

RESEARCH ARTICLE



OPEN ACCESS

Received: 29-08-2023

Accepted: 05-03-2024

Published: 28-05-2024

Editor: ICDMMMDE-2023 Special
Issue Editors: Dr. G. Mahadevan and
Prof. Dr. P. Balasubramaniam

Citation: Johnsy J, Jeyaraman M
(2024) Wijsman Ideal Convergence
Sequence in Neutrosophic Metric
Spaces. Indian Journal of Science
and Technology 17(SP1): 71-78. <http://doi.org/10.17485/IJST/v17sp1.135>

* Corresponding author.

jeya.math@gmail.com

Funding: None

Competing Interests: None

Copyright: © 2024 Johnsy &
Jeyaraman. This is an open access
article distributed under the terms
of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License](#), which permits
unrestricted use, distribution, and
reproduction in any medium,
provided the original author and
source are credited.

Published By Indian Society for
Education and Environment (iSee)

ISSN

Print: 0974-6846

Electronic: 0974-5645

Wijsman Ideal Convergence Sequence in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces

J Johnsy¹, M Jeyaraman^{2*}

¹ Research Scholar, P.G. and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Govt. Arts College, Sivagangai. Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India

² Associate Professor, P.G. and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Govt. Arts College, Sivagangai. Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

Objectives: An examination of convergence for a sequence of closed sets in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces is the main goal of this research. Particularly, the focus of this study is directed at Wijsman I -convergence (WIC) and Wijsman I^* -convergence (WI^*C). **Methods:** The various properties of Neutrosophic Metric Spaces are investigated in this study. Using exact mathematical techniques, this study comprehends the features of convergent sequences in these domains. Furthermore, this study expresses particular views on Wijsman I -Cauchy ($WICa$) and Wijsman I^* -Cauchy (WI^*Ca) sequences. **Findings:** The convergence behaviour of closed sets in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces is a result of this research. it presents findings and remarks about the sequences of Wijsman I -convergence, Wijsman I^* -convergence, Wijsman I -Cauchy, and Wijsman I^* -Cauchy. These findings improve the current understanding of convergence in relation to NMS. **Novelty:** What makes this work unique is that it focuses on convergence notions in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces, specifically on Wijsman I and Wijsman I^* -convergence enriches the current body of information in this domain.

Keywords: Neutrosophic Metric Spaces; Wijsman I convergent and Wijsman $I \Delta$ convergent sequences; Wijsman $I \Delta$ Cauchy and Wijsman I Cauchy sequences

1 Introduction

Kisi⁽¹⁾ started the hypothesis of factual combination. It is a very compelling device to concentrate on the combination of mathematical issues in grouping spaces by the possibility of thickness. Factual assembly among the series of sets was analysed by Granados et al.⁽²⁾. Granados⁽³⁾ prevailed with regards to summing up the factual union and presented the idea of an ideal I -combination. Dundar et al.⁽⁴⁾ dissected I - and I^* -union for twofold successions. Zadeh⁽⁵⁾ first proposed the fuzzy sets concept in 1965, and therefore it has found varying uses in the realm of valid semantics. Atanassov⁽⁶⁾, subsequent to summing up the fuzzy sets, likewise presented the idea of IFSs in 1986. Park⁽⁷⁾ did an itemised assessment of the possibility of intuitively fuzzy metric spaces. Some of the many applications of fuzzy metric space⁽⁸⁾ and fuzzy sets in the hard sciences include fixed-point hypothesis testing, image as well as

signal processing (including clinical navigation and imaging), and more. In addition, measurable combination, optimal assembly, and various attributes of groupings in IFNSs were analysed by Mursaleen et al. ⁽⁹⁾ and Pancaroglu AK in et al. ⁽¹⁰⁾. In the following, Jeyaraman et al. and Sowndararajan et al. proposed the Neutrosophic Metric Spaces concept and outlined several fixed-point solutions ^(11,12). Researchers have since begun to work on distance spaces built over neutrosophic sets ^(13–15). The idea of the union of successions of focuses has been stretched out by a few creators to a combination of groupings of sets ⁽¹⁶⁾.

In this study, the Wijsman assembly concept ^(17,18) is one such extension that is examined. The union of set groups was extended to quantifiable mixing by M. Sen and M. Et. ⁽¹⁹⁾, who also provided a few key theories. Wijsman always looks into the regularity and features of sequences of sets that show triple ideal convergence. This helps us understand important aspects of convergence properties ⁽²⁰⁾. Moreover, Isi's ⁽²¹⁾ study on 'Ideal Convergence of Sequences in Neutrosophic Normed Spaces' contributes fresh insights into convergence within neutrosophic normed spaces, providing unique perspectives in this specialised mathematical field.

