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Abstract

Background/objectives: Wireless sensor network (WSN) has sensor nodes; may failure happen because of hardware failure, communication error, energy depletion, and malicious attack. So there is a need to identify the problem and take necessary action to avoid performance degradation. The main objective of this research work is to enhance the performance and improves the network lifetime. Methods/statistical analysis: The proposed method discusses the faulty sensor node and the type of fault that may be transient, intermittent, and permanent compares it with the other network which has the same type of faulty node. It considers both the hard fault and soft fault and also it detects the fault easily. Findings: The fault node is identified from the neighbor using nodes and when the information is received from the exterior passed to the sink. Tables in this article show that the sensor which is detected has a permanent, transient, or intermittent fault in three WSNs with a common mode of failure. Novelty: The enhanced method saves the energy sources and finds the fault that occurs in common mode failure nodes in the WSNs.
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1. Introduction

A wireless network encounters physical or environmental conditions, that are temperature, noise, vibration, pressure motion in various locations [1]. A node may fail at any level of WNSs such as node, network and sink and cause of failure can be identified by detecting the fault in the sensor node [2]. Fault is any kind of defect or system in the network producing improper performance [3].

Common mode of failure (CMF) is that all nodes in the network may get corrupted for any reason. The node will be in two states always that is either “healthy” or “faulty” [4]. All nodes must involve themselves in detection because it reduces the need to send the data to central node [5]. Node will acknowledge its fault all the way through the data received from
the neighbor with a threshold value [6]. Figure 1 describes the nodes with the common mode of failure nodes where the permanent fault occurred in the main sensor. Figure 2 explains the fault detection flow diagram. Figure 3 shows the transient fault that occurred in the main sensor among the CMF nodes. Figure 4 displays the permanent node where the node becomes fault while passing the data to the central node.

2. Refined Fault Detection Technique

This proposed method which considers both the hard fault and soft fault. If the node has the hard fault, it cannot communicate with its neighbor [7]. A soft fault node can communicate with altered behavior. The voting method assumes that each node has at least three neighbor using nodes. In Ref. [8], the proposed algorithm in which the sensor is identified as intermittent fault, transient fault, and permanent fault. The nodes which have three neighbors will be considered and the fault detected when the data passed to the central node over the periodic time. The steps that are involved in detection are as follows:

**Step 1:** Assign the total time of activation of nodes and possible data to be transferred within the time.

**Step 2:** Identify the sensors which have three neighbors.

**Step 3:** Exclude the node which does not have three neighbors.

**Step 4:** Search for the intermediate node.

**FIGURE 1.** Common mode failure nodes with fault node.
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**FIGURE 2.** Fault detection block diagram.

**FIGURE 3.** CMF with Transient/intermittent fault.
Step 5: Pass the data to the central node.
Step 6: If not the data cannot be passed through neighbor the node is considered as at permanent fault.
Step 7: Else check for the node is intermittent or transient based on the node in time ($T_{in}$) out time ($T_{out}$) and the reactivation time.
Step 8: Check if the node is “good” or “bad”.

Do this for each time for the common node and failure nodes. Take the network which has common failure and do this operation for the node and find out whether the fault is intermittent, transient, or permanent. Compare the network with the other one if the intermittent fault or transient fault occurred frequently [9]. If so, remove the node from the network in order to increase the lifetime of the network and save energy.

3. Proposed Methodology

3.1. Proposed Algorithm

1st Phase:
Declaration: TH = 3, T time = 5 h, R act = 10 S
T node = n
for (i=1; i<=n-1; i++)
{ If (i’s neighbor length >= TH)
{ If (i==”black node”)
A=+”i”;
Else
B=+”i”;
}
Print A. list and B.list;
C = merge (A. list, A. list .neighbour, B.list, B.list.neighbour)
C. Ascending;
Median(C); }

2nd Phase:
/Pass Data through the neighbour to c*/
If (A.list=="Faulty_node"&&A.list.
neighbor == “Faulty node”)  
{ Permanent Fault} 
Else if (A. list==“Faulty node”&&A. list.
Neighbour-1 == “Normal node”)  
{ Tin=T Start 
Tout=T Stop 
Ttime1=Tout-T start 
*/wait for reactivation of node, if not*/ 
If (A. list==“0”)  
{ Transient fault 
Print Ttime1 } 
Else 
{ Tin1=Tstart1 
Tout1=Tstop2 
Ttime2=Tout1-Tin1 
*/repeat until the nodes state reaches 0/* 
T avg=Ttime1+Tout/2}}
Else if (B.list==“Normal node” 
&&B.list.neighbour== “Normal node” 
{B.list contains good nodes } 
Else {Check A and B.list and find the node 
fault. } 

The algorithm will work well for the following networks also and the nodes which are 
involved in the fault detection are as good or bad thus the fault like permanent, transient 
and intermittent faults are also detected. At first, the status of each sensor is good and these 
sensors decide the neighbor as good or bad based on the data received from its neighbor. 
In [10] the first phase of the algorithm, the sensors which have three neighbors are 
taken based on the voting method $T_H$ Threshold value set for each sensor of neighbor 
Leveli Status of each sensor $T_{time}$ Total active time of each sensor $T_{node}$ Nodes involved 
in detection $R_{act}$ Reactivation Time mentioned above and the sensors which do not have 
three neighbors cannot take any decision except finding the status of the neighbor and in 
the next step, the sensor which comes into the $T_H$ passes the data to the central node [11]. 
While passing the sensor is identified as fault senor (permanent, transient, intermittent) 
in terms of time $(T_{in}-T_{out})$ taken to pass the data. Finally, each is sensor identified either as 
good or bad connected with its neighbor. The following example illustrates the algorithm 
which helps to find the fault (Tables 1–3).

3.2. Proposed Algorithm Example
In this example section, the two other Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) with CMF and 
the results of fault detection is discussed in the following figure.
4. Conclusion

This research comprises the type of fault in the common mode of failure nodes. The type of fault is detected whether permanent, or transient/intermittent when passing the received value to the neighbor nodes. The fault node is detected easily from a large number of faulty node. It increases the network life time.
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