

## RESEARCH ARTICLE



## OPEN ACCESS

Received: 26-04-2024

Accepted: 12-05-2024

Published: 25-06-2024

**Citation:** Rani KJ, Raju VN (2024) On Certain Applications via  $(\varphi, \wp)$ -Suzuki type Fixed Point Results in  $A_b$ -Metric Spaces. Indian Journal of Science and Technology 17(25): 2635-2649. <https://doi.org/10.17485/IJST/v17i25.1412>

\* Corresponding author.

[jyothirmai.rani2013@gmail.com](mailto:jyothirmai.rani2013@gmail.com)

Funding: None

Competing Interests: None

**Copyright:** © 2024 Rani & Raju. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Published By Indian Society for Education and Environment (iSee)

ISSN

Print: 0974-6846

Electronic: 0974-5645

# On Certain Applications via $(\varphi, \wp)$ -Suzuki type Fixed Point Results in $A_b$ -Metric Spaces

Kavvampalli Jyothirmayi Rani<sup>1\*</sup>, V Naga Raju<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

<sup>2</sup> Professor, Department of Mathematics, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

## Abstract

**Objective:** In this study, we establish the existence and uniqueness of the common coupled fixed point theorem on complete  $A_b$ - metric space. **Method:** We have used the  $(\varphi, \wp)$  - type Suzuki contraction on two mappings. **Findings:** For two mappings on the complete  $A_b$ -Metric Space, we were able to obtain and prove a unique common coupled fixed point theorem and justify it with a suitable example. **Novelty:** A unique common coupled fixed point is obtained by using  $(\varphi, \wp)$  -type Suzuki contraction on two mappings and application of Suzuki contractive fixed point solutions of  $(\varphi, \wp)$  - type to integral equations in the setup of  $A_b$ -Metric Space.

**Subject classification for mathematics in 2000.** 54E50, 47H10, and 54H25.

**Keywords:**  $A_b$  -Metric Space;  $(\varphi, \wp)$  -Type Suzuki Contraction;  $\omega$  -Compatible;  $A_b$  -Completeness; Coupled Fixed Point

## 1 Introduction

A stunning fusion of geometry, topology, and analysis is seen in fixed point theory. Due to its numerous applications in approximation theory, non-linear analysis, medical innovation, biomechanics, integral, and impulsive differential equations, problem solving, homotopy theory, and algorithms, it has been playing a significant role.

Generalized versions of the core discoveries of Banach and Edelstein were recently shown by Suzuki<sup>(1)</sup> which sparked a great deal of interest in this area<sup>(2-4)</sup>. The notion of b- Metric Space (MS) was proposed<sup>(5)</sup> in 1989. Later, many authors generalized this and introduced the new Metric Space. M. Abbas et al.<sup>(6)</sup> presented the idea of n-tuple Metric Space and examined its topological characteristics in 2015.  $A_b$ -Metric Space is a generalized version of n-tuple Metric Space, as first proposed by M. Ughade et al.<sup>(7)</sup>. Then, in partially ordered  $A_b$ -MS, K. Ravibabu et al.<sup>(8)</sup> obtained unique coupled common fixed point (UCCFP) theorems. Guo and Lakshmikantham<sup>(9)</sup> generated the notion of a coupled fixed point (CFP) in 1987. Later, Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham<sup>(10)</sup> employing a weak contractive type assumption, established a novel fixed point theorem for a mixed monotone mapping on a Metric Space equipped with partial order. Several authors<sup>(11-16)</sup> studied the coupled fixed-point results.

This paper is to provide unique coupled common fixed point theorems in the set-up of  $A_b$ -Metric Space for  $(\varphi, \wp)$ -type contractions and  $(\varphi, \wp)$ -type Suzuki contractive mapping. Additionally, we can provide appropriate examples and an application to integral equations.

## 2 Methodology

This section includes a few definitions, examples, and lemmas that are necessary to provide our primary findings.

**Definition 2.1** <sup>(7)</sup>: Consider  $\mathfrak{J}$  as a non-empty set, &  $\vartheta \geq 1$  as a real number. An  $A_b$ -metric on  $\mathfrak{J}$  is defined as a mapping  $\tilde{n}_b : \mathfrak{J}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  that meets the following constraints for every  $\mathfrak{x}_z, \mathfrak{y} \in I$   
 $z = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ .

$$(\tilde{n}_b 1) \quad \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_1, \mathfrak{x}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{x}_n) \geq 0$$

$$(\tilde{n}_b 2) \quad \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_1, \mathfrak{x}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{x}_n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{x}_1 = \mathfrak{x}_2 = \dots = \mathfrak{x}_{n-1} = \mathfrak{x}_n$$

$$(\tilde{n}_b 3) \quad \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_1, \mathfrak{x}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{x}_n) \leq \vartheta \left( \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_1, \mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, (\mathfrak{x}_1)_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) \\ + \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_2, \mathfrak{x}_2, \dots, (\mathfrak{x}_2)_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) \\ + \dots + \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{x}_{n-1}, \dots, (\mathfrak{x}_{n-1})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) \\ + \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_n, \mathfrak{x}_n, \dots, (\mathfrak{x}_n)_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) \end{array} \right)$$

Then  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  is called an  $A_b$ -Metric Space

**Definition 2.2** <sup>(7)</sup>: A metric space  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  is symmetric if

$$\tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \dots, (\mathfrak{x})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) = \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}, \dots, (\mathfrak{y})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{x}) \quad \forall \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y} \in \mathfrak{J}$$

**Definition 2.3** <sup>(7)</sup>: Let  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  denote a  $A_b$ -Metric Space Then, if  $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathfrak{J}, \delta > 0$ , we define the open ball  $B\tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}, \delta)$  and closed ball  $B\tilde{n}_b[\mathfrak{x}, \delta]$  with center  $\mathfrak{x}$  and radius  $\delta$  as follows:

$$B\tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}, \delta) = \{\mathfrak{y} \in \mathfrak{J} : \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}, \dots, (\mathfrak{y})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{x}) < \delta\}, \text{ and}$$

$$B\tilde{n}_b[\mathfrak{x}, \delta] = \{\mathfrak{y} \in \mathfrak{J} : \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}, \dots, (\mathfrak{y})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{x}) \leq \delta\}.$$

