INDIAN JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY



RESEARCH ARTICLE



GOPEN ACCESS

Received: 13.08.2020 **Accepted:** 18.01.2021 **Published:** 01.02.2021

Citation: Chauhan IS, Chauhan TS, Gauhar M (2021) Generalised neo-pseudo projective recurrent Finsler space. Indian Journal of Science and Technology 14(4): 289-296. https://doi.org/10.17485/IJST/v14i4.1410

*Corresponding author.

Tel: 09219269009 indiwarsingh.chauhan@gmail.com

Funding: None

Competing Interests: None

Copyright: © 2021 Chauhan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Published By Indian Society for Education and Environment (iSee)

ISSN

Print: 0974-6846 Electronic: 0974-5645

Generalised neo-pseudo projective recurrent Finsler space

Indiwar Singh Chauhan^{1*}, T S Chauhan², Mohammad Gauhar³

- **1** Assistant Professor, Deptt. of Mathematics, Bareilly College, Bareilly (U.P.), India. Tel.: 09219269009
- 2 Associate Professor, Deptt. of Mathematics, Bareilly College, Bareilly (U.P.), India
- **3** Research Scholar, IFTM University, Moradabad (U.P.), India

Abstract

Objectives: The purpose of this paper is to obtain several results in the field of generalised neo-pseudo projective recurrent Finsler space. **Methods:** A generalization technique is employed to solve the resulting problem. We provide its application in the study of space-time. **Findings:** In section 1, we have defined and studied some of the basic and useful results for later work. Section 2 deals for the neo-pseudo projective recurrent curvature tensor. The notion of neo-pseudo projective recurrent space of second order has been delineated in the section 3. In the section 4 we have studied the generalised neo-pseudo projective recurrent space and established several new results. **Novelty/Conclusion:** In this paper we have studied some recurrent properties of neo-pseudo projective curvature tensor in a Finsler space. We have obtained several new results which are as follows:

- If the space F^n admits a neo-pseudo projective curvature tensor $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$ then $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\nu\delta}$ is skew-symmetric with regard to last two indices.
- If the neo-pseudo projective deviation tensor Q^{α}_{β} and pseudo deviation tensor field T^{α}_{β} coincides to each other for q = 1 then space is W-flat.
- If Fⁿ admits the projectively flat Q-recurrent space then the relation $\nabla_{\varepsilon} Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} + \nabla_{\beta} Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\varepsilon} + \nabla_{\gamma} Q^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon\beta} = 0$ holds good.
- If a Finsler space Fⁿ admits projectively flat Q-birecurrent space then the relation $K_{\varepsilon\rho}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}+K_{\beta\rho}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\varepsilon}+K_{\gamma\rho}Q^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon\beta}=0$ holds good.
- If the space is Q-birecurrent then the generalised Q-recurrent space is Q-symmetric.
- For the projective flat generalised Q-recurrent space the relation $\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\delta} + \nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\gamma}Q^{\alpha}_{\delta\varepsilon} + \nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\delta}Q^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon\gamma} = 0$ holds good.

AMS Subject Classification: 58B20, 53C20, 53C60.

Keywords: Neo-pseudo; recurrent; projective; curvature tensor; bisymmetric; flat

1 Introduction

Let F^n be an n-dimensional Finsler space with a positive definite metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$, which admit a projective deviation tensor field W^{α}_{β} and pseudo deviation tensor field T^{α}_{β} satisfing

$$Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} = p W_{\beta}^{\alpha} + q T_{\beta}^{\alpha} \tag{1.1}$$

where in p and q are scalars which are positively homogenous of degree zero in \dot{x}^{α} .

Prof. U.P. Singh and Prof. A.K. Singh while developing the theory of neo-pseudo projective curvature tensor, obtain two kinds of curvature tensor $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}$ and $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}{}^{(1)}$. With a view to defining the projective deviation tensor field and pseudo deviation tensor field, he constructed the quantities $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta}(x,\dot{x})$ which behave like neo-pseudo projective deviation tensor.