Verda G˘urdal's ⁽²²⁾ research delves into the examination of generalised statistical limitpoints for triple sequences within random 2-normed 3 spaces, offering valuable insights within this particular mathematical realm. In a collaborative effort, Ayhan Esi, Vakeel A. Khan, Mobeen Ahmad, and Masood Alam ⁽²³⁾ present significant findings on Wijsman Ideal Convergence in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces, enriching our understanding of Wijsman ideal convergence in the context of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

While some of the findings shown here are quite similar to the research emphasis at the right place, the confirmations often use a different method. Only when I and I^* are admissible Ideals some of the results are true. In order to support the main result, we have the required conditions that I is admissible ideal and the neutrosophic space must be separable.

2 Methodology

Definition 2.1. ⁽¹²⁾ Let M be nonempty set, η , ϕ and ρ be fuzzy sets on $M^2 \times (0, \infty)$, $*$ be a continuous t-norm, \diamond be a continuous t-conorm and \oplus be a continuous t-conorm. So, the 7-tuple $(M, \eta, \phi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ is known as a Neutrosophic Metric Space (NMS) if the following requirement are met: for "every $\lambda, t > 0$ and $y, z, w \in M$

- (i) $\eta(y, z, \lambda) + \phi(y, z, \lambda) + \rho(y, z, \lambda) \leq 3$,
- (ii) $\eta(y, z, \lambda) > 0$,
- (iii) $\eta(y, z, \lambda) = 1$, if and only if $y = z$,
- (iv) $\eta(y, z, \lambda) = \eta(z, y, \lambda)$
- (v) $\eta(y, z, \lambda) * \eta(z, w, t) \leq \eta(y, w, \lambda + t)$
- (vi) $\eta(y, z, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ is continuous and $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \eta(y, z, \lambda) = 1$ for every $\lambda > 0$
- (vii) $\phi(y, z, \lambda) < 1$
- (viii) $\phi(y, z, \lambda) = 0$ if and only if $y = z$,
- (ix) $\phi(y, z, \lambda) = \phi(z, y, \lambda)$,
- (x) $\phi(y, z, \lambda) \diamond \phi(z, w, t) \geq \phi(y, w, \lambda + t)$
- (xi) $\phi(y, z, \lambda) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ is continuous $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(y, z, \lambda) = 0$ for every $\lambda > 0$
- (xii) $\rho(y, z, \lambda) < 1$,
- (xiii) $\rho(y, z, \lambda) = 0$ if and only if $y = z$,
- (xiv) $\rho(y, z, \lambda) = \rho(z, y, \lambda)$,
- (xv) $\rho(y, z, \lambda) \oplus \rho(z, w, t) \geq \rho(y, w, \lambda + t)$
- (xvi) $\rho(y, z, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ is continuous $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \rho(y, z, \lambda) = 0$ for every $\lambda > 0$
- (xvii) If $\lambda \leq 0$, then $\eta(y, z, \lambda) = 0$, $\phi(y, z, \lambda) = 1$ and $\rho(y, z, \lambda) = 1$

In such situation, (η, ϕ, ρ) is called the NMS.

Example 2.2. ⁽¹⁷⁾ Suppose (M, d) is defined as metric space. Define

$a * b = ab$, $a \diamond b = \min(a + b, 1)$ and $a \oplus b = \min(a + b, 1)$ for every $a, b \in [0, 1]$.

Suppose η, ϕ and ρ are fuzzy sets on $M^2 \times (0, \infty)$ defined as

$$\eta(y, z, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + d(y, z)}, \quad \phi(y, z, \lambda) = \frac{d(y, z)}{\lambda + d(y, z)} \text{ and } \rho(y, z, \lambda) = \frac{d(y, z)}{\lambda}.$$

Then $(M, \eta, \phi, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ is an NMS.