**Lemma 2.4** <sup>(7)</sup>: In a  $A_b$ -Metric Space, we have

$$1. \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \dots, (\mathfrak{x})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) \leq \vartheta \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}, \dots, (\mathfrak{y})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{x})$$

$$2. \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \dots, (\mathfrak{x})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) \leq \vartheta (n-1) \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \dots, (\mathfrak{x})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) + \vartheta^2 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}, \dots, (\mathfrak{y})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y})$$

**Definition 2.5** <sup>(7)</sup>: Let  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  be a Metric Space. A sequence  $\{\mathfrak{x}_z\}$  in  $\mathfrak{J}$  is defined as follows:

1. If  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  exists such that  $\tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_z, \mathfrak{x}_z, \dots, (\mathfrak{x}_z)_{n-1}, \mathfrak{x}_1) < \delta$  to every  $l, z \geq n_0$  then  $\{\mathfrak{x}_z\}$  is  $\tilde{n}_b$ -Cauchy sequence.

2. We denote  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{x}_z = \mathfrak{x}$ .  $\tilde{n}_b$  convergent to the point  $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathfrak{J}$  if,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$\tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_z, \mathfrak{x}_z, \dots, (\mathfrak{x}_z)_{n-1}, \mathfrak{x}) < \epsilon \text{ to every } z \geq n_0$$

3. In a metric space  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  if all  $\tilde{n}_b$ -Cauchy sequences in  $\mathfrak{J}$  are  $\tilde{n}_b$ -convergent, then  $A_b$  is said to be complete.

**Lemma 2.6**: Assuming that  $\{\mathfrak{x}_k\}$  is  $\tilde{n}_b$ -convergent to  $\mathfrak{x}$  and  $\{\mathfrak{y}_k\}$  is  $\tilde{n}_b$ -convergent to  $\mathfrak{y}$  &  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  is the  $A_b$ -metric space with  $\vartheta \geq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad \frac{1}{\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \dots, (\mathfrak{x})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) &\leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_z, \mathfrak{x}_z, \dots, (\mathfrak{x}_z)_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}_z) \\ &\leq \limsup_{z \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_z, \mathfrak{x}_z, \dots, (\mathfrak{x}_z)_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}_z) \\ &\leq \vartheta^2 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \dots, (\mathfrak{x})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $\mathfrak{y}_z = \mathfrak{y}$  is a constant, then

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad \frac{1}{\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \dots, (\mathfrak{x})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) &\leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_z, \mathfrak{x}_z, \dots, (\mathfrak{x}_z)_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) \\ &\leq \limsup_{z \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_z, \mathfrak{x}_z, \dots, (\mathfrak{x}_z)_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) \\ &\leq \vartheta^2 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \dots, (\mathfrak{x})_{n-1}, \mathfrak{y}) \end{aligned}$$

We consider the following to obtain our results.

### 3 Results and Discussion

**Definition 3.1:** Assume  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  be the  $A_b$ -Metric Space, a mapping  $F : \mathfrak{J}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o})$  is referred to as a coupled fixed point of  $F$  if  $F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}) = \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $F(\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{o}$ , for  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{J}$

**Definition 3.2:** Assume that  $F : \mathfrak{J}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  and  $f : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  be two mappings where  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  is the  $A_b$ -Metric space

1. If  $F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}) = f\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $F(\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{a}) = f\mathfrak{o}$ , then an element  $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o})$  is considered a coupled coincident point of  $F$  &  $f$

2. A Coupled common point of  $F$  &  $f$  is defined as an element  $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o})$  if

$F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}) = f\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $F(\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{a}) = f\mathfrak{o} = \mathfrak{o}$ ,

3. If  $f(F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o})) = F(f\mathfrak{a}, f\mathfrak{o})$  and  $F(f\mathfrak{o}, f\mathfrak{a})$  then for all  $\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{J}$ , then there exists a pair  $(F, f) \ni F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}) = f\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $F(\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{a}) = f\mathfrak{o}$  then  $(F, f)$  is called  $\omega$ -compatible

**Definition 3.3:**  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  be a  $A_b$ -metric space &  $F : \mathfrak{J} \times \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  &  $f : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  be two mappings

( $i_0$ ) The pair  $(F, f)$  is supposed to be a  $(\varphi, \wp)$ -type contraction, if there exist two functions  $\varphi \in \Theta$  &  $\wp \in \Omega$  such that

$$\forall \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{b}, d \in \mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b(F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}), F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}), \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}), F(\mathfrak{b}, d)) > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \wp((n-1)^3 \wp^6 \tilde{n}_b(F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}), F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}), \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}), F(\mathfrak{b}, d))) \leq \wp(M(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{b}, d)) - \varphi(M(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{b}, d)) \quad (3.1)$$

( $i_1$ ) The pair  $(F, f)$  is supposed to be a  $(\varphi, \wp)$ -type Suzuki contraction, if There exists two functions  $\varphi \in \Theta$  and  $\wp \in \Omega$  such that  $\forall, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{b}, d \in I$  with  $F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}) \neq f\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $F(\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{a}) \neq f\mathfrak{o}$  and  $f\mathfrak{a} \neq f\mathfrak{o}$

$$\frac{1}{n\wp^2} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}, f\mathfrak{a}, \dots, (f\mathfrak{a})_{n-1}, F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o})) \leq \max \left( \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}, f\mathfrak{a}, \dots, (f\mathfrak{a})_{n-1}, f\mathfrak{b}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}, f\mathfrak{o}, \dots, (f\mathfrak{o})_{n-1}, fd), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{b}, f\mathfrak{b}, \dots, (f\mathfrak{b})_{n-1}, F(\mathfrak{b}, d)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, (fd)_{n-1}, F(d, \mathfrak{b})) \end{array} \right) \text{ implies}$$

$$\wp((n-1)^3 \wp^6 \tilde{n}_b(F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}), F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}), \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}), F(\mathfrak{b}, d))) \leq \wp(M(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{b}, d)) - \varphi(M(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{b}, d)) \quad (3.2)$$