With the help of tensor $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta}(x,\dot{x})$ the absolute differential of concerning vector referred to the scalar function $Q(x,\dot{x})$ is defined as follows ⁽¹⁻³⁾:

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{3} (\dot{\partial}_{\beta} Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma} - \dot{\partial}_{\gamma} Q^{\alpha}_{\beta}) \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = \dot{\partial}_{\beta}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\delta} \tag{1.3}$$

It is easy to verify that the neo-pseudo projective curvature tensor satisfies the following relations (1,4):

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} + Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\delta\beta} + Q^{\alpha}_{\delta\beta\gamma} = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}\dot{x}^{\beta} = Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma} \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} \dot{x}^{\beta} = Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\delta} \tag{1.6}$$

Moreover, these curvature tensor also satisfy the following identities

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}\,\dot{x}^{\beta}\,\dot{x}^{\gamma} = Q^{\alpha}_{\delta} \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\beta}\dot{x}^{\beta} = 0 \tag{1.8}$$

As it is well known, in the Finsler space a scalar function $Q(x, \dot{x})$ is given by

$$Q_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = (n-1)qT \tag{1.9}$$

Let us consider a curvature tensor W_{β}^{α} in Finsler space, is termed as projective curvature tensor in the Finsler space and is defined as follows $^{(2,5,6)}$:

$$W^{\alpha}_{\beta} = H^{\alpha}_{\beta} + T^{\alpha}_{\beta} \tag{1.10}$$

Wherein H^{α}_{β} is positively homogeneous of degree one in \dot{x}^{α} .

In analogy with the relation (1.1) the projective curvature tensors $W^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}$ and $W^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$ in the Finsler space with the condition p = q = 1 may be defined as follows ^(2,7):

$$W^{\alpha}_{\beta} = H^{\alpha}_{\beta} + Q^{\alpha}_{\beta} \tag{1.11}$$

$$W^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = H^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} + Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} \tag{1.12}$$

and

$$W^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = H^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} + Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{1.13}$$

In view of above discussions, we have the following theorems:

Theorem 1.1:

For the neo-pseudo projective curvature tensor the relation

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = -Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} \tag{1.14}$$

holds good.

Proof:

Interchanging β and γ in equation (1.2) and adding this with new equation, we get the desired result.

Theorem 1.2:

If the space F^n admits a neo-pseudo projective curvature tensor $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$ then $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$ is skew-symmetric with regard to last two indices.

Proof:

Interchanging γ and δ in equation (1.3) and adding the new equation to the equation (1.3), we obtain

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} + Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\delta\gamma} = \dot{\partial}_{\beta}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\delta} + \dot{\partial}_{\beta}Q^{\alpha}_{\delta\gamma} \tag{1.15}$$

From equations (1.14) and (1.15), we get

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = -Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\delta\gamma} \tag{1.16}$$

Theorem 1.3:

If the neo-pseudo projective deviation tensor Q^{α}_{β} coincides with geodesic deviation tensor field H^{α}_{β} in the Finsler space F^n then projective deviation tensor field W^{α}_{β} and the neo-pseudo projective deviation tensor Q^{α}_{β} are identically equal to each other.

Proof:

If the neo-pseudo projective deviation tensor Q^{α}_{β} coincides with geodesic deviation tensor field H^{α}_{β} . Consequently, from equation (1.11) follows

$$W^{\alpha}_{\beta} = Q^{\alpha}_{\beta} \tag{1.17}$$

Hence projective deviation tensor field W^{α}_{β} and the neo-pseudo projective deviation tensor Q^{α}_{β} are identically equal to each other.

Theorem 1.4:

If the neo-pseudo projective deviation tensor Q^{α}_{β} and pseudo deviation tensor field T^{α}_{β} coincides to each other for q=1 then Finsler space admits the condition $W^{\alpha}_{\beta}=0$ i.e. W-flat.

