Original Article Orthopaedics

TYPES OF NASAL BONE FRACTURE AND ITS SURGICAL OUTCOME AT TERTIARY HEALTH CARE CENTRE IN CENTRAL INDIA

Rakesh Maran¹, Ashawini Sharma²

¹- Assistant Professor, Department of ENT, Chirayu Medical College and Hospital, Bhopal, M.P. ²- Associate Professor, Department of Orthopedics, Chirayu Medical College and Hospital, Bhopal, M.P.

Corresponding Author

Dr.Ashawini Sharma Associate Professor, Department of Orthopedics Chirayu Medical College and Hospital, Bhopal, M.P. Mobile no. 9009505662 ashwinisharma888@gmail.com

Article submitted on: 03 January 2019 Article Accepted on: 10 January 2019

Abstract

Introduction:- Nose is most prominent part of face and nasal bones are most commonly fractured during road traffic accident or accidental trauma. Many patients with nasal bone fracture do not take treatment so fracture may go undiagnosed. Nasal bone fracture leads to structural & functional abnormality of nose. Aim of study was to evaluate operative outcome after close reduction according to type of nasal bone fracture.

Method:- Study was conducted in Chirayu Medical College, Bhopal Department of ENT and Orthopedics. Total 157 patients were selected with fracture nasal bone, from Aug. 2015 to Aug.2017 all underwent closed reduction.

Result:- Post- Operative CT image showed 94 patients with excellent result, 49 with good result, 10 subject with fair result & 4 patients showed poor reduction of fracture nasal bone.

Conclusion:- Fracture nasal bone reduction immediate after CT- Scan showed better result in FI, LI, LII type than FII and C Type.

Keywords: Fracture, Nasal bone, Fracture reduction, Types of fracture

Introduction

In our body nose is most anteriorly protruding structure so it leads to most common bone fracture as compared to other bone fractures. Most of us are daily facing difficulty and challenges in diagnosis and treatment of nasal bone trauma. Nose is composed of cartilage and bone and nasal bone having superior thick part and inferiorly thin bone. Nasal trauma may be due to two types of impact lateral impact and head on impact. [1-5]

Stranc and Robertson Classification of nasal bone fracture.

(a) Frontal impact group (FI and FII)-

Type-I [FI] - Only lower end of nasal bones fracture.

Type-II [**FII**] - Proximal portion of nasal bone and frontal process of maxilla fracture.

(b) Lateral impact group (LI and LII)-

Type-I [LI] - Unilateral displacement of nasal bone into nasal cavity.

Type-II [LII] - Moderate internal displacement of the ipsilateral nasal bone accompanied by some outward displacement of contralateral nasal bone.

(c) Comminuted fracture group[C]

Multiple segmental fractures with depression.

Surgical outcome depends upon type of fracture **[6-8].** Proper preoperative consent should be taken before fracture reduction and patient should be informed that even with closed reduction he or she may need septorhinoplasty if nasal deformity persists after close reduction.

Aims & Objective

To evaluate surgical outcome of fracture nasal bone reduction according to type of fracture.

Material & method

conducted Study was in Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Orthopedics Chiravu Medical College and Hospital, Bhopal from Aug. 2015 to Aug. 2017. Total 157 patients were taken with age group 15-45 year who were having only isolated nasal bone fracture. Close reduction was done under local anesthesia by same surgeon. Plain X ray nasal bone lateral view bilateral side was in our baseline investigation. CT scan nose and surrounding area was done both pre and post operatively in all patients.

Patient who needed revision nasal surgery or open reduction or age less than 15 years were excluded from our study

All patient in our study were admitted, routine investigation I done for close reduction under local anaesthesia of a 2% lidocaine with

adrenaline solution at a concentration of 1:200,000 and intranasal 10% lidocaine spray. Asch and Walsham forceps is used for fracture reduction and plaster of paris dressing is applied for minimum seven days. Each Patient subjected to computed tomography (CT) Scan with 1 mm thickness slice before & immediate after surgery to compare outcome of surgery.