Where

$$M(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{b}, d) = \max \left( \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}, f\mathfrak{a}, \dots, (f\mathfrak{a})_{n-1}, f\mathfrak{b}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}, f\mathfrak{o}, \dots, (f\mathfrak{o})_{n-1}, fd), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}, f\mathfrak{a}, \dots, (f\mathfrak{a})_{n-1}, F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o})), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}, f\mathfrak{o}, \dots, (f\mathfrak{o})_{n-1}, F(\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{a})), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{b}, f\mathfrak{b}, \dots, (f\mathfrak{b})_{n-1}, F(\mathfrak{b}, d)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, (fd)_{n-1}, F(d, \mathfrak{b})), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{b}, f\mathfrak{b}, \dots, (f\mathfrak{b})_{n-1}, F(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o})), \\ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, (fd)_{n-1}, F(\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{a})), \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)\wp^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}, f\mathfrak{a}, \dots, (f\mathfrak{a})_{n-1}, F(\mathfrak{b}, d)), \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)\wp^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}, f\mathfrak{o}, \dots, (f\mathfrak{o})_{n-1}, F(d, \mathfrak{b})) \end{array} \right)$$

And  $\Omega = \{\wp/\wp : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)\}$  &  $\Theta = \{\varphi/\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)\}$  are the functions satisfying the following conditions.

( $i_0$ )  $\wp$  is continuous, monotonically non-decreasing, and  $\varphi$  is lower semi-continuous.

( $i_1$ )  $\wp(t) = 0 = \varphi(t)$  if and only if  $t = 0$

**Lemma 3.4:** Assume that  $\{\mathfrak{a}_z\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathfrak{J}$  such that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{z+1}) = 0$

Let  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  be a  $A_b$ -Metric Space with coefficient  $\wp \geq 1$ . There exist  $\epsilon > 0$  & two sequences say  $\{\mathfrak{a}_{w_k}\}$  &  $\{\mathfrak{a}_{z_k}\}$  of positive integers such that the below instances hold if  $\{\mathfrak{a}_z\}$  is not a  $\wp_b$ -Cauchy sequence

$$(i) \ 0 \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{a}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{a}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{z_k}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{a}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{a}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{z_k}) \leq (n-1)\wp^0$$

$$(ii) \ \frac{0}{(n-1)\wp} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{a}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{a}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{z_k}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{a}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{a}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{z_k}) \leq (n-1)^2 \wp^2 0$$

$$(iii) \frac{\dot{0}}{(n-1)\vartheta} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) \leq (n-1)^2 \vartheta \dot{0}$$

$$(iv) \frac{\dot{0}}{(n-1)\vartheta^3} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) \leq (n-1)^2 \vartheta^4 \dot{0}$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $\{\mathfrak{x}_z\}$  is not a  $\tilde{n}_b$ -Cauchy sequence, then there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  & two sequences  $\{\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}\}$  &  $\{\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}\}$  (say) of positive integers such that  $z_k > w_k > k$ ,

$$\tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k-1}}) < \dot{0} \text{ and } \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \geq \dot{0} \quad (3.3)$$

Using the fact that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_z, \mathfrak{x}_z, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z+1}) = 0$  and (Equation (3.3)), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{0} &\leq \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \leq (n-1)\vartheta \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k-1}}) + \vartheta^2 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{z_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k-1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \\ &\leq (n-1)\vartheta \dot{0} + \vartheta^2 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{z_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k-1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we have that

$$\dot{0} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \leq (n-1)\vartheta \dot{0}$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{0} &\leq \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \\ &\leq (n-1)\vartheta \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}) + \vartheta^2 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \\ &\leq (n-1)\vartheta \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}) + (n-1)\vartheta^3 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) \\ &\quad + \vartheta^4 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \quad \text{and} \\ \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) &\leq (n-1)\vartheta \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}) + \vartheta^2 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) \\ &\leq (n-1)\vartheta \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}) + (n-1)\vartheta^3 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \\ &\quad + \vartheta^4 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) \\ &\leq (n-1)\vartheta \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}) + (n-1)^2 \vartheta^4 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k-1}}) \\ &\quad + (n-1)\vartheta^5 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{z_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k-1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) + \vartheta^4 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) \end{aligned}$$

By considering the lower limit in the first inequality as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the upper limit in the second inequality as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we arrive at

$$\frac{\dot{0}}{(n-1)\vartheta^3} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) \leq (n-1)^2 \vartheta^4 \dot{0}$$

Additionally, we have that

$$\dot{0} \leq \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \leq (n-1)\vartheta \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) + \vartheta^2 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k})$$

And

$$\tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}})$$

$$\leq (n-1) \vartheta \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) + \vartheta^2 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}})$$

$$\leq (n-1)^2 \vartheta^2 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k-1}}) + \vartheta^3 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{z_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k-1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k})$$

$$+ \vartheta^2 \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}})$$

We also have that

$$\frac{0}{(n-1)\vartheta} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}) \leq (n-1)^2 \vartheta^2 0$$

Applying a comparable method, we determine that

$$\frac{0}{(n-1)\vartheta} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \leq (n-1)^2 \vartheta^2 0.$$

**Theorem 3.5:** Let  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  be a  $A_b$ -Metric Space and  $F : \mathfrak{J}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  &  $F : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  be two mappings that satisfy  $(\varphi, \wp)$ -type suzuki contraction with

a)  $F(\mathfrak{J}^2) \subseteq f(\mathfrak{J})$  &  $f(\mathfrak{J})$  is complete,

b) The pair  $(F, f)$  right is  $\omega$ -compatible.