Proof

Inseting q = 1 in equation (1.1), we obtain

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\beta} = p W^{\alpha}_{\beta} + T^{\alpha}_{\beta} \tag{1.18}$$

If the neo-pseudo projective deviation tensor Q^{α}_{β} and pseudo deviation tensor field T^{α}_{β} coincides to each other then from equation (1.18) we observe that

$$W^{\alpha}_{\beta} = 0 \tag{1.19}$$

This manifests that the space is W-flat.

Theorem 1.5:

If the neo-pseudo projective deviation tensor Q^{α}_{β} and projective deviation tensor field W^{α}_{β} coincides to each other then the geodesic deviation tensor field H^{α}_{β} vanish identically i.e. H-flat.

Proof:

If the neo-pseudo projective deviation tensor Q^{α}_{β} and projective deviation tensor field W^{α}_{β} coincides to each other then from equation (1.11) follows the result

$$H^{\alpha}_{\beta} = 0 \tag{1.20}$$

Consequently, the space is H-flat.

Theorem 1.6:

If the projective deviation tensor field W^{α}_{β} and geodesic deviation tensor field H^{α}_{β} coincides to each other then the neo-pseudo projective deviation tensor Q^{α}_{β} vanish identically i.e. Q-flat.

Proof

If the projective deviation tensor field W^{α}_{β} and geodesic deviation tensor field H^{α}_{β} coincides to each other. Consequently, from equation (1.11) follows

$$Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} = 0 \tag{1.21}$$

Therefore the space is Q-flat.

2 Recurrent neo-pseudo projective curvature tensor in Finsler space

In view of the investigation of Prof. U.P. Singh and Prof. A.K. Singh $^{(1)}$ we observe that if the neo-pseudo projective deviation tensor Q^{α}_{β} is necessarily recurrent then projective deviation tensor and pseudo deviation tensor are proportional to each other. As a consequence of this follows the result

$$W_{\beta}^{\alpha} = t T_{\beta}^{\alpha} \tag{2.1}$$

wherein t is a scalar.

As a consequence of equations (1.1) and (2.1), we obtain

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\beta} = s \, T^{\alpha}_{\beta} \tag{2.2}$$

wherein s = pt + q is any scalar and positively homogeneous of degree zero in \dot{x}^{α} .

Definition 2.1:

A Finsler space whose curvature tensor is recurrent is called Q-recurrent Finsler space.

In view of the definition it follows that for a recurrent space, we have

$$\nabla_{\varepsilon} Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = R_{\varepsilon} \ Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{2.3}$$

wherein R_{ε} is a non-zero vector termed as the recurrent vector field.

Definition 2.2:

An n-dimensional Finsler space F^n is called Q-symmetric when the covariant derivative of curvature tensor is everywhere zero i.e.

$$\nabla_{\varepsilon} Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

Definition 2.3:

A Finsler space Fn is said to be Q-flat when its curvature tensor vanishes identically.

As a consequence of this definition follows the result:

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

Contracting (2.3) with \dot{x}^{β} and use of equation (1.6), we obtain

$$\nabla_{\varepsilon} Q_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha} = R_{\varepsilon} Q_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha} \tag{2.6}$$

Again contracting (2.6) with \dot{x}^{γ} and making use of equation (1.5), we get

$$\nabla_{\varepsilon} Q_{\delta}^{\alpha} = R_{\varepsilon} Q_{\delta}^{\alpha} \tag{2.7}$$

Thus, we have now the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1:

For the recurrence vector space R_{ε} in the Finsler space F^n there exists the relation $(\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon} - \nabla_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rho})(logT) = (\nabla_{\rho}R_{\varepsilon} - \nabla_{\varepsilon}R_{\rho})$.