Definition 2.3. ⁽¹⁷⁾ Let $(M, \eta, \phi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be NMS where C is defined as M nonempty subset. For every $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in M$, we define $\eta(x, C, \lambda) = \sup \{ \eta(x, y, \lambda) : y \in C \}$,

$\varphi(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda) = \inf\{\varphi(x, y, s) : y \in \mathcal{C}\}$ and $\rho(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda) = \inf\{\rho(x, y, s) : y \in \mathcal{C}\}$, where $\varphi(x, C, \lambda)$, is non nearness degree, $\eta(x, C, \lambda)$ is nearness degree and $\rho(x, C, \lambda)$ is in conclusiveness degree of x to C at λ ,

Definition 2.4. ⁽¹⁷⁾ Let $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be *NMS*. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is convergent to ξ if for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $s > 0$ so $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ in a form that $\eta(x_k, \xi, \lambda) > 1 - \varepsilon$, $\varphi(x_k, \xi, \lambda) < \varepsilon$ and $\rho(x_k, \xi, \lambda) < \varepsilon$ for all $k \geq k_0$.

Definition 2.5. ⁽¹⁷⁾ A *NMS* $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ is separable if and only if it has a dense subset, which can be counted $\{x_k\}$ as well as subsequent property: for every $\lambda > 0$ and for every $\xi \in M$, there exists atleast one x_n so that $\eta(x_n, \xi, \lambda) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, $\varphi(x_n, \xi, \lambda) \leq \varepsilon$ and $\rho(x_n, \xi, \lambda) \leq \varepsilon$, for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

3 Results and Discussion

In the following section, I is denoted as admissible ideal in N . First, let's agree on some common terms.

Definition 3.1. Let $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be an *NMS*. A sequence of sets $\{C_k\}$ is known to be Wijsman convergent to C if $\varepsilon > 0$ and $s > 0$ $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \eta(x, C_k, \lambda) = \eta(x, C, \lambda), \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) = \varphi(x, C, \lambda) \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x, C_k, \lambda) = \rho(x, C, \lambda) \text{ for all } k \geq k_0.$$

In this context, $L_{\{C_k\}}$ refers to the collection of all Wijsman limit points for the sequence $\{C_k\}$

Definition 3.2. Let us consider $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be *NMS* and I be proper ideal in N . A sequence $\{C_k\}$ of nonempty closed subsets of M is called as *WIC* to C with regards to $NM(\eta, \varphi, \rho)$, if for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, for each $x \in M$ and for all $\lambda > 0$ so that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} k \in \mathbb{N} : |\eta(x, \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or} \\ |\varphi(x, \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \text{ and} \\ |\rho(x, \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \end{array} \right\} \in \mathfrak{I}$$

We write $(\eta, \varphi, \rho) - I_w - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C_k = C$.

Example 3.3. Suppose $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ is an *NMS* and $C, \{C_k\}$ is a nonempty closed subsets of M . Assume $M = R^2$ along with $\{C_k\}$ are sequence described by

$$C_k = \left(\begin{array}{l} (x, y) \in R^2 : 0 \leq x \leq k, 0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{k}x, \text{ if } k \neq n^2 \\ (x, y) \in R^2 : x \geq 0, y = 1, \text{ if } k = n^2, \end{array} \right. \quad C = \{(x, y) \in R^2 : x \geq 0, y = 0\}$$

Since

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \left| \begin{array}{l} \{n \leq k : |\eta((x, y), \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \eta((x, y), \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon \\ \text{or } |\varphi((x, y), \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \varphi((x, y), \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \\ \text{and } |\rho((x, y), \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \rho((x, y), \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \} \end{array} \right| = 0$$

So, $\{C_k\}$ sets' sequence is Wijsman statistical convergent (*WStC*) to set C .

$$S(\varepsilon) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |\eta((x, y), \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \eta((x, y), \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon \\ k \in \mathbb{N} : \text{ or } |\varphi((x, y), \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \varphi((x, y), \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \\ \text{and } |\rho((x, y), \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \rho((x, y), \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \end{array} \right\}$$

If we consider $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_d$ then the (*WStC*) coincides with the Wijsman ideal convergence. Therefore

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\eta((x, y), C_k, \lambda) - \eta((x, y), C, \lambda)) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \\ k \in N : \text{ or } (\varphi((x, y), C_k, \lambda) - \varphi((x, y), C, \lambda)) \geq \varepsilon \\ \text{and } (\rho((x, y), C_k, \lambda) - \rho((x, y), C, \lambda)) \geq \varepsilon \end{array} \right\} = \{k \in N : k = n^2\} \subset I_d.$$