Then there is unique coupled common fixed point of  $F$  &  $f$  in  $\mathfrak{J}$

**Proof:** Suppose  $\mathfrak{x}_0, \mathfrak{o}_0 \in \mathfrak{J}$ , then from (a), we establish the sequences  $\{\mathfrak{x}_z\}, \{\mathfrak{o}_z\}$  in  $\mathfrak{J}$  as

$$F(\mathfrak{x}_z, \mathfrak{o}_z) = f\mathfrak{x}_{z+1}, F(\mathfrak{o}_z, \mathfrak{x}_z) = f\mathfrak{o}_{z+1} \text{ where } z = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Case (i): Assume that

$$f\mathfrak{x}_z \neq f\mathfrak{x}_{z+1} \text{ or } f\mathfrak{o}_z \neq f\mathfrak{o}_{z+1} \forall z. \quad (3.4)$$

Since,

$$\frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_0, \mathfrak{o}_0)) = \frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1)$$

$$\leq \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1) \\ \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_0, \mathfrak{o}_0)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, F(\mathfrak{o}_0, \mathfrak{x}_0)), \end{array} \right\}$$

Then from (Equation (3.2)), we get

$$\wp((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2)) = \wp((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(F(\mathfrak{x}_0, \mathfrak{o}_0), F(\mathfrak{x}_0, \mathfrak{o}_0), \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_1, \mathfrak{o}_1)))$$

$$\leq \wp(M(\mathfrak{x}_0, \mathfrak{o}_0, \mathfrak{x}_1, \mathfrak{o}_1)) - \wp(M(\mathfrak{x}_0, \mathfrak{o}_0, \mathfrak{x}_1, \mathfrak{o}_1)) \leq \wp \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_2) \end{array} \right\} \right) -$$

$$\wp \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_2) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ \leq \wp \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_2) \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

Because of

$$M(\mathfrak{x}_0, \mathfrak{o}_0, \mathfrak{x}_1, \mathfrak{o}_1) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_0, \mathfrak{o}_0)), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, F(\mathfrak{o}_0, \mathfrak{x}_0)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_1, \mathfrak{o}_1)), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, F(\mathfrak{o}_1, \mathfrak{x}_1)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_0, \mathfrak{o}_0)), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, F(\mathfrak{o}_0, \mathfrak{x}_0)), \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_1, \mathfrak{o}_1)), \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, F(\mathfrak{o}_1, \mathfrak{x}_1)), \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_2) \end{array} \right\}$$

From definition of  $\wp$ , we have

$$\tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2) \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^6} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_2) \end{array} \right\} \quad (3.5)$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_2) \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^6} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_2) \end{array} \right\} \quad (3.6)$$

From (Equations (3.5) and (3.6)) one can conclude

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_2) \end{array} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^6} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_2) \end{array} \right\} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\text{If } \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_1) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_2) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then from (Equation (3.7)), we have

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_2) \end{array} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^6} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_2) \end{array} \right\}$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, from (Equation (3.7)), we have

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_1, f\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_2), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_1, f\mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_2) \end{array} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^6} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_1) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Continuing in this way, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_z, f\mathfrak{x}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z+1}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_z, f\mathfrak{o}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_{z+1}) \end{array} \right\} &\leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^6} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{z-1}, f\mathfrak{x}_{z-1}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_z), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{z-1}, f\mathfrak{o}_{z-1}, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_z) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6)^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{z-2}, f\mathfrak{x}_{z-2}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z-1}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{z-2}, f\mathfrak{o}_{z-2}, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_{z-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \frac{1}{((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6)^p} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_0, f\mathfrak{x}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_1), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_0, f\mathfrak{o}_0, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_1) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_z, f\mathfrak{x}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z+1}) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_z, f\mathfrak{o}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_{z+1}) = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

The  $\tilde{n}_b$ -cauchy sequence  $\{f\mathfrak{x}_z\}$  will now be demonstrated. Assuming that  $\{f\mathfrak{x}_z\}$  is not a  $\tilde{n}_b$ -Cauchy sequence, then  $\tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \geq \epsilon$  and sequences of positive integers  $\{z_k\}$  and  $\{w_k\}$  where  $z_k \geq w_k \geq k$ . We can select  $\{z_k\}$  as the smallest positive integer for each  $k > 0$ , corresponding to  $w_k$  such that  $\tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}) \geq \epsilon$ . We can select  $z_0 \in N \cup \{0\}$  such that  $\tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_{k-1}}) < \epsilon$

$$\frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{w_k})) < \frac{\epsilon}{n\vartheta^2} < \epsilon \leq \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k})$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{w_k})), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k})) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then, from (Equation (3.2)), we can get

$$\wp((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}})) = \wp((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(F(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{w_k}), F(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{w_k}), \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{z_k})))$$

$$\leq \wp(M(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{z_k})) - \varphi(M(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{z_k})) \quad (3.9)$$

Where  $M(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{z_k})$

$$= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{w_k})), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k})), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{z_k})), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k})), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{w_k})), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{w_k})), \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)\vartheta^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{z_k})), \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)\vartheta^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k})) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_{w_{k+1}}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_{z_{k+1}}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_{w_{k+1}}) \end{array} \right\}$$

Using (Equation (3.4)) and (Equation (3.8)), we have that

$$\overset{\circ}{0} \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{o}_{z_k})$$

$$= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{w_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_{w_{k+1}}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_{k+1}}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_{z_{k+1}}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, f\mathfrak{x}_{z_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{x}_{w_{k+1}}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, f\mathfrak{o}_{z_k}, \dots, f\mathfrak{o}_{w_{k+1}}) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq \{(n-1)\vartheta^0, (n-1)\vartheta^0, 0, 0, 0, 0, (n-1)^2\vartheta^3\overset{\circ}{0}, (n-1)^2\vartheta^3\overset{\circ}{0}\} = (n-1)^2\vartheta^3\overset{\circ}{0}$$

So that by using (Equation (3.4)) and (Equation (3.9)) becomes,

$$\wp((n-1)^2\vartheta^3\overset{\circ}{0}) = \wp\left((n-1)^3\vartheta^6 \frac{\overset{\circ}{0}}{(n-1)\vartheta^3}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \varphi \left( (n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \limsup_{z \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b (f\mathfrak{a}_{w_{k+1}}, f\mathfrak{a}_{w_{k+1}}, \dots, f\mathfrak{a}_{z_{k+1}}) \right) \\
&\leq \varphi \left( (n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \limsup_{z \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b (F(\mathfrak{a}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{a}_{w_k}), F(\mathfrak{a}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{a}_{w_k}), \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{a}_{z_k})) \right) \\
&\leq \limsup_{z \rightarrow \infty} \varphi \left( (n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b (F(\mathfrak{a}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{a}_{w_k}), F(\mathfrak{a}_{w_k}, \mathfrak{a}_{w_k}), \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_{z_k}, \mathfrak{a}_{z_k})) \right) \\
&\leq \varphi \left( (n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \right) - \varphi \left( (n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \right) \\
&< \varphi \left( (n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction. Thus, in  $f(\mathfrak{J})$ ,  $\{f\mathfrak{a}_z\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. In a similar manner, we may verify  $\{f\mathfrak{a}_z\}$  in  $f(\mathfrak{J})$  is a Cauchy sequence. There exists  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $d, n$  in  $\mathfrak{J}$  such that  $f(\mathfrak{J})$  is complete.  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f\mathfrak{a}_z = \alpha = fd$ ,  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f\mathfrak{a}_z = \beta = fn$