Proof:

Differentiating (2.3) covariantly with regard to x^{ρ} , we get

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = (\nabla_{\rho}R_{\varepsilon} + R_{\varepsilon}R_{\rho})Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{2.8}$$

Interchanging ε and δ in equation (2.8) and subtracting the new equation from equation (2.8), we obtain

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} - \nabla_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rho}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = (\nabla_{\rho}R_{\varepsilon} - \nabla_{\varepsilon}R_{\rho})Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{2.9}$$

Contracting (2.9) with \dot{x}^{β} \dot{x}^{γ} and use of equation (1.7), we get

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q_{\delta}^{\alpha} - \nabla_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rho}Q_{\delta}^{\alpha} = (\nabla_{\rho}R_{\varepsilon} - \nabla_{\varepsilon}R_{\rho})Q_{\delta}^{\alpha} \tag{2.10}$$

Contracting α and δ in equation (2.10) and use of equation (1.9), we obtain

$$\left(\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon} - \nabla_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rho}\right)(logT) = \left(\nabla_{\rho}R_{\varepsilon} - \nabla_{\varepsilon}R_{\rho}\right) \tag{2.11}$$

Definition 2.4:

If the neo-pseudo projective curvature tensor $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}$ in the Finsler space F^n satisfies the relation

$$\nabla_{\varepsilon} Q^{\alpha}_{\beta \gamma} = R_{\varepsilon} \ Q^{\alpha}_{\beta \gamma} \tag{2.12}$$

then F^n is termed as Q-recurrent with recurrence vector field R_{ε} .

Consequently, we have a theorem:

Theorem 2.2:

If F^n admits the projectively flat Q-recurrent space then the relation $\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} + \nabla_{\beta}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\varepsilon} + \nabla_{\gamma}Q^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon\beta} = 0$ holds good.

Proof

If the space is projectively flat then from equation (1.12), we have

$$H^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} + Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

Differentiating (2.13) covariantly with respect to x^{ε} , we get

$$\nabla_{\varepsilon} H^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} + \nabla_{\varepsilon} Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = 0 \tag{2.14}$$

Taking the cyclic permutation in β , γ , ε and adding, we have

$$\left(\nabla_{\varepsilon}H^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} + \nabla_{\beta}H^{\alpha}_{\gamma\varepsilon} + \nabla_{\gamma}H^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon\beta}\right) + \left(\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} + \nabla_{\beta}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\varepsilon} + \nabla_{\gamma}Q^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon\beta}\right) = 0 \tag{2.15}$$

The first part of equation (2.15) vanishes due to commutation formula (8), equation (6.13), p.128), hence we obtain

$$\nabla_{\varepsilon} Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} + \nabla_{\beta} Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\varepsilon} + \nabla_{\gamma} Q^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon\beta} = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

3 Neo-Pseudo Projective Recurrent Space:

Definition 3.1:

Neo-pseudo projective curvature tensor $Q^{lpha}_{eta \nu \delta}$ of a Finsler space satisfies the relation

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = K_{\varepsilon\rho}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{3.1}$$

wherein $K_{\epsilon\rho}$ is non-zero recurrent tensor, then it is called neo-pseudo projective recurrent space of second order or briefly a Q-birecurrent space (3,4).

Definition 3.2:

If the covariant derivative of neo-pseudo projective curvature tensor $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$ vanishes identically then the space is termed as Q-bisymmetric.

As a consequence of above definition follows the result

$$\nabla_{\rho} \nabla_{\varepsilon} Q_{\beta \gamma \delta}^{\alpha} = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

In this regard we shall now establish the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1:

The necessary and sufficient condition for Finsler space to be Q-bisymmetric space is that the neo-pseudo projective tensor vanishes identically.

Proof:

Since neo-pseudo projective tensor vanishes i.e. $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}=0$. Consequently from equation (3.1) it follows that $\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}=0$. This manifests that the space to be Q-bisymmetric.

Conversely, if the space to be Q-bisymmetric then the converse of theorem is immediately proof.

Remark 3.1:

It is noteworthy that every Q-recurrent is necessarily Q-birecurrent.

Theorem 3.2:

In a Finsler space F^n , the recurrent tensor field $K_{\varepsilon\rho}$ is not symmetric in general.