Definition 3.4. Let $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be separable *NMS* while \mathfrak{I} be admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} . A sequence $\{C_k\}$ of nonempty closed subsets of M is called as *WICA* with regards to $NM(\eta, \varphi, \rho)$, if for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, for every $x \in M$ and $\lambda > 0$, there must be

$$l = l(\varepsilon) \text{ such that } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\eta((x, y), C_k, \lambda) - \eta((x, y), C, \lambda)) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \\ k \in N : \text{ or } (\varphi((x, y), C_k, \lambda) - \varphi((x, y), C, \lambda)) \geq \varepsilon \\ \text{and } (\rho((x, y), C_k, \lambda) - \rho((x, y), C, \lambda)) \geq \varepsilon \end{array} \right\} \in I$$

Definition 3.5. Let $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be separable *NMS* while $\{C_k\}$ is nonempty closed subsets of M . A sequence $\{C_k\}$ is called as *WI*Ca* with regards to $NM(\eta, \varphi, \rho)$, if there exists

$P = \{p = (p_j) : p_j < p_{j+1}, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{I})$ with the result that the subsequence $\mathcal{C}_p = \{\mathcal{C}_{p_k}\}$ is Wijsman Cauchy in M , that is,

$$\lim_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} |\eta(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_{p_l}, \lambda)| = 1, \lim_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_{p_l}, \lambda)| = 0 \\ \text{and } \lim_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} |\rho(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_{p_l}, \lambda)| = 0.$$

Definition 3.6. Let $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be separable *NMS* while I be proper ideal in N . Let us consider (C_k) be M . nonempty closed subsets. (C_k) sequence is called as WI^*C to C with regards to $NM(\eta, \varphi, \rho)$, if there exists $P \in F(I)$, where

$P = \{p = (p_j) : p_j < p_{j+1}, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ therefore, for each $s > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \eta(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) = \eta(x, C, \lambda), \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) = \varphi(x, C, \lambda) \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) = \rho(x, C, \lambda).$$

In such case, we have to write $(\eta, \varphi, \rho) - I_w^* - \lim C_k = C$.

Following is a theorem that demonstrates this point, and we can show that each *WIC* denotes the *WICa* condition in *NMS*.

Theorem 3.7. Let $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be separable *NMS*. Let I be arbitrary admissible ideal. Hence, each *WIC* sequence of closed sets (C_k) is *WICa* Regarding $NM(\eta, \varphi, \rho)$.

Proof. Suppose $(\eta, \varphi, \rho) - I_w - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C_k = C$.

Then, for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, for all $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in M$, the set

$$U(\varepsilon, s) = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \begin{array}{l} |\eta(x, C_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, C, \lambda)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon \\ \text{and } |\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \end{array} \right\}$$

belongs to I . Thus I is an admissible ideal, so $k_0 \in N$ must bewith the result that

$k_0 \notin U(\varepsilon, s)$. Now, suppose that

$$V(\varepsilon, s) = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \begin{array}{l} |\eta(x, C_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda)| \leq 1 - 2\varepsilon \\ \text{or } |\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda)| \geq 2\varepsilon \\ \text{and } |\rho(x, C_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda)| \geq 2\varepsilon \end{array} \right\} ..$$

Considering the inequality

$$|\eta(x, C_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda)| \leq |\eta(x, C_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, C, \lambda)| + |\eta(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda) - \eta(x, C, \lambda)|$$

$$|\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda)| \geq |\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C, \lambda)| + |\varphi(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C, \lambda)|$$

and

$$|\rho(x, C_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda)| \geq |\rho(x, C_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, C, \lambda)| + |\rho(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda) - \rho(x, C, \lambda)|$$

Observe that if $k \in V(\varepsilon, s)$, therefore

$$|\eta(x, C_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, C, \lambda)| + |\eta(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda) - \eta(x, C, \lambda)| \leq (1 - 2\varepsilon)$$

$$|\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C, \lambda)| + |\varphi(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C, \lambda)| \geq 2\varepsilon$$

$$\text{and } |\rho(x, C_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, C, \lambda)| + |\rho(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda) - \rho(x, C, \lambda)| \geq 2\varepsilon$$

From another point of view, since $k_0 \notin U(\varepsilon, s)$, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{l} |\eta(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda) - \eta(x, C, \lambda)| > 1 - \varepsilon, \\ |\varphi(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C, \lambda)| < \varepsilon \\ \text{and } |\rho(x, C_{k_0}, \lambda) - \rho(x, C, \lambda)| < \varepsilon. \end{array}$$

We get, $|\eta(x, C_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, C, \lambda)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon$, $|\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon$
and $|\rho(x, C_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, C, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon$.