Since  $f\mathfrak{a}_z \rightarrow \alpha$ ,  $f\mathfrak{a}_z \rightarrow \beta$  for infinitely many  $z$

Now we claim that for  $f\mathfrak{a}_z \neq \alpha$ ,  $f\mathfrak{a}_z \neq \beta$

$$\max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})) \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, fZ), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)), \tilde{n}_b(fZ, fZ, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})) \right\}$$

For all  $\mathfrak{B}, Z \in \mathfrak{J}$  with  $fd \neq f\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $fn \neq fZ$

Let  $\mathfrak{B}, Z \in \mathfrak{J}$  with  $fd \neq f\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $fn \neq fZ$ . Then There exists a positive integer  $z_0$  such that for  $z \geq z_0$ , suppose that

$$\tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}) < \frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1})$$

Or

$$\tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}) < \frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+2})$$

And

$$\tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, fZ) < \frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1})$$

Or

$$\tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, fZ) < \frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+2})$$

Then, using the fact that

$\tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+2}) \leq \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1})$  we have

$$\tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z)) = \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1})$$

$$\leq (n-1)\vartheta \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}) + \vartheta \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, f\mathfrak{B})$$

$$\leq \frac{(n-1)}{n\vartheta} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(n-1)\vartheta} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+2})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\vartheta} \left( \frac{n-1}{n} + \frac{1}{n-1} \right) \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1})$$

$$< \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z))$$



Since the inequality mentioned above is contradictory, we must have that

$$\frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}) \leq \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, fZ), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z)) \end{array} \right\}$$

Or

$$\frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+2}) \leq \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, fZ), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{z+1})), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{z+1})) \end{array} \right\}$$

Hence, from (Equation (3.2)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\tilde{n}_b(F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z), F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z), \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z))) &\leq \varphi((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z), F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z), \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z))) \\ &\leq \varphi(M(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{B}, Z)) - \varphi(M(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{B}, Z)) \\ &\leq \varphi(M(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{B}, Z)) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{B}, Z) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, fZ), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z)), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)), \tilde{n}_b(fZ, fZ, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z)), \tilde{n}_b(fZ, fZ, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z)), \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)\vartheta^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(x, y)), \frac{1}{(n-1)\vartheta^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(y, x)) \end{array} \right\}$$

By using the notion of  $\boxtimes$  and letting  $z \rightarrow \infty$  in the inequality above, we obtain

$$\tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{d}, f\mathfrak{d}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{d}, f\mathfrak{d}, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{n}, f\mathfrak{n}, \dots, fZ), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)), \tilde{n}_b(fZ, fZ, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})) \end{array} \right\}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{n}, f\mathfrak{n}, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{d}, f\mathfrak{d}, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{n}, f\mathfrak{n}, \dots, fZ), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)), \tilde{n}_b(fZ, fZ, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})) \end{array} \right\}$$

Thus

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{d}, f\mathfrak{d}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{n}, f\mathfrak{n}, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{d}, f\mathfrak{d}, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{n}, f\mathfrak{n}, \dots, fZ), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)), \tilde{n}_b(fZ, fZ, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})) \end{array} \right\}$$

Hence, the claim. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)) &\leq (n-1)\vartheta^2 \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{d}, f\mathfrak{d}, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}) + \vartheta^2 \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{d}, f\mathfrak{d}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)) \\ &\leq n\vartheta^2 \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{d}, f\mathfrak{d}, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{n}, f\mathfrak{n}, \dots, fZ), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)), \tilde{n}_b(fZ, fZ, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{d}, f\mathfrak{d}, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{n}, f\mathfrak{n}, \dots, fZ), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)), \tilde{n}_b(fZ, fZ, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Hence, from (Equation (3.2)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \wp(\tilde{n}_b(F(d, n), F(d, n), \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z))) &\leq \wp((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(F(d, n), F(d, n), \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z))) \\ &\leq \wp(M(d, n, \mathfrak{B}, Z)) - \wp(M(d, n, \mathfrak{B}, Z)) \\ &\leq \wp \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, fZ), \\ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)), \tilde{n}_b(fZ, fZ, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(d, n)), \tilde{n}_b(fZ, fZ, \dots, F(n, d)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $\wp$ , we have that

$$\tilde{n}_b(F(d, n), F(d, n), \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, fZ), \\ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)), \tilde{n}_b(fZ, fZ, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{B}, f\mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(d, n)), \tilde{n}_b(fZ, fZ, \dots, F(n, d)) \end{array} \right\}$$

Now, from **Lemma (2.6)**, we have

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, (fd)_{n-1}, F(d, n)) \leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, F(d, n))$$

Now from (Equation (3.2)) and applying  $\wp$  on both sides, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \wp((n-1)^3 \vartheta^4 \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n))) &\leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \infty} \wp((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, f\mathfrak{a}_{z+1}, \dots, F(d, n))) \leq \\ \liminf_{z \rightarrow \infty} \wp((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z), F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z), \dots, F(d, n))) & \\ \leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \infty} \wp(M(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z, d, n)) - \liminf_{z \rightarrow \infty} \wp(M(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z, d, n)) & \\ \text{Here } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf M(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z, d, n) & \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, fd), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, fn), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z)), \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)), \dots, \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z)), \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)\vartheta^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(d, n)), \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)\vartheta^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(n, d)) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)), \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)\vartheta^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(d, n)), \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)\vartheta^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\mathfrak{a}_z, f\mathfrak{a}_z, \dots, F(n, d)) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \{ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)) \} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \wp((n-1)^3 \vartheta^4 \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n))) &\leq \wp \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ - \liminf_{z \rightarrow \infty} \wp(M(\mathfrak{a}_z, \mathfrak{a}_z, d, n)) & \\ \leq \wp \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)) \end{array} \right\} \right) & \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of  $\varphi$ , one can get that

$$\tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)) \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^4} \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)) \right\}$$