Proof:

Contracting α and δ in equation (3.1) yields

$$\nabla_{\rho} \nabla_{\varepsilon} Q_{\beta \gamma} = K_{\varepsilon \rho} Q_{\beta \gamma} \tag{3.3}$$

Interchanging ε and ρ in equation (3.3) and subtracting the new equation from equation (3.3), we obtain

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q_{\beta\gamma} - \nabla_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rho}Q_{\beta\gamma} = (K_{\varepsilon\rho} - K_{\rho\varepsilon})Q_{\beta\gamma} \tag{3.4}$$

Contracting (3.4) with \dot{x}^{β} \dot{x}^{γ} and use of equation (1.7), we get

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q - \nabla_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rho}Q = (K_{\varepsilon\rho} - K_{\rho\varepsilon})Q \tag{3.5}$$

Using commutation formula (⁽⁵⁾, equation (6.10), p.126) and equation (2.2), we obtain

$$(K_{\varepsilon\rho} - K_{\rho\varepsilon})Q = (\dot{\partial}_{\sigma}Q)H_{\rho\varepsilon}^{\sigma} \tag{3.6}$$

From equations (1.9) and (3.6), consequently, follows

$$K_{\varepsilon\rho} - K_{\rho\varepsilon} = \dot{\partial}_{\sigma} (\log T) H_{\rho\varepsilon}^{\sigma} \tag{3.7}$$

Yields the result

$$K_{\varepsilon\rho} \neq K_{\rho\varepsilon}$$
 (3.8)

Theorem 3.3:

If a Finsler space F^n admits projectively flat Q-birecurrent space then the relation $K_{\varepsilon\rho}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} + K_{\beta\rho}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\varepsilon} + K_{\gamma\rho}Q^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon\beta} = 0$ holds good.

Proof:

Differentiating equation (2.16) covariantly with respect to x^{ρ} , we get

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} + \nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\beta}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\varepsilon} + \nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\gamma}Q^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon\beta} = 0$$
(3.9)

Since the space is Q-birecurrent then equation (3.2) assumes the form

$$K_{\varepsilon\rho}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} + K_{\beta\rho}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\varepsilon} + K_{\gamma\rho}Q^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon\beta} = 0$$
(3.10)

4 Generalised Neo-Pseudo Projective Recurrent Space:

Let us consider the relation

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = R_{\rho} \nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} + K_{\varepsilon\rho} Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{4.1}$$

wherein R_{ρ} and $K_{\varepsilon\rho}$ are recurrence vector and recurrence tensor fields respectively.

Definition 4.1:

The neo-pseudo projective curvature tensor $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$ of Finsler space F^n satisfying the condition (4.1) is called generalised neo-pseudo projective recurrent curvature tensor (3,4).

Definition 4.2:

Finsler space F^n equipped with the generalised neo-pseudo projective recurrent curvature tensor $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$ is called generalised neo-pseudo projective recurrent Finsler space (3,9).

In this regard, we have the following theorems:

Theorem 4.1:

The necessary and sufficient condition for Finsler space Fⁿ to be Q-symmetric is that the space has to be Q-birecurrent.

Proof

If the space is to be Q-symmetric i.e. $\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}=0$,

Consequently, from equation (4.1) follows

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = K_{\varepsilon\rho} \ Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{4.2}$$

which is the condition of Q-birecurrent.

Conversely, let us assume that the space be Q-birecurrent, follows the condition (4.2). Inserting equation (4.2) into equation (4.1), we obtain $\nabla_{\varepsilon} Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = 0$. Hence the space is Q-symmetric.

Theorem 4.2

If the space Fⁿ is Q-symmetric and Q-flat then its generalised neo-pseudo projective recurrent space vanishes identically.

Proof

If the space be Q-symmetric i.e. $\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}=0$ and Q-flat i.e. $Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}=0$. Consequently, from equation (4.1) follows $\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}=0$. This establishes the validity of the theorem.

Remark 4.1:

It is noteworthy that if Fⁿ to be Q-symmetric and Q-flat follows that the generalised neo-pseudo projective recurrent space necessarily vanishes. Consequently, the space is simply generalised Q-symmetric one.

Theorem 4.3:

If space Fⁿ admits Q-symmetric and Q-flat then the space is a generalised Q-symmetric one.