Hence $k \in U(\varepsilon, s)$.

This implies that $U(\varepsilon, s) \subset V(\varepsilon, s) \in I$, for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and for all $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in M$. Therefore, $V(\varepsilon, s) \in I$, whereas sequence is $\{C_k\}$ that is Wijsman *I*- Cauchy.

Theorem 3.8. Let us consider $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be separable *NMS* while I be an admissible ideal. Therefore, each closed sets sequence WI^*Ca is *WICa*.

Proof. If we assume that sequence (C_k) is WI^*Ca to $NM(\eta, \varphi, \rho)$. Then for each $x \in M$ and for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there is $P \in F(I)$, here

$P = \{p = (p_j) : p_j < p_{j+1}, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in a way that

$$\begin{array}{l} |\eta(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_{p_l}, \lambda)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon, |\varphi(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_{p_l}, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \\ \text{and } |\rho(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_{p_l}, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon, \text{ for all } k, l > k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon) \end{array}$$

Suppose $N = N(\varepsilon) = p_{k_0+1}$. Therefore, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_N, \lambda)| &\leq 1 - \varepsilon, \\ |\varphi(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_N, \lambda)| &\geq \varepsilon \\ \text{and } |\rho(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_N, \lambda)| &\geq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Now let us assume that $K = N \setminus P$. Obviously, $K \in I$

$$Q(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \left\{ k \in N : \begin{array}{l} |\eta(x, C_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_N, \lambda)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon \\ \text{or } |\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_N, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \\ \text{and } |\rho(x, C_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_N, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \end{array} \right\} \subset K \cup \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k_0}\}$$

Hence, for all $\lambda > 0$ and for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, one can determine $N = N(\varepsilon)$ so that $Q(\varepsilon, \lambda)$, that is sequence $\{C_k\}$ is $WICa$.

Theorem 3.9. Let us consider I to be an admissible ideal with (AP) property as well as $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be separable NMS . Hence, WI^*Ca sequence of sets' idea matches with $WICa$ in that regard to $NM(\eta, \varphi, \rho)$.

Proof. In the preceding Theorem, we proved the direct part.

Let us assume that sequence $\{C_k\}$ is $WICa$ sequence in that regard $NM(\eta, \varphi, \rho)$. Then by definition, if for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, for every $x \in M$ and $\lambda > 0$, there is a $m = m(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$B(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \left\{ k \in N : \begin{array}{l} |\eta(x, C_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_n, \lambda)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon \\ \text{or } |\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_n, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \\ \text{and } |\rho(x, C_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_n, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \end{array} \right\} \in I.$$

Now, suppose that

$$P_j(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \left\{ k \in N : \begin{array}{l} |\eta(x, C_k, s) - \eta(x, C_{m_j}, s)| > 1 - \frac{1}{j} \\ \text{or } |\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_{m_j}, \lambda)| < \frac{1}{j} \\ \text{and } |\rho(x, C_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_{m_j}, \lambda)| < \frac{1}{j} \end{array} \right\},$$

where $m_j = m\left(\frac{1}{j}\right)$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Obviously, for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $P_j(\varepsilon, s) \in F(I)$.

Utilizing Lemma, $P \subset N$, in this way that $P \in F(I)$ while $P \setminus P_j$ represent a finite set for any j .

This is a case when we can finally demonstrate

$$\lim_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} |\eta(x, C_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_l, \lambda)| = 1, \lim_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_l, \lambda)| = 0$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} |\rho(x, C_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_l, \lambda)| = 0.$$

Exemplifying the aforementioned equations, let $\varepsilon > 0$, $r \in N$. In this way, $r > \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$.

If $k, l \in P$, so $P \setminus P_j$ is finite set; hence, $w = w(r)$ such that

$$|\eta(x, C_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_l, \lambda)| > 1 - \frac{1}{r}, |\eta(x, C_l, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_r, \lambda)| > 1 - \frac{1}{r},$$

$$|\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_l, \lambda)| < \frac{1}{r}, |\varphi(x, C_l, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_r, \lambda)| < \frac{1}{r},$$

$$\text{and } |\rho(x, C_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_l, \lambda)| < \frac{1}{r}, |\rho(x, C_l, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_r, \lambda)| < \frac{1}{r}, \text{ for all } k, l > w(r).$$

