# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL RESEARCH





OPEN ACCESS Received: 20.06.2022 Accepted: 27.06.2022 Published: 05.07.2022

Citation: Sidenur B, Amrutha AM, Mangasuli V, Vijeth SB, Patil D, Nagendragowda MR. (2022). Assessment of Risk of Type 2 **Diabetes using Indian Diabetes Risk** Score: A Community Based Cross-Sectional Study in Urban Field Practice Area of a Medical College, Chitradurga. International Journal of Preclinical & Clinical Research. 3(2): 45-48. https://doi.org/ 10.51131/IJPCCR/v3i2.22\_34

#### <sup>°</sup> Corresponding author.

dr.vijugokak@gmail.com

#### Funding: None

#### Competing Interests: None

Copyright: © 2022 Sidenur et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution** License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Published By Basaveshwara Medical College & Hospital, Chitradurga, Karnataka

#### ISSN

Print: XXXX-XXXX Electronic: 2583-0104

# Assessment of Risk of Type 2 Diabetes using Indian Diabetes Risk Score: A **Community Based Cross-Sectional** Study in Urban Field Practice Area of a Medical College, Chitradurga

### Bhagyalaxmi Sidenur<sup>1</sup>, A M Amrutha<sup>1</sup>, Vijayalaxmi Mangasuli<sup>1\*</sup>, S B Vijeth<sup>2</sup>, Deepa Patil<sup>3</sup>, M R Nagendragowda<sup>4</sup>

1 Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Basaveshwara Medical College and Hospital, Chitradurga, Karnataka, India

2 Professor, Department of General Medicine, Department of Pharmacology, Basaveshwara Medical College and Hospital, Chitradurga, Karnataka, India

3 Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Basaveshwara Medical College and Hospital, Chitradurga, Karnataka, India

4 Professor and Head, Department of Community Medicine, Basaveshwara Medical College and Hospital, Chitradurga, Karnataka, India

# Abstract

India leads the world with the most number of diabetic subjects earning the dubious distinction of being termed the "Diabetes capital of the world". Approximately half of all subjects with diabetes in the developing world remain undiagnosed and untreated leading to serious long term consequences. A cross-sectional study was done in urban field practice area of Basaveshwar Medical College among adults >20 years of age with sample size of 20. Systematic random sampling was used to select the subjects. Data was collected using standardised questionnaire which included socio-demographic profile, standard glucometer was used to measure random blood glucose for all participants. IDRS was used to ascertain the risk of developing diabetes. Data was analysed using Pearson's Chi square test and Fischer exact. The overall prevalence of diabetes was 11%. Among 191 subjects, 4.2% were in low risk category. 42.9% and 52.8% were in moderate and high risk category respectively. Total of 8 subjects were newly diagnosed in our study. Among them 7 subjects were in the high risk category and 1 was in the low risk category. This study estimates the usefulness of simplified Indian Diabetes Risk Score for identifying high risk for diabetes in the community. It should be used routinely in community-based screening to find out high risk category of population for diabetes.

Keywords: IDRS; Risk for diabetes; Sociodemographic factors



# Introduction

Diabetes is a significant clinical and public-health issue. In a developing country like India, diabetes mellitus is one of the primary causes of long-term morbidity and a serious health threat. <sup>(1)</sup>India has the highest number of diabetic subjects in the world, gaining the dubious title of "Diabetes Capital of the World."<sup>(2)</sup> In India, an estimated 67 million individuals have diabetes in 2014, with that number expected to rise to 109 million by 2035.<sup>(3)</sup> According to the World Health Organization, India now has over 19 percent of the world's diabetic population.<sup>(1)</sup> In urban India, its prevalence has risen from 2.4 percent in 1970 to 8.7 percent in 2015. However, because there is no obvious etiological agent in noncommunicable illnesses, the control mechanism is mainly reliant on identifying and addressing risk factors. Unfortunately, more than half of all diabetes people in India are ignorant of their condition, adding to the disease burden.<sup>(4)</sup> Because of the disease's growing burden, its iceberg nature, its consequences, and the ability to prevent these complications through better identification and treatment, strong efforts for early diabetes diagnosis are essential. Age, gender, and family history are nonmodifiable risk factors, but smoking, diet, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes, and other risk factors are modifiable. As a result, a standard screening or prevention plan for non-communicable illnesses may not be effective, and basic preventative principles must be applied properly. Diabetes mellitus can be prevented or delayed if at-risk persons are identified early and proper lifestyle interventions are implemented. This emphasises the importance of simple, low-cost techniques for diabetes early detection.<sup>(5)</sup> A innovative method based on basic non-invasive ratings has the potential to be used in mass screening programmes. V Mohan and his colleagues at the Madras Diabetes Research Foundation (MDRF) in Chennai established the Indian Diabetes Risk Score (IDRS), which is regarded as one of the most accurate predictors of incident diabetes in India.<sup>(6)</sup> It uses four simple characteristics such as age, waist circumference, family history of diabetes, and physical activity to detect undiagnosed diabetics. It is a useful technique for categorising diabetes mellitus risk in the community. It also aids in the detection of type 2 diabetes that has gone undiagnosed. The present study aims at assessing the risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus among adults in central Karnataka.