Proof:

It follows immediately from theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.4:

In Finsler space Fⁿ, if the space is Q-birecurrent then the generalised Q-recurrent space is Q-symmetric.

Proof

It is obvious from equations (2.4), (3.1) and (4.1).

Theorem 4.5:

For the recurrence vector R_o the relation

$$R_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}T - R_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rho}T = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

holds good.

Proof:

Contracting (4.1) by α and δ , we obtain

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q_{\beta\gamma} = R_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q_{\beta\gamma} + K_{\varepsilon\rho}Q_{\beta\gamma} \tag{4.4}$$

Interchanging ε and ρ in equation (4.4) and subtracting the new equation from equation (4.4), we get

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q_{\beta\gamma} - \nabla_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rho}Q_{\beta\gamma} = R_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q_{\beta\gamma} - R_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rho}Q_{\beta\gamma} + (K_{\varepsilon\rho} - K_{\rho\varepsilon})Q_{\beta\gamma}$$

$$\tag{4.5}$$

Contracting (4.5) with \dot{x}^{β} \dot{x}^{γ} and use of equation (1.7), we get

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q - \nabla_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rho}Q = R_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q - R_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rho}Q + (K_{\varepsilon\rho} - K_{\rho\varepsilon})Q \tag{4.6}$$

By virtue of equations (3.5) and (4.6), we get

$$R_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q - R_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rho}Q = 0 \tag{4.7}$$

Inserting equation (1.9) in equation (4.7), we get the desired result.

Theorem 4.6:

For the projective flat generalised Q-recurrent space the relation $\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\delta} + \nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\gamma}Q^{\alpha}_{\delta\varepsilon} + \nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\delta}Q^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon\gamma} = 0$ holds good.

Contracting (4.1) with \dot{x}^{β} , we obtain

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\delta} = R_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\delta} + K_{\varepsilon\rho}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\delta} \tag{4.8}$$

Taking the cyclic permutation in ε , γ , δ and on making use of equations (2.16) and (3.10), we observe that

$$\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\varepsilon}Q^{\alpha}_{\gamma\delta} + \nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\gamma}Q^{\alpha}_{\delta\varepsilon} + \nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\delta}Q^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon\gamma} = 0 \tag{4.9}$$

References

- 1) Singh UP, Singh AK. On neo-pseudo projective tensor fields. Indian J Pure Appl Math. 1979;10(10):1196-1201.
- 2) Dubey RSD, Singh H. Finsler spaces with recurrent pseudocurvature tensor. *Proceedings Mathematical Sciences*. 1979;88(4):363–367. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf02842482.
- 3) Singh UP, Singh AK. Finsler spaces admitting recurrent neo-pseudo projective tensor fields. *Indian J Pure Appl Math.* 1981;12(10):1201–1207. Available from: https://insa.nic.in/writereaddata/UpLoadedFiles/IJPAM/20005a82_1201.pdf.
- 4) Abdallah AA, Navlekar AA, Ghadle KP. On Study Generalized BP Recurrent Finsler Space. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology*. 2019;65(4):74–79. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.14445/22315373/ijmtt-v65i4p514.
- 5) Sinha BB. On projectively flat Finsler space and pseudo deviation tensor. Prog Math. 1971;5:88-92.
- 6) Srivastava SK, Mishra AK, P. Recurrent Finsler spaces theorem in projective recurrent spaces of third order. *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology*. 2016;5(1):185–194. Available from: http://www.ijset.net/journal/856.pdf.
- 7) Misra SB, Singh HC, Misra AK. On a neo-recurrent F_n^*. *Indian J Pure Appl Math.* 1985;16(3):232–242.
- 8) Rund H. Differential Geometry of Finsler space. Berlin. Springer-Verlag. 1959. Available from: https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783642516122.
- 9) Gicheru JG, Ngari CG. Cyrus Gitonga Ngari: Decomposition of Riemannian curvature tensor field and its properties. *Journal of advances in mathematics and computer science*. 2019;30(1):1–15. Available from: https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/article/view/28855.