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Abstract

Objectives/Methods: In this work, we are going to identify the IP addresses of all the machines. Along with the IP address, we also get information about the operating system and their version executing on machines. We also list the open ports of every machine connected to the network, which we are scanning. Finally, we enlist services executing in all the open port of machine connected with the network, in which we are using NMAP and NBTSCAN as a scanning tool. Findings: NMAP is the most powerful information-gathering tools available in the cybersecurity domain.
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1. Introduction

Information gathering gives us an idea about the amount of publicly accessible data of organization that may help an ethical hacker compromise the network as shown in Figure 1. We take 172.19.19.0 as an Internet Protocol (IP) address blocks assigned to the target organization that we have taken for our case study. We used NMAP and NBTSCAN to discover live hosts in our target network. We looked for e-paper, e-article, confidential information relating to partners, news of a merger, data related to the acquisition, schematics of network infrastructure. We scanned the entire 172.19.19.0 range hosts to identify open ports, services executing on these ports and the operating system executing on the open port. We scanned 172.19.19.1-10 to identify open ports, services executing and operating system of an open port.

*Author for correspondence
2. Literature Survey

Across the world, companies have teams of ethical hacker collecting threat data to protect their existing system from ongoing cyber-threats and manage a strong cyber security workforce. NMAP is one of the best information-gathering programs in the current era. Eventually, the researcher uses NBTSCAN to create host scan attacks. Multiple steps related to either live or dead forensics data gathering are designed by the researcher. Then, they analyse the DHCP requests to trace the attacking laptop. Multiple reports of various formats are collected from different network scanning tools. Information sources can be accessed automatically through information gathering methodology. These information-gathering techniques are useful for collecting essential information. Some researchers analyse this methodology and presents a generic framework for gathering and utilising widely distributed data in an expanding internet-based world. Attack tracing also indirectly helps to collect information to help in detailed information gathering. Some researcher also used high-interaction honeypots to collect information related to the target network.

3. Methodology

We used NMAP and NBTSCAN to discover live hosts in the network. We scanned 172.19.19.0 to discover live host in this network as shown in Figure 2.

Using command NBTSCAN, we scanned for the addresses from IP that is 172.19 to discover live nearby networks as mentioned in Figure 2. We scanned 10.0.0.0 to discover live host in this network again using NBTSCAN but at this time on a different network that is 10.0.0.0 as shown in Figure 3. We scanned 172.0.0.0 to discover live host in this network as shown in Figure 4.

We scanned the entire 172.19.0 range hosts to identify open ports, executing services and the operating system executing on the system associated with an open port. We scanned 172.19.1 to identify open ports, ongoing services and operating system on it as shown in Figure 5. In this figure that is Figure 5, we scanned the network 172.19.1 using NMAP output and we found all the open ports executing on that network. We scanned 172.19.2 to identify open ports, services and operating system on it as shown in Figure 6.

We scanned 172.19.3 to identify open ports, executing services and operating system on it as shown in Figures 7-8. We scanned 172.19.4 to identify open ports, executing services and operating system running on it as shown in Figure 9. We scanned 172.19.5 to identify open ports, executing services and operating system on it as shown in Figure 10. In this figure, we found the complete description for mainly two ports in which we found the operating system which is the main thing. We scanned 172.19.6 to identify open ports, executing services and operating system on it as shown in Figures 11 and 12. In this figure, we again using NMAP to identify open ports on 172.19.6 address. Results categorized into three services that are State, Service and Version.

We scanned 172.19.7 to identify open ports, executing services and operating system on it. It shows the operating system, in this case, Microsoft windows as the version is shown in Figure 13.

We scanned 172.19.8 to find the same things that are open ports and system version to find a vulnerability to get into the system as shown in Figure 14. We scanned 172.19.9 to identify open ports, executing services and operating system on it as shown in Figure 15. In this, we found different services found on the network 172.19.9 such as NetBIOS and Microsoft. We continue scanning 172.19.10 to find furthermore open ports, executing services and operating system on it as shown in Figure 16. We scanned the entire 10.0.0.0 range hosts to identify open ports, services and the operating system executing on them. We scanned 10.0.0.1 to identify open ports, executing services and operating system on it as shown in Figure 17. In this, all ports scanned with different port numbers such as 49152 and 21. We scanned 10.0.0.2 to identify open ports, executing services and operating system and found other different ports open on different services with founding windows version as shown in Figure 18.

