life in backache patients before and after spinal traction. The Egyptian

Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery. 2018;54(1):44–44. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/ 10.1186/s41983-018-0048-5.

- Sisto SA, Evans N. Activity and Fitness in Spinal Cord Injury: Review and Update. Current Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Reports. 2014;2(3):147– 157. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s40141-014-0057-y.
- Ditor DS, Hicks AL. Exercise Therapy After Spinal Cord Injury: The Effects on Heath and Function. Critical Reviews<sup>TM</sup> in Biomedical Engineering. 2009;37(1-2):165–191. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1615/ critrevbiomedeng.v37.i1-2.50.

**Financial Support:** Nil ; **Conflicts of Interest:** None declared among the authors

How to cite this article: Ogolodom MP, Chukwuka Ugwu A, Akosile CO, Chiegwu HU, Mbaba AN, Eze JC, Ugwuanyi DC, Akhigbe RO, Egbeyemi OO. Nurick Grades and Quality of Life Outcome in Cervical and Lumbar Spine Pathologies: A Cross-Sectional Study. J Med Sci Health 2024; 10(1):96-103

Date of submission: 06.06.2022 Date of review: 23.06.2022 Date of acceptance: 03.04.2024 Date of publication: 22.04.2024