Similarly, we can have

$$\tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)) \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^4} \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)) \right\}$$

Thus

$$\max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)) \right\} \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^4} \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)) \right\}.$$

In order for  $F(d, n) = fd$  and  $F(n, d) = fn$  to be true. As a result the coupled coincidence point of  $F$  &  $f$  is  $(d, n)$  given that the pair  $(F, f)$  is  $\omega$ -compatible, so

$$f\alpha = f^2d = f(F(d, n)) = F(fd, fn) = F(\alpha, \beta) \text{ and } f\beta = f^2n = f(F(n, d)) = F(fn, fd) = F(\beta, \alpha) \quad (3.10)$$

$$\text{Now } \frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, F(\alpha, \beta)) = 0 \leq \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, f\alpha), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, f\beta), \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, F(\alpha, \beta)), \tilde{n}_b(f\beta, f\beta, \dots, F(\beta, \alpha)) \right\}.$$

From (Equation (3.2)), There is

$$\varphi((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, fd)) = \varphi((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(F(\alpha, \beta), F(\alpha, \beta), \dots, F(d, n)))$$

$$\leq \varphi(M(\alpha, \beta, d, n)) - \varphi(M(\alpha, \beta, d, n))$$

$$\leq \varphi(M(\alpha, \beta, d, n))$$

$$\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, fd), \tilde{n}_b(f\beta, f\beta, \dots, fn), \\ \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, F(\alpha, \beta)), \tilde{n}_b(f\beta, f\beta, \dots, F(\beta, \alpha)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)), \\ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(\alpha, \beta)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(\beta, \alpha)), \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)\vartheta^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, F(d, n)), \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)\vartheta^3} \tilde{n}_b(f\beta, f\beta, \dots, F(n, d)) \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

$$\leq \varphi(\max \{ \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, fd), \tilde{n}_b(f\beta, f\beta, \dots, fn) \}).$$

From the property of  $\varphi$ , It has

$$\tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, fd) \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^5} \max \{ \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, fd), \tilde{n}_b(f\beta, f\beta, \dots, fn) \}.$$

Similarly,

$$\tilde{n}_b(f\beta, f\beta, \dots, fn) \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^5} \max \{ \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, fd), \tilde{n}_b(f\beta, f\beta, \dots, fn) \}.$$

Thus

$$\max \left( \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, fd), \tilde{n}_b(f\beta, f\beta, \dots, fn) \right) \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^5} \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, fd), \tilde{n}_b(f\beta, f\beta, \dots, fn) \right\}.$$

Therefore, the expressions  $\beta = fn = f\beta, \alpha = fd = f\alpha$ . Therefore,  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is a Coupled Common Fixed Point of  $F$  and  $f$  as determined by (Equation (3.10)), we will demonstrate the unique coupled common fixed point in  $\mathfrak{J}$  in the sections that follow. Assume that

$F, f$  has another coupled fixed point  $(\alpha', \beta')$ . Now think about,

$$\frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, F(\alpha, \beta)) = 0 \leq \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, f\alpha'), \tilde{n}_b(f\beta, f\beta, \dots, f\beta'), \tilde{n}_b(f\alpha, f\alpha, \dots, F(\alpha, \beta)), \tilde{n}_b(f\beta, f\beta, \dots, F(\beta, \alpha)) \right\}$$

by (Equation (3.2)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \wp \left( (n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha') \right) &= \wp \left( (n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(F(\alpha, \beta), F(\alpha, \beta), \dots, F(\alpha', \beta')) \right) \\ &\leq \wp \left( \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha'), \tilde{n}_b(\beta, \beta, \dots, \beta') \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha') \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^6} \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha'), \tilde{n}_b(\beta, \beta, \dots, \beta') \right\}.$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\tilde{n}_b(\beta, \beta, \dots, \beta') \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^6} \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha'), \tilde{n}_b(\beta, \beta, \dots, \beta') \right\}.$$

Thus

$$\max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha'), \tilde{n}_b(\beta, \beta, \dots, \beta') \right\} \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^3 \vartheta^6} \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha'), \tilde{n}_b(\beta, \beta, \dots, \beta') \right\}.$$

Therefore  $\beta = \beta', \alpha = \alpha'$ . The Unique Common Coupled Fixed Point of  $F$  and  $f$  is hence  $(\alpha, \beta)$

Case (ii): If  $f\alpha_z = f\alpha_{z+1}, f\alpha_z = f\alpha_{z+1}$  for some  $z$  then

$f\alpha_z = F(\alpha_z, \alpha_z), f\alpha_z = F(\alpha_z, \alpha_z)$  so that  $(\alpha_z, \alpha_z)$  is the coupled coincidence point of  $F$  &  $f$ . Moreover, following as in case (i) with  $f\alpha_z = \alpha, f\alpha_z = \beta$ , we can show that  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is the Unique Common Coupled Fixed Point of  $F$  &  $f$

**Corollary 3.6:** Suppose  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  be the  $A_b$ -metric space and  $F : \mathfrak{J}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  &  $F : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  be two mappings which satisfy  $(\varphi, \wp)$ -type contraction with

a)  $F(\mathfrak{J}^2) \subseteq f(\mathfrak{J})$  &  $f(I)$  is complete,

b)  $(F, f)$  is  $\omega$ -compatible.