We scanned 10.0.0.3 to identify open ports, executing services and operating system on it as shown in Figure 19. In this, all different ports 21, 30, 49152 and other ports
found to be opened with all different services. We scanned the entire 172.17.0.0 range hosts to identify open ports, services and the operating system executing on them as shown in Figure 20. We scanned 172.17.0.2 to identify open ports, executing services and operating system on it as shown in Figure 21. We again using NMAP in this at 172.17.0.2 address and again found various ports opened to be getting attacked.

```
root@kali:~# nbtscan -r 172.19.19.0/24
Doing NBT name scan for addresses from 172.19.19.0/24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IP address</th>
<th>NetBIOS Name</th>
<th>Server</th>
<th>User</th>
<th>MAC address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>172.19.19.1</td>
<td>GNAT</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>00:15:5d:79:e9:d1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172.19.19.9</td>
<td>RDDEPT</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>00:15:5d:79:e9:ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172.19.19.8</td>
<td>OPERATIONS</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>00:15:5d:79:e9:cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172.19.19.3</td>
<td>WIN-ULYD58KHIQP</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>00:15:5d:79:e9:e6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172.19.19.4</td>
<td>ADVERTISEMENT</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>00:15:5d:79:e9:c7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172.19.19.7</td>
<td>MARKETING</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>00:15:5d:79:e9:c9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172.19.19.2</td>
<td>ACCOUNTS</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>00:15:5d:79:e9:c5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172.19.19.6</td>
<td>HRDEPT</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>00:15:5d:79:e9:c8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172.19.19.10</td>
<td>SALES</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>00:15:5d:79:e9:cb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

**Figure 2.** Scanning 172.19.19.0 range.

```
root@kali:~# nbtscan -r 10.0.0.0/8
Doing NBT name scan for addresses from 10.0.0.0/8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IP address</th>
<th>NetBIOS Name</th>
<th>Server</th>
<th>User</th>
<th>MAC address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.10.0.1</td>
<td>GNAT</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>06:15:5d:15:41:f5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.10.0.3</td>
<td>ECOMM</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>06:15:5d:15:41:e9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.10.0.2</td>
<td>ENTERTAINMENT</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>06:15:5d:15:41:e8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