# Methodology

community-based cross-sectional А study was conducted in urban field practice area of department of Community Medicine, Basaveshwara Medical College, Chitradurga. Study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee. Age group of >20 years were included in the study area. According to a cross sectional study by Patil SR et al the prevalence of at risk subjects for diabetes was 36.6% in urban area of Pune, Maharashtra.<sup>(7)</sup> Sample size was calculated as: Equation: Sample size, n=4pq/ l2 . $\delta$  Prevalence p= 36.6% Q=1-P=63.4 , $\delta$  =53.4=allowable error, 20% of P with 10% data loss. So the sample is 191. Exclusion criteria were pregnant and lactating women and study subjects who did not give consent for the study. Systematic random sampling is used to select subjects.

A individual was interviewed using a pre-designed semi-structured questionnaire. Name, age, religion, caste, occupation, and education are all included in the questionnaire, as well as anthropometric measurements such as height, weight, waist, and hip measurements. For all subjects, a standard Glucometer was used to measure random blood glucose. The IDRS was used to determine the risk of diabetes.

**Diagnostic criteria:** Random blood glucose of > 200mg/dl was considered as diabetic. <sup>(8)</sup>

IDRS has 4 parameters like waist circumference and physical activity which are modifiable risk factors and age and family history which are non-modifiable.<sup>(6)</sup>

Particulars	Score
Age in years	
<35	0
35-49	20
>50	30
Abdominal obesity	
Waist <80cm (F); <90cm (M).	0
Waist 80-89cm (F); 90-99cm (M)	10
Waist >90cm (F); >100cm (M).	20
Physical activity	
Exercise regular + strenuous work	0
Exercise regular or strenuous work	20
No exercise and sedentary work	30
Family history	
No family history	0
Either parents	10
Both parents	20
SCORING	
High risk	>60
Moderate risk	30-50
Low risk	<30

### **Statistical Analysis**

Data were entered into Microsoft excel sheet and analysed using SPSS Inc. 17.0 software. Frequency and percentages (descriptive statistics) were calculated. Pearson's Chi-square was used as a test of significance. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

Our study revealed that 21 subjects out of 191 were diabetics and 170 (89%) were non-diabetics. So, the prevalence of diabetes in our study was 11%. (Table 1)

Table 1.	Prevalence	of Diabetes
----------	------------	-------------

	N (%)
Non- Diabetes	170(89)
Diabetics	21(11)
Total	191(100)
	. ,

Our study showed that 4.2% subjects belonged to low risk IDRS category and 42.9% and 52.8% belonged to moderate and high risk category respectively. (Table 2)

IDRS score category	N (%)
Low risk	8(4.2)
Moderate risk	82(42.9)
High risk	101(52.8)
Total	191(100)

It was observed that the prevalence of diabetes was more in high risk category (80.9%) followed by moderate risk (14.3%) and low risk category (4.8%). The association was highly significant (p=0.01). (Table 3)

Table 3. Association of IDRS risk score and diab	etes
--	------

IDRS risk	Diabetes classif	$\chi^2$ (p		
	Non diabetics N=170	Diabetics N=21	value)	
Low risk	7(4.11%)	1(4.8%)		
Moderate risk	79(46.5%)	3(14.3%)	8.04 ( 0.01)	
High risk	84(49.4%)	17(80.9%)	,	

In underweight category 11.9% had high risk IDRS. Out of preobese people 42.5% had high risk and among Obese class 1 category 13.9% were under high risk. Even with normal BMI 42.5% of the subjects had high risk IDRS score. (Table 4)

Table 4. Distribution of study population according to BMI and Diabetes risk

BMI	IDRS risk			$\chi^2$ (p
DIVII	Low risk N=8	Moderate risk N=82	High risk N=101	value)
Under weight	0	7(8.5%)	12(11.9%)	7.02
Normal	6 (75%)	47(57.3%)	43(42.5%)	(0.31)
Pre-obese	2 (25%)	21(25.6%)	32(31.7%)	
Obese class 1	0	7(8.5%)	14(13.9%)	

Total of 8 subjects were newly diagnosed in our study. Among them 7 subjects were in the high risk category and 1 was in the low risk category. The mean and standard deviation for the newly diagnosed was more (50+ 14.4) compared to non-diabetics (40.9+ 15.35). (Table 5)

according to IDKS risk score category			
IDRS SCORE	Newly diagnosed	Non Diabetics	
Mean+ SD	50+ 14.4	40.9+ 15.35	
Low risk	1	7	
Moderate risk	0	82	
High risk	7	94	
Total	8	183	

 Table 5. Distribution of Newly diagnosed diabetic subjects according to IDRS risk score category

# Discussion

In the present study, the prevalence of Diabetes in persons aged 20 years and above was 11%. A similar finding was noted in the study done by Arun A et al in urban and rural areas of Lucknow with a prevalence of 13.8% in urban area.<sup>(9)</sup> As per WHO, the prevalence of Diabetes in India in 2015 was 8.7% which was less compared to our study. The high prevalence found in this study could be attributed to a sedentary lifestyle and a lack of knowledge about the disease.