Following which, we have a Unique Common Coupled Fixed Point of  $F$  and  $f$  in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

**Corollary 3.7:** Let  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  be a complete  $A_b$ -Metric Space. Suppose that the mapping  $F : \mathfrak{J}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  satisfying  $(\varphi, \wp)$ -type Suzuki contraction, for all  $\alpha, \alpha, \beta, d \in \mathfrak{J}$

$$\frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, F(\alpha, \alpha)) \leq \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \beta), \tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, d), \tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, F(\alpha, \alpha)), \tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, F(\alpha, \alpha)) \right\} \text{ implies}$$

$$\wp \left( (n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(F(\alpha, \alpha), F(\alpha, \alpha), \dots, F(\beta, d)) \right) \leq \wp(M(\alpha, \alpha, \beta, d)) - \varphi(M(\alpha, \alpha, \beta, d))$$

$$\text{where } M(\alpha, \alpha, \beta, d) = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \beta), \tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, d), \\ &\tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, F(\alpha, \alpha)), \tilde{n}_b(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, F(\alpha, \alpha)), \\ &\tilde{n}_b(\beta, \beta, \dots, F(\beta, d)), \tilde{n}_b(d, d, \dots, F(d, \beta)), \\ &\tilde{n}_b(\beta, \beta, \dots, F(\alpha, \alpha)), \tilde{n}_b(d, d, \dots, F(\alpha, \alpha)) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Then there is a Unique Fixed Point of  $F$  in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

**Example 3.8:** Suppose  $\mathfrak{J} = [0, +\infty)$  we define  $\tilde{n}_b : \mathfrak{J}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  as  $\tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{a}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i < j} |\mathfrak{a}_i - \mathfrak{a}_j|^2 \forall \mathfrak{a}_i \in \mathfrak{J}, i = 1, 2, \dots$

Following which  $(\mathfrak{J}, \tilde{n}_b)$  is a complete  $A_b$ -Metric Space with  $\vartheta = 2$

Let  $F : \mathfrak{J}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  and  $f : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  be given by  $F(d, n) = \sin(\frac{6d-3n+72n-3}{72n})$ ,  $f(d) = \frac{2d+3n-2}{3n}$  and  $\wp, \varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\wp(t) = t$ ,  $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ , Then clearly,  $F(\mathfrak{J}^2) \subseteq f(\mathfrak{J})$  &  $(F, f)$  are

$\omega$ -compatible & for every  $d, n, Z, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{J}$

$$\frac{1}{8} \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)) \leq \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)).$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, f\mathfrak{B}), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, fZ), \right. \\ \left. \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(d, n)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(n, d)), \right\}$$

Now we have

$$\wp((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(F(d, n), F(d, n), \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)))$$

$$= (n-1)^4 \vartheta^6 |F(d, n) - F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)|^2$$

$$= (n-1)^4 \vartheta^6 \left| \sin\left(\frac{6d-3n+72n-3}{72n}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{6\mathfrak{B}-3Z+72n-3}{72n}\right) \right|^2$$

$$= 4(n-1)^4 \vartheta^6 \left| \cos\left(\frac{6d-3n+144n-6+6\mathfrak{B}-3Z}{72n}\right) \sin\left(\frac{6d-3n-6\mathfrak{B}+3Z}{72n}\right) \right|^2$$

$$\leq 4(n-1)^4 \vartheta^6 \left| \frac{6d-3n-6\mathfrak{B}+3Z+144n-6}{72n} \right|^2$$

$$\leq \frac{(n-1)}{4} \left( \left| \frac{2d+3n-2}{3n} - \sin\left(\frac{6\mathfrak{B}-3Z+72n-2}{72n}\right) \right|^2 + \left| \frac{2n+3n-2}{3n} - \sin\left(\frac{6Z-3\mathfrak{B}+72n-2}{72n}\right) \right|^2 \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{(n-1)}{4} (|fd - F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)|^2 + |fn - F(Z, \mathfrak{B})|^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} (\tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)) + \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})))$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{ \tilde{n}_b(fd, fd, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)), \tilde{n}_b(fn, fn, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})) \}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} M(d, n, \mathfrak{B}, Z) \leq \wp(M(d, n, \mathfrak{B}, Z)) - \varphi(M(d, n, \mathfrak{B}, Z))$$

Plug in  $n = 2$  and  $\vartheta = 2$  this satisfies all the requirements of the **Theorem 3.5** and  $(1, 1)$  is the only unique coupled common fixed point of  $F$  and  $f$ .

## 4 Application to Integral Equations

As an application to **Corollary 3.7** we examine the existence of a unique solution to an initial value problem in this section

**Theorem 4.1.** Take into consideration the initial value problem

$$\mathfrak{B}^1(t) = T(t, (\mathfrak{B}, Z)(t)), t \in I = [0, 1], (\mathfrak{B}, Z)(0) = (\mathfrak{B}_0, Z_0) \quad (4.1)$$

Here  $T : I \times R \rightarrow R$  along with  $\int_0^t T(s, (\mathfrak{B}, Z)(s))ds = \max \left\{ \int_0^t T(s, (\mathfrak{B}(s)))ds, \int_0^t T(s, (Z(s)))ds \right\}$   
&  $\mathfrak{B}_0, Z_0 \in R$ . Then there exists unique solution for the initial value problem (Equation (4.1)) in  $C(I, R)$

**Proof.** Corresponding integral equation for the initial value problem (Equation (4.1)) is

$$\mathfrak{B}(t) = \mathfrak{B}_0 + \frac{\sqrt{(n-1)^3}}{2} \vartheta^5 \int_0^t T(s, (\mathfrak{B}, Z)(s))ds.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{J} = C(I, R)$  and  $\tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{a}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i < j} |\mathfrak{a}_i - \mathfrak{a}_j|^2$  to each  $\mathfrak{a}_i \in \mathfrak{J}, i = 1, 2, \dots$  define  $F : \mathfrak{J}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  by

$$F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)(t) = \frac{2\mathfrak{B}_0}{\sqrt{(n-1)^3} \vartheta^5} + \int_0^t T(s, (\mathfrak{B}, Z)(s))ds.$$

and  $\wp, \varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  as  $\wp(t) = t$  &  $\varphi(t) = \frac{3t}{4}$ . Clearly, for all  $\mathfrak{B}, Z \in \mathfrak{J}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{n\vartheta^2} \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)) \leq \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}, \dots, d), \tilde{n}_b(Z, Z, \dots, n), \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}, \dots, F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)), \tilde{n}_b(Z, Z, \dots, F(Z, \mathfrak{B})) \right\}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \wp((n-1)^3 \vartheta^6 \tilde{n}_b(F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)(t), F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)(t), \dots, F(d, n)(t))) &= (n-1)^4 \vartheta^6 |F(\mathfrak{B}, Z)(t) - F(d, n)(t)|^2 \\ &= \left| \frac{2\mathfrak{B}_0}{\sqrt{(n-1)^3} \vartheta^5} + \int_0^t T(s, (\mathfrak{B}, Z)(s))ds - \frac{2\mathfrak{B}_0}{\sqrt{(n-1)^3} \vartheta^5} + \int_0^t T(s, (d, n)(s))ds \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4(n-1)}{\vartheta^4} \max\{|\mathfrak{B}(t) - d(t)|^2, |Z(t) - n(t)|^2\} = \frac{1}{4} \max \left\{ \tilde{n}_b(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}, \dots, d), \tilde{n}_b(Z, Z, \dots, n) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} M(\mathfrak{B}, Z, d, n) \leq \wp(M(\mathfrak{B}, Z, d, n)) - \varphi(M(\mathfrak{B}, Z, d, n)) \end{aligned}$$