Then, the above inequalities follow that for $k, l > w(r)$

$$|\eta(x, C_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_l, \lambda)| \leq |\eta(x, C_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_r, \lambda)| + |\eta(x, C_r, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_l, \lambda)|$$

$$> \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

$$|\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_l, \lambda)| \leq |\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_r, \lambda)| + |\varphi(x, C_r, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_l, \lambda)|$$

$$< \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r} < \varepsilon$$

$$\text{and } |\rho(x, C_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_l, \lambda)| \leq |\rho(x, C_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_r, \lambda)| + |\rho(x, C_r, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_l, \lambda)|$$

$$< \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r} < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, therefor $w = w(\varepsilon)$, $k, l \in P \in F(I)$,

We get

$$\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \begin{array}{l} |\eta(x, C_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_l, \lambda)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or } \\ |\varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_l, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \\ \text{and } |\rho(x, C_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_l, \lambda)| \geq \varepsilon \end{array} \right\} \in \mathfrak{I}.$$

This clearly demonstrates that sequence $\{C_k\}$ is WI^*Ca .

Theorem 3.10. Let us consider $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be separable *NMS* and I be an admissible ideal. Therefore $(\eta, \varphi, \rho) - I_w^* - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C_k = C$ indicates that sequence $\{C_k\}$ is *WICA* sequence regards to (η, φ, ρ) .

Proof. If we suppose $(\eta, \varphi, \rho) - I_w^* - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C_k = C$.

Therefore,

$P = \{p = (p_j) : p_j < p_{j+1}, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{J})$ so that $\mathcal{C}_p = \{\mathcal{C}_{p_k}\}$
 $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \eta(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) = \eta(x, C, \lambda)$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) = \varphi(x, C, \lambda)$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) = \rho(x, C, \lambda)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k, l > k_0$.

Let's assume $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ in a form that $r > \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$.

If $k, l \in P$, then $P \setminus p_j$ is described as finite set; thus, $k(r) = k$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\eta(x, \mathcal{C}_{p_k}, \lambda) - \eta(x, \mathcal{C}_{p_l}, \lambda)| \leq |\eta(x, \mathcal{C}_{p_k}, \lambda) - \eta(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| + |\eta(x, \mathcal{C}_{p_l}, \lambda) - \eta(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| \\ & > (1 - \frac{1}{r}) + (1 - \frac{1}{r}) > 1 - \varepsilon, \\ & |\varphi(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_{p_l}, \lambda)| < |\varphi(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C, \lambda)| + |\varphi(x, C_{p_l}, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C, \lambda)| \\ & < \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r} < \varepsilon \\ & \text{and } |\rho(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_{p_l}, \lambda)| < |\rho(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \rho(x, C, \lambda)| + |\rho(x, C_{p_l}, \lambda) - \rho(x, C, \lambda)| \\ & < \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lim_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} |\eta(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \eta(x, C_{p_l}, \lambda)| = 1$,

$$\lim_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C_{p_l}, \lambda)) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} (\rho(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \rho(x, C_{p_l}, \lambda)) = 0$$

Hence, sequence $\{C_k\}$ is *WICA* with respect to *NMS* (η, φ, ρ) .

In the whole subsection, we refer to I as admissible ideal in N , describe Wijsman I - cluster along with I - limit points of the sequence of sets in *NMS*, and draw certain conclusions from these definitions.

Definition 3.11. Let $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be separable *NMS*. So $C \in M$ is called as *WI*-cluster point of $\{C_k\}$ if and only if any $x \in M$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} k \in \mathbb{N} : |\eta(x, \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| < 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or} \\ |\varphi(x, \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| > \varepsilon \\ \text{and } |\rho(x, \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| > \varepsilon \end{array} \right\} \notin \mathfrak{J}.$$

We define $I_w^{(\eta, \varphi, \rho)}(\Gamma_{\{C_k\}})$ as the set of every *WI*-cluster points.

Definition 3.12. Let us consider $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be separable *NMS*. $C \in M$ is called as *WI*-limit point of sequence $\{C_k\}$ of nonempty closed subsets of M given that

$P = \{p = (p_j) : p_j < p_{j+1}, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ in form that $P \notin I$, as well as for every $x \in M$ along with $\lambda > 0$, so we get
 $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \eta(x, C_k, \lambda) = \eta(x, C, \lambda)$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x, C_k, \lambda) = \varphi(x, C, \lambda)$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x, C_k, \lambda) = \rho(x, C, \lambda)$. We denote $I_w^{(\eta, \varphi, \rho)}(\Lambda_{\{C_k\}})$ as all *WI*-limit points collection.