We classified patients as Excellent, Good, Fair and Poor on the basis of post operative CT scan nose after closed reduction of fracture nasal bone. [Table 1].Meyeres grading [9] was used for aesthetic and functional outcome as Excellent, Very good, Good Average and poor result. [Table 2]

Post operative surgical outcome was evaluated as

Exceller	it - Nasal deviation is absent.
	- Arch shape is Smooth.
	- No malalignment of the
	fracture segment.
Good	- Nasal deviation is absent.
	- Arch shape is Smooth.
	- Fracture segment is
	malalign (one segment)
Fair	- Nasal deviation is absent.
	- Arch shape is Smooth.
	- Fracture segment is
	malalign (both segment)
Poor	- Nasal deviation is present.
	- Arch shape is not smooth.
	- Both segments are
	malalign.

Table 1- The classification criteria according to the results of closed reduction. [1]

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor									
Deviation	-	-	-	+									
Overall shape of arch	Smooth	Smooth	Irregular										
Malalignment of fracture segment	-	+	+	+									
Bony irregularity	-	One segment or	One segment and	One or two segment and									
Bony displacement	-	One segment	One segment	One or two segment									

Result:-

Out of 157 patients 99 were male & 58 were female with mean age was 30.4 years. 58 fractures were caused by road traffic accident, 32 by bumping caused by slips or falls, 20 were caused by assault and 47 were caused by Sports activity.

Out of 157 patients FI-42, FII-13, LI-47, LII-43, C-12 post operative

results shows 94 patient with excellent result, 49 with good result, 10 with fair result & 4 patient showed poor reduction of fracture nasal bones. [Table 3]

The proportion of excellent results in each type were 66.7% in FI, 53.8% in FII, 61.7% in LI, 62.7% in LII, and 25% in C type. The p value of the difference between each proportion of excellent results by fracture type was <0.001 which is significant. Overall the patients without septal fracture the result was better.

22 patients had post operative complications like nasal deviation in 10, saddle nose in 3, nasal widening in 4, hump nose in 2 patients, nasal airway obstruction in 2, and temporary hyposmia in 1 patients. **[Table 4]**

Operation result		Aesthetic statu	15	Function					
	Pre Op	Post Op	P Value	Pre Op	Post Op	P Value			
Excellent	0	57		0	59				
Very Good	0	40		0	40	<0.0001			
Good	0	37	<0.0001	0	38				
Fair	0	9	<0.0001	<0.0001 0					
Poor	0	14		0	10				
Total	0	157		0	157				

Table 2- Operation result

Table 3: Operation result																
	FI			FII			LI			LII			С			
operation	-	+	Sub total	-	+	Sub total	-	+	Sub total	-	+	Sub total	-	+	Sub total	Total
Excellent	14	14	28	1	6	7	20	9	29	3	24	27	1	2	3	94
Excellent																
Good	3	6	9	1	3	4	10	7	17	1	14	14	0	5	5	49
Good																
Eair	1	2	3	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	2	2	10
ган																
Deen	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	04
Poor																
	19	23	42	2	11	13	30	17	47	4	39	43	2	10	12	157
Iotai																

FI- Frontal impact group type I; FII, frontal impact group type II; LI, lateral impact group typeI; LII, lateral impact group type II; C, comminuted fracture group;-,no presence of septal fracture or deviation; + presence of septal fracture or deviation.

					-						
Complications	FI		FII		LI		LII			Total	
Complications	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	10121
Hump nose	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
Saddle nose	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3
Nasal widening	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	4
Deviated nose	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	0	3	10
Nasal airway	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
obstruction	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	
Hyposmia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Total	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	7	0	3	22

Table 4: Complications

FI- Frontal impact group type I; FII, frontal impact group type II; L- lateral impact group typeI; LII- lateral impact group type II; C- comminuted fracture group; - No presence of septal fracture or deviation; + Presence of septal fracture or deviation.