Based on **Corollary 3.7**, we can infer that there is only one fixed point for  $F$  in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

## 5 Conclusion

We proved the existence and uniqueness of a common coupled fixed-point for two mappings in the setup of complete  $A_b$ -metric space, via  $(\varphi, \wp)$ -type Suzuki contraction with an example. And also illustrated the application to integral equations.

## Acknowledgment

The authors extend their gratitude to the reviewers and editors for their insightful comments and recommendations on how to improve the paper's content.

## References

- 1) Suzuki T. A generalized Banach contraction principle that characterizes metric completeness. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. 2007;136(05):1861–1869. Available from: <https://www.ams.org/journals/proc/2008-136-05/S0002-9939-07-09055-7/S0002-9939-07-09055-7.pdf>.
- 2) Ameer E, Arshad M, Shin D, Yun S. Common Fixed Point Theorems of Generalized Multivalued  $(\psi, \phi)$ -Contractions in Complete Metric Spaces with Application. *Mathematics*. 2019;7(2):1–15. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/math7020194>.
- 3) Mebawondu AA, Mewomo OT. Suzuki-type fixed point results in Gb-metric spaces. *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics*. 2021;14(05). Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1142/s1793557121500704>.
- 4) Naresh P, Reddy GU, Rao BS. Existence Suzuki Type Fixed Point Results in Ab-Metric Spaces With Application. *International Journal of Analysis and Applications*. 2022;20:1–18. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.28924/2291-8639-20-2022-67>.
- 5) Bakhtin IA. The contraction principle in quasi metric spaces. *Funct Anal Unianowsk Gos Ped Inst*. 1989;30:26–37. Available from: [https://www.google.com/search?sca\\_esv=74c740cd3a771c52&sca\\_upv=1&rlz=1C1CHBD\\_enIN1011IN1011&sxsrf=ADLYWJlZ8QGnTcIIHpMoePu87kipDfyFg:1715173101571&q=5.+Bakhtin+IA.+The+contraction+principle+in+quasi+metric+spaces,+Funct.+Anal.+Unianowsk+Gos.+Ped.+Inst.1989;30:2637&nfpr=1&sa=X&ved=2ahUKewic28nbj6FAxXYa2wGHeyrAgsQvgUoAXoECAGQAw](https://www.google.com/search?sca_esv=74c740cd3a771c52&sca_upv=1&rlz=1C1CHBD_enIN1011IN1011&sxsrf=ADLYWJlZ8QGnTcIIHpMoePu87kipDfyFg:1715173101571&q=5.+Bakhtin+IA.+The+contraction+principle+in+quasi+metric+spaces,+Funct.+Anal.+Unianowsk+Gos.+Ped.+Inst.1989;30:2637&nfpr=1&sa=X&ved=2ahUKewic28nbj6FAxXYa2wGHeyrAgsQvgUoAXoECAGQAw).
- 6) Abbas M, Ali B, Suleiman YI. Generalized coupled common fixed point results in partially ordered A-metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. 2015;2015(1):1–24. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13663-015-0309-2>.
- 7) Ughade M, Turkoglu D, Singh SR, Daheriya RD. Some Fixed Point Theorems in Ab -Metric Space. *British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science*. 2016;19(6):1–24. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.9734/bjmcs/2016/29828>.
- 8) Ravibabu K, Chindirala SR, Chappa RN. A novel coupled fixed point results pertinent to Ab-metric spaces with application to integral equations. *Mathematical Analysis and its Contemporary Applications*. 2022;4(3):63–83. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.30495/mac.2022.1949822.1046>.
- 9) Guo D, Lakshmikantham V. Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*. 1987;11(5):623–632. Available from: [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0362-546x\(87\)90077-0](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0362-546x(87)90077-0).
- 10) Bhaskar TG, Lakshmikantham V. Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*. 2006;65(7):1379–1393. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2005.10.017>.
- 11) Wu C, Cui J, Zhong L. Suzuki-Type Fixed Point Theorem in b2-Metric Spaces. *OALib*. 2019;06:1–8. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1105974>.
- 12) Joonaghany GH, Farajzadeh A, Azhini M, Khojasteh F. A New Common Fixed Point Theorem for Suzuki Type Contractions via Generalized -simulation Functions. *Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis (SCMA)*. 2019;16(1):129–148. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.22130/scma.2018.78315.359>.
- 13) Guan H, Li J. Common Fixed-Point Theorems of Generalized Weakly Contractive Mappings in Metric-Like Spaces and Application. *Journal of Mathematics*. 2021;2021:1–14. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6680381>.
- 14) Reetu, Bansal DR. Common Fixed Point Results for Generalized  $(\varphi; \phi; L)f; g$ -Weak Contraction Maps and their Applications to Best Approximation. *Indian Journal Of Science And Technology*. 2023;16(44):4073–4080. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17485/ijst/v16i44.2511>.
- 15) Ali B, Ali H, Nazir T, Ali Z. Existence of Fixed Points of Suzuki-Type Contractions of Quasi-Metric Spaces. *Mathematics*. 2023;11(21):1–13. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/math11214445>.
- 16) Reddy PM. A Common Fixed Point Theorem in Cone Rectangular Metric Space Under Expansive Type Condition. *Indian Journal Of Science And Technology*. 2023;16(32):2510–2517. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17485/ijst/v16i32.1303>.