Theorem 3.13. Let us consider $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be separable *NMS*. So, for all,

$$(C_k) \subset M, I_w^{(\eta, \varphi, \rho)}(\Gamma_{\{C_k\}}) \subset I_w^{(\eta, \varphi, \rho)}(\Gamma_{\{C_k\}}).$$

Proof. Suppose $C \in I_w^{(\eta, \varphi, \rho)}(\Gamma_{\{C_k\}})$. Then there exists $P = \{p_1 < p_2 < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$P = \{p = (p_j) : p_j < p_{j+1}, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \notin I$ and for all $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in M$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \eta(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) = \eta(x, C, \lambda), \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) = \varphi(x, C, \lambda) \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) = \rho(x, C, \lambda) \quad (4.3.1)$$

According to Equations (4.3.1), there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for any $x \in M$ and $> k_0$.

$$|\eta(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \eta(x, C, \lambda)| > 1 - \varepsilon, |\varphi(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C, \lambda)| < \varepsilon$$

$$\text{and } |\rho(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \rho(x, C, \lambda)| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |\eta(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \eta(x, C, \lambda)| > 1 - \varepsilon, |\varphi(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \varphi(x, C, \lambda)| < \varepsilon,$

$$|\rho(x, C_{p_k}, \lambda) - \rho(x, C, \lambda)| < \varepsilon\} \supseteq$$

$$\{P \setminus p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k_0}\} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k \in \mathbb{N} : |\eta(x, \mathcal{C}_{p_k}, \lambda) - \eta(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| > 1 - \varepsilon, \\ |\varphi(x, \mathcal{C}_{p_k}, \lambda) - \varphi(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| < \varepsilon \\ |\rho(x, \mathcal{C}_{p_k}, \lambda) - \rho(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| < \varepsilon \end{array} \right\} \notin \mathfrak{J}$$

Which means that $C \in I_w^{(\eta, \varphi, \rho)}(\Gamma_{\{C_k\}})$.

Theorem 3.14. Let us consider $(M, \eta, \varphi, \rho, *, \diamond, \oplus)$ be a separable NMS . In that case, for any sequence $\{C_k\} \subset M$, $I_w^{(\eta, \varphi, \rho)}(\Gamma_{\{C_k\}}) \subset L_{\{C_k\}}$.

Proof. Let $C \in I_w^{(\eta, \varphi, \rho)}(\Gamma_{\{C_k\}})$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all $\lambda > 0$ and for each $x \in M$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} k \in \mathbb{N} : |\eta(x, \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| < 1 - \varepsilon, \\ |\varphi(x, \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| > \varepsilon \\ |\rho(x, \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| > \varepsilon \end{array} \right\} \notin \mathcal{I}.$$

Suppose,

$$Q_k = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \begin{array}{l} |\eta(x, \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \eta(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| > 1 - \frac{1}{k}, \\ |\varphi(x, \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \varphi(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| < \frac{1}{k} \\ |\rho(x, \mathcal{C}_k, \lambda) - \rho(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)| < \frac{1}{k} \end{array} \right\}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. $\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ contains subsets list of \mathbb{N} in decreasing order.

Therefore, $Q = \{k = (k_i < k_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{N}) \notin I\}$ so that

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \eta(x, \mathcal{C}_{k_i}, \lambda) = \eta(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x, \mathcal{C}_{k_i}, \lambda) = \varphi(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)$
and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x, \mathcal{C}_{k_i}, \lambda) = \rho(x, \mathcal{C}, \lambda)$, which means that $C \in L_{\{C_k\}}$.

4 Conclusion

This study has investigated a variant of ideal union, named Wijsman I and I^* -convergent sequences of closed sets, in NMS . We examined new combination ideas for Wijsman I and I^* -Cauchy sequences of closed sets in NMS . We analyzed some results for admissible ideals in NMS and acquired a few significant outcomes. Furthermore, Wijsman I_2 -limit focuses along with Wijsman I_2 -cluster points of closed set sequences progressions in NMS have been described. We can apply all the results of the current study and introduce new theories in different spaces like neutrosophic normed linear space, locally solid Riesz space and so on. Once proved the completeness of the space, obtaining a fixed-point theory in the respective space will become easier.

Declaration

Presented in 9th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON DISCRETE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELLING IN DIGITAL ERA (ICDMMMDE-2023) during March 23-25, 2023, Organized by the Department of Mathematics, The Gandhigram Rural Institute (Deemed to be University), Gandhigram - 624302, Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India. ICDMMMDE-23 was supported by : GRI-DTBU, CSIR.