**Figure 3.** Scanning 10.0.0.0 range.

```
root@kali:~# nbtscan -r 172.0.0.0/8
Doing NBT name scan for addresses from 172.0.0.0/8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IP address</th>
<th>NetBIOS Name</th>
<th>Server</th>
<th>User</th>
<th>MAC address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>172.17.0.1</td>
<td>GNAT</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>00:15:5d:15:41:f6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172.17.0.2</td>
<td>WIN-AG46I92QBKJ</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>00:15:5d:15:41:e6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172.19.19.1</td>
<td>GNAT</td>
<td>&lt;server&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>00:15:5d:15:41:f7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192.168.0.1</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;unknown&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

**Figure 4.** Scanning 172.0.0.0 range.
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root@kali:~# nmap -Ps -o -p1-65535 172.19.19.1

Starting Nmap 6.47 (http://nmap.org) at 2018-01-15 13:50 EST
Nmap scan report for 172.19.19.1
Host is up (0.00098s latency).
Not shown: 65529 closed ports
PORT STATE SERVICE VERSION
21/tcp open tcpwrapped
135/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
139/tcp open netbios-ssn
445/tcp open microsoft-ds Microsoft Windows 2003 or 2008 microsoft.dcs
1025/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
3389/tcp open ms-wbt-server Microsoft Terminal Service
Device Type: general purpose
Running: Microsoft Windows 2003
OS CPE: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_server_2003::sp1 cpe:o:microsoft:windows_server_2003::sp2
OS details: Microsoft Windows Server 2003 SP1 - SP2
Network Distance: 1 hop
Service Info: OS: Windows; CPE: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows
OS and Service detection performed. Please report any incorrect results at http://nmap.org/submit/.
Nmap done: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 88.84 seconds.

Figure 5. NMAP output of 172.19.19.1.

root@kali:~# nmap -Ps -o -p1-65535 172.19.19.2

Starting Nmap 6.47 (http://nmap.org) at 2018-01-15 13:50 EST
Nmap scan report for 172.19.19.2
Host is up (0.00098s latency).
Not shown: 65522 closed ports
PORT STATE SERVICE VERSION
21/tcp open tcpwrapped
45/tcp open ssh WeOnlyDo sshd 2.1.3 (protocol 2.0)
  ssh-hostkey:
80/tcp open http Microsoft IIS httpd 7.5
  http-methods: Potentially risky methods: TRACE
  http-title: IIS7
135/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
139/tcp open netbios-ssn
445/tcp open netbios-ssn
3389/tcp open ms-wbt-server Microsoft Terminal Service
49152/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
49153/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
49154/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
49155/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
49156/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
49157/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
No exact OS matches for host (If you know what OS is running on it, see http://nmap.org/submit/).
TCP/IP fingerprint:
OS:SCAN(V:6.47,E:4,R:1,OS:T:21,CT:1,CI:1,CR:30012,AR:1,APV:1,M:29,DC:129,TV:1,PM:1,SP:105,RCVD:1,SR:107,TI:1,CI:1,CI:1,DS:0,CTS:0,OPS:0,M:584WONNT00NNS%02=M584WONNT00NN%03=M584WONNT00NNS%04=M584WONNT00NN%05=M584WONNT00NNS%06=M584WONNT00NNS%07=M584WONNT00NNS%08=M584WONNT00NNS%09=M584WONNT00NNS%10=M584WONNT00NNS%11=M584WONNT00NNS%12=M584WONNT00NNS%13=M584WONNT00NNS%14=M584WONNT00NNS%15=M584WONNT00NNS%16=M584WONNT00NNS%17=M584WONNT00NNS%18=M584WONNT00NNS%19=M584WONNT00NNS%20=M584WONNT00NNS%21=M584WONNT00NNS%22=M584WONNT00NNS%23=M584WONNT00NNS%24=M584WONNT00NNS%25=M584WONNT00NNS%26=M584WONNT00NNS%27=M584WONNT00NNS%28=M584WONNT00NNS%29=M584WONNT00NNS%30=M584WONNT00NNS%31=M584WONNT00NNS%32=M584WONNT00NNS%33=M584WONNT00NNS%34=M584WONNT00NNS%35=M584WONNT00NNS%36=M584WONNT00NNS%37=M584WONNT00NNS%38=M584WONNT00NNS%39=M584WONNT00NNS%40=M584WONNT00NNS%41=M584WONNT00NNS%42=M584WONNT00NNS%43=M584WONNT00NNS%44=M584WONNT00NNS%45=M584WONNT00NNS%46=M584WONNT00NNS%47=M584WONNT00NNS%48=M584WONNT00NNS%49=M584WONNT00NNS%50=M584WONNT00NNS%51=M584WONNT00NNS%52=M584WONNT00NNS%53=M584WONNT00NNS%54=M584WONNT00NNS%55=M584WONNT00NNS%56=M584WONNT00NNS%57=M584WONNT00NNS%58=M584WONNT00NNS%59=M584WONNT00NNS%60=M584WONNT00NNS%61=M584WONNT00NNS%62=M584WONNT00NNS%63=M584WONNT00NNS%64=M584WONNT00NNS%65=M584WONNT00NNS%66=M584WONNT00NNS%67=M584WONNT00NNS%68=M584WONNT00NNS%69=M584WONNT00NNS%70=M584WONNT00NNS%71=M584WONNT00NNS%72=M584WONNT00NNS%73=M584WONNT00NNS%74=M584WONNT00NNS%75=M584WONNT00NNS%76=M584WONNT00NNS%77=M584WONNT00NNS%78=M584WONNT00NNS%79=M584WONNT00NNS%80=M584WONNT00NNS%81=M584WONNT00NNS%82=M584WONNT00NNS%83=M584WONNT00NNS%84=M584WONNT00NNS%85=M584WONNT00NNS%86=M584WONNT00NNS%87=M584WONNT00NNS%88=M584WONNT00NNS%89=M584WONNT00NNS%90=M584WONNT00NNS%91=M584WONNT00NNS%92=M584WONNT00NNS%93=M584WONNT00NNS%94=M584WONNT00NNS%95=M584WONNT00NNS%96=M584WONNT00NNS%97=M584WONNT00NNS%98=M584WONNT00NNS%99=M584WONNT00NNS

Figure 6. NMAP output of 172.19.19.2.
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Figure 7. NMAP output of 172.19.19.3 (1).

Figure 8. NMAP output of 172.19.19.3 (2).
Figure 9. NMAP output of 172.19.19.4.

Figure 10. NMAP output of 172.19.19.5.
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Figure 11. NMAP output of 172.19.19.6 (1).

Figure 12. NMAP output of 172.19.19.6 (2).
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Figure 13. NMAP output of 172.19.19.7.

Figure 14. NMAP output of 172.19.19.8.
Figure 15. NMAP output of 172.19.19.9 (2).

Figure 16. NMAP output of 172.19.19.10.
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Figure 17. NMAP output of 10.10.0.1.

Figure 18. NMAP output of 10.10.0.2.
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Figure 19. NMAP output of 10.10.0.3.

Figure 20. NMAP output of 172.17.0.1.
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4. Conclusion

In this work, we used NMAP and NBTSCAN. Both NMAP and NBTSCAN are powerful tools available in the cyber security domain for information gathering or scanning of the network. In future, we shall use any other information gathering tool to enlist the service available on the open port of the machine of the target network. In future, we shall also close the open port in order to enhance the cyber security. There is also an open scope to do denial of service attacks with the help of open ports.
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