In this study, Indian diabetes risk score was used for identifying high risk subjects in urban area. 52.8 % of the population had high risk score(>60), 42.9% were under moderate risk(30-50) and 4.2% were in low risk(<30). In the study done by Arun A et al. in urban area of Lucknow reported that 14.9% of the subjects were under high risk category which is much lower than the present study.<sup>(9)</sup> In a similar study conducted by Brahmbhatt RK et al in urban area of south India reported 34% of the population were found in high risk category which is also lower than this study.<sup>(10)</sup> This risk difference could be related to differences in population lifestyles, as well as an indicator that if risk factors are not altered, one is more likely to get diabetes. As a result, lifestyle and dietary changes must be implemented to counteract the risk factors in these groups.

In underweight category 11.9% had high risk IDRS. Out of preobese people 42.5% had high risk and among Obese class 1 category 13.9% were under high risk. Even with normal BMI 42.5% of the subjects had high risk IDRS score. In the study done by Gupta et al. in rural areas of Tamilnadu reported 14.6% high IDRS score in underweight category (BMI<18.50) whereas in obese category (BMI >30) 40% had high IDRS score which is less compared to the present study. <sup>(11)</sup> The IDRS high risk score among the underweight category and obese group in the Gupta et al. study is less when compared to the present study. This difference may be due to different socio-demographic profile of the subjects.

In the present study, 8 were newly diagnosed study subjects with mean and SD of 50+14.4. In contrast to the present study, the study done by Adhikari P et al in south Indian population found 45 newly diagnosed diabetes subjects. <sup>(12)</sup> Hence IDRS can be useful screening tool to diagnose Diabetes early and it

could motivate people to undergo blood sugar testing.

## Conclusion

The IDRS was a simple test that was used in a communitybased study to identify people who were at high risk of diabetes. For identifying participants at high risk of developing diabetes, it is recommended that they use a costeffective tool like the IDRS for routine screening. It is a useful and cost-effective method in identifying at-risk subjects and motivating people to have their blood sugar levels examined in poor nations like India, where half of diabetics are ignorant of their diabetes condition.

### References

- Wild SH, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global Prevalence of Diabetes: Estimates for the Year 2000 and Projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27(5):1047–1053. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2337/ diacare.27.5.1047.
- 2) Mohan V, Sandeep S, Deepa R, Shah B, Varghese C. Epidemiology of type 2 diabetes: Indian scenario. *Indian J Med Res.* 2007;125:217–230.
- Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4.4 million participants. *The Lancet*. 2016;387(10027):1513-1543.
- 4) Deepa M, Deepa R, Shanthirani CS, Manjuladatta, Unwin NC, Kapur A, et al. Awareness and knowledge of diabetes in Chennai-The Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES-9. J Assoc Physicians India. 2005;53:283–290. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15987011/.
- 5) Forst T, Standl E, Hohberg C, Konrad T, Schulze J, Strotmann HJ, et al. IRIS II study: the IRIS II score-assessment of a new clinical algorithm for the classification of insulin resistance in patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Diabetic Medicine*. 2004;21(10):1149–1153. Available from: https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2004.01298.x.
- 6) Mohan V, Deepa R, Deepa M, Somannavar S, Datta M. A simplified Indian Diabetes score for screening for undiagnosed diabetic subjects. J Assoc Physicians India. 2005;53:759–763.
- 7) Patil RS, Gothankar JS. Assessment of risk of type 2 diabetes using the Indian Diabetes Risk Score in an urban slum of Pune, Maharashtra, India: a cross-sectional study. WHO South-East Asia Journal of Public Health. 2016;5(1):5361–53. Available from: https://doi.org/10.4103/ 2224-3151.206555.
- Fauci AS. Harrison's principles of internal medicine. New York. McGraw-Hill, Medical Publishing Division. 2008.
- 9) Arun A, Srivastava JP, Gupta P, Sachan B, Daya P. Indian diabetes risk score (IDRS), a strong predictor of diabetes mellitus: A cross sectional study among urban and rural population of Lucknow. *International Journal of Applied Research*. 2015;1(7):135–143.
- Brahmbhatt KK, Chakraborty T, Gopal C, Madappady S, Ta S, Anbalagan B. Assessment of risk of type 2 diabetes using simplified Indian Diabetes Risk Score - Community-based cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health*. 2016;5(12):2522– 2525.
- 11) Gupta SK, Singh Z, Purty AJ, Kar M, Vedapriya DR, Mahajan P, et al. Diabetes prevalence and its risk factors in rural area of Tamil Nadu. *Indian journal of community medicine: official publication of Indian Association of Preventive & Social Medicine.* 2010;35(3):396–399. Available from: https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-0218.69262.
- 12) Adhikari P, Pathak R, Kotian S. Validation of the MDRF Indian Diabetes Risk Score (IDRS) in another South Indian Population through the Boloor Diabetes Study (BDS). *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2010;58:434– 440. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21121209/.