Discussion

Nasal bone fracture is most commonly involved fracture during facial trauma because of its natural projection anterior most. Road traffic accident is most common cause followed by sports injury, bump and physical assault. [1]

Our study shows nasal bone fracture is more in male than female with ratio of 1.7:1. Study of **MK change et al [1]** also showed same result. Mean age in our study is 31.4 years. Study of Koirala KP [10] showed mean age 26.2 years.

Rhee et al **[11]** study shows that there is difference between the radiological findings and peri operative findings in the degree of septal fractures. In our study we performed CT Nose to visualize septal fracture also visualized perioperativly and fracture is reduced. Outcome again evaluated after surgery.

Prevalence of complications in FI 11.9%, FII7.6%, LI10.6%, LII 18.6%, and C type was 25% similar result also found in study of Park and Lim et al [12, 13].

Radiological investigations like X-ray nasal bone lateral view bilateral side and CT Scan nose and PNS play important role in not only accurate diagnosis of fracture nose but also post operative evaluation of accuracy of surgery. In our study patient satisfaction rate is 87.2% whereas study of Love **RL [14]** showed satisfaction rate 88%. Most common complication presented post operatively were hump nose, saddle nose, nasal obstruction, deviated nasal septum and anosmia [1].

Conclusion

Nasal bone fracture typically affects young adults mostly male due to more physical aggression. Closed reduction under local anaesthesia significantly gives good satisfaction rate both aesthetically and functionally. Fracture nasal bone reduction immediate after CT-Scan showed better result in FI, LI, and LII than FII and C Types.

References

- Change MK, Dong GH, and Objective out come of closed reduction according to the type of nasal bone fracture, Arch craniofac. surg Vol.18 No.1, P.30-36.
- HK, Park YJ, Kim HS, Ryu JY, kook MS, Park HJ, et al. A recent 5-year retrospective study on nasal bone fracture, J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg2008; 34:230-6.
- Chung SH, Park J, Choe J, Back SM. Clinical analysis of satisfaction of nasal bone reduction. J Korean Soc Plast Reconstr Surg 1994; 21; 98-1-90.
- Hong SR. Choi BW, Suh IS, Ha JH. Clinical& radiological evaluation of the nasal bone fractures. J Korean Soc Plast Reconstr Surg 1996; 23;1572-82.
- Lee JH, Park WY, Nam HJ, Kim YH Complications of the nasal bone fractures according to the stranc classification. J Korean Cleft Palate- Craniofac. Assoc 2008;9:62-6
- Muraoka M, Nakai Y. Twenty years of statistics and observation of facial bone fracture. Acta Otolaryngol Suppl 1998; 538:261-5.
- Han DG, Kim TS. The effect of half day nasal packing in results of closed reduction of nasal bone fracture. Arch Craniofac Surg 2012; 13:119-24.
- 8. Stranc MF, Robertson GA. A Classification of injuries of the

nasal skel-eton, Ann Plast Surg 1979; 2-168-74.

- Lee BM, Han DG, Acute bone remodeling after reduction of nasal bone fracture on computed tomography imaging. Arch Craniofac Surg 2014; 15:63-9.
- Koirala KP, Sharma V, Post-Operative Outcome of Closed Reduction of Fracture Nasal Bone, International Journal of Anatomy, Radiology and Surgery, 2015 Oct, Vol 4(4): 1-4
- Rhee SC, Kim YK, Cha JH, Kang SR, Park HS. Septal fracture in sim- ple nasal bone fracture Plast Reconstr Surg 2004; 113; 45-52.
- Park WY, Kim YH, A clinical study of the nasal bone fracture accord-ing to stranc classification. J Korean Soc Plast Reconstr Surg 208; 35; 289-94......
- Lim KR, Kim HI, Ahn SM, Hwang SM, Jung YH, Song JK, Clinical analysis of the nasal bone fracture. J Korean Cleft Palate-Craniofac Assoc 2011; 12-81-5.
- Love RL, Nasal fractures: Patient satisfaction following close reduction.NZ Med J.2010; 123(1321):45-48.