References

- 1) Kisi O. I-Lacunary statistical convergence in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces. *Afyon Kocatepe University Journal of Science and Engineering*. 2020;20:207–212. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.35414/akufemubid.675886>.
- 2) Granados C, Dhital A. Statistical convergence of double sequences in neutrosophic normed spaces. 2021. Available from: <http://fs.unm.edu/NSS2/index.php/111/article/view/1294>.
- 3) Granados C. New notions of triple sequences on ideal spaces in metric spaces. *Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Application*. 2021;5(3):362–367. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.31197/atnaa.846717>.
- 4) Dundar E, Akin NP, Ulusu U. Wijsman lacunary I-invariant convergence of sequences of sets. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section A: Physical Sciences*;91. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2020.42.2.345>.
- 5) Zadeh LA. Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*. 1965;8(3):338–353. Available from: [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0019-9958\(65\)90241-x](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0019-9958(65)90241-x).
- 6) Atanassov KT. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 1986;20(1):87–96. Available from: [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0114\(86\)80034-3](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0114(86)80034-3).
- 7) Park J. Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. *Chaos Solitons Fractals*. 2004;22(5):10391046–10391046. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/am.2010.16067>.
- 8) Uddin F, Javed K, Aydi H, Ishfaq U, Arshad M. Control Fuzzy Metric Spaces via Orthogonality with an Application. *Journal of Mathematics*. 2021;2021:1–12. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2021/5551833>.
- 9) Mursaleen M, Karakaya V, Mohiuddine SA. Separability Schauder basis, separability, and approximation property in intuitionistic fuzzy normed space and approximation property in intuitionistic fuzzy normed space. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*. 2010. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/131868>.
- 10) Akin P. Regularly Ideal Invariant Convergence of Double Sequences. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*. 2021. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-021-02664-1>.
- 11) Jeyaraman M, Ramachandran A, Shakila VB. Fixed Point Theorems for Weak Contractions On Neutrosophic Normed Spaces. *Journal of Computational Mathematics*. 2022;1(1):134–158. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.26524/cm127>.
- 12) Sowndarajans JM, Smarandache F. Fixed Point Results for Contraction Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces. 2020. Available from: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal.

- 13) Kumar V, Ganaie I, Rasool, Sharma A. On $J_2(\delta\theta)$ -summability of double sequences in neutrosophic normed spaces. *Journal of Applied Analysis*. 2023. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1515/jaa-2023-0041>.
- 14) Pazhaniv KJ, Jeyaraman M. Some New Aspects of Fibonacci Lacunary Convergence of Double Sequences in. *Neutrosophic Normed Spaces Journal of Algebraic and Statistics*. 2022;13(3):1292–1303. Available from: <https://publishoa.com>.
- 15) Suganthim JM. A Generalized Neutrosophic Metric Space and Coupled Coincidence Point Results. . Available from: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal.
- 16) Rodríguez E, Granados C, Bermúdez J. A Generalized of Nörlund Ideal Convergent Double Sequence Spaces. *WSEAS Transactions in Mathematics*. 2021;20:562–568. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.37394/23206.2021.20.60>.
- 17) Gumus H. On Wijsman ideal convergent set of sequences defined by an Orlicz function. *Filomat*. 2016;30(13). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1613501G15>.
- 18) Wijsman RA. Convergence of Sequences of Convex Sets, Cones and Functions. II. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*. 1966;123(1):32–32. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1994611>.
- 19) Sen M, Mikail E. Lacunary statistical and lacunary strongly convergence of generalized difference sequences in intuitionistic fuzzy normed linear spaces. *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática*. 2018;38(1):117–129. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5269/bspm.v38i1.34814>.
- 20) Granados C, Osu OB, Wijsman. Regularly triple ideal convergence sequences of sets. *Wijsman and Wijsman regularly triple*. 2022. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2022.e01101>.
- 21) Omer IS. Ideal Convergence of Sequences in Neutrosophic Normed Spaces. 2021. Available from: <http://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-201568>.
- 22) Verda G. On generalized statistical limit points for triple sequence in random 2-normed spaces. . Available from: <https://cjms.journals.umz.ac.ir/article3507f4b29283565e0a2962f6b6359a44de28.pdf>.
- 23) Esi A, Khan VA, Ahmad M, Alam M. Some Results on Wijsman Ideal Convergence in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces. *Journal of Function Spaces*. 2020;2020:1–8. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2020/7892913>.