

Fixed Points in A Complex Valued Metric Space

Faisal Al-kasasbeh*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Science - Mu'tah University,
Karak 61710 P.O. Pox(7), Jordan;
kassasbeh13@yahoo.com

Abstract

Objectives/Aim: To find fixed points for integral types of contraction mappings in a complex valued metric spaces. **Methods:** We used mathematical analysis to prove the results as a generalization of weakly contraction self mappings defined in a complex metric space. **Findings:** We are finding by weakly integral types of contraction self mappings that there are common fixed points. In this paper we used commutative self mappings for our conclusions. **Application/Improvements:** In future it can also be used for the existence and uniqueness of the differential equations solutions.

Keywords: Complex Valued Metric Space, Contraction Mapping, Fixed Point, Integral Type, Weakly Commuting

1. Introduction

A fixed point theory contains a great number of generalizations of Banach contraction principle by using different form of contraction condition in various spaces. But majority of such generalizations are obtained by improving underlying contraction conditions which also includes contraction conditions described by rational expressions. The concept of complex valued metric space was introduced by¹ in 2011. The complex valued b-metric space is introduced by², which is more general than well-known complex valued metric space. There are many fixed point results in complex valued metric spaces³⁻¹³ also in complex valued b-metric spaces^{2,12}. In this study we present a common fixed point result for two self-mappings satisfying a contractive condition in complex valued metric spaces. This idea is intended to define rational expressions which are not meaningful in cone metric spaces and thus

many such results of analysis cannot be generalized to cone metric spaces but to complex valued metric spaces. A contractive condition of integral type was introduced by⁶ in 2002. After the paper of Branciari, a lot of research works have been carried out on generalizing contractive conditions of integral type for different contractive mappings satisfying various known properties. There are various types of weakly contractive self mappings in the last thirty years.

2. Preliminaries

Let C be the set of complex numbers, and for any two complex numbers z_1 and z_2 in C is denoted by $z_1, z_2 \in C$. If Real part and imaginary part of the complex number are denoted by $\text{Re}(z)$ and $\text{Im}(z)$ respectively. Define a partial order on C as follows: $z_1 \leq z_2$ (that is

*Author for correspondence

mean **subordination** if and only if $\text{Re}(z_1) \leq \text{Re}(z_2)$ and $\text{Im}(z_1) \leq \text{Im}(z_2)$.

Thus $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if one of the following holds:

- (1) Real parts z_1 and z_2 are equal and imaginary parts and z_1 are z_2 equal.
- (2) Real part z_1 is less than Real part z_2 and imaginary parts z_1 and z_2 are equal.
- (3) Real parts z_1 and z_2 are equal and imaginary part z_1 is less than imaginary part of z_2
- (4) Real part z_1 is less than real part and imaginary part z_1 is less than imaginary part z_2

We will write $z_1 \preceq z_2$ (**subordination** and isn't equal z_2) if z_1 and z_2 are n't equal and one of (2), (3) and (4) is satisfied. Also, we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ (z_1 is **strictly subordination** if only (4) is satisfied. It follows that:

- (i) $0 \prec z_1 \preceq z_2$ implies $|z_1| < |z_2|$
- (ii) $z_1 \prec z_2$ and $z_2 \prec z_3$ imply $z_1 \prec z_3$
- (ii) $0 \preceq z_1 \preceq z_2$ Implies $|z_1| \leq |z_2|$
- (iv) For any two real numbers a and b , $0 \leq a \leq b$ and $z_1 \preceq z_2$, then $a.z_1 \preceq b.z_2$.

Definition 2.1¹. Let X be a nonempty set and C be the set of complex numbers. Suppose that the mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow C$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $d(x, y)$ is nonnegative for all $x, y \in X$ and $x = y$ if and only if $d(x, y) = 0$

(2) $d(x, y)$ and $d(y, x)$ are equal for all x and y in C

(3) $d(x, y)$ is subordination of the sum of $d(x, z)$ and $d(x, y)$ for all x, y and z in X .

Then d is called a complex valued metric on X , and $(X; d)$ is called a complex valued metric space.

Definition 2.2¹. Let $(X; d)$ be a complex valued metric space. Then

- (1) A point x in X is called an interior point of a set A in X whenever there exists positive real numberr such that the open set $B(x, r)$ in A
- (2) A point x in X is called a limit point of a set A whenever there exists positive real numberr such that $B(x, r)$ and $(A - x)$ are nonempty set.
- (3) A subset A in X is called closed whenever each element of A belongs to A .
- (4) A subcbasis for a Hausdorff topology τ on X is a family $F = \{B(x, r) : x \in X \text{ and } 0 < r\}$.

Definition 2.3¹. Let $(X; d)$ be a complex valued metric space, x_n be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. Then

- (1) If for every $0 < c \in C$ there is $n \in N$ such that for all $n \in N$, $d(x_n, x) \prec c$, then x_n is said to be convergent to x and x is called a limit point of x_n . We denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

(2) If for every $0 < c \in \mathbb{C}$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(x_n, x_{n+m}) < c$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then x_n is said to be Cauchy sequence.

(3) If every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent, then (X, d) is said to be a complete complex valued metric space.

Lemma 2.4¹. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and let x_n be a sequence in X . Then x_n converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.5¹. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and let x_n be a sequence in X . Then x_n is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In 2002, a general contractive condition of integral type is given and analyzed by⁶ in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6⁵. Let $(X; d)$ be a complete metric space, $c \in (0, 1)$ and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for each $x, y \in X$,

$$\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \varphi(t) \leq c \int_0^{d(x, y)} \varphi(t)$$

where $\varphi: [0; +\infty) \rightarrow [0; +\infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable (i.e. with finite integral) on each compact subset of $[0; +\infty)$, non-negative, and such that for each $\delta > 0$, $\int_0^\delta \varphi(t) > 0$, then T has a unique fixed point $a \in X$ such that for each $x \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = a$.

Also, the pairwise commuting is defined.

Definition 2.7¹⁴. The two families of self mappings $\{f_i\}_1^m$ and $\{g_j\}_1^n$ are said to be pairwise commuting if

- (1) $f_i f_j = f_j f_i$, for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$
- (2) $g_k g_l = g_l g_k$, for $k, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- (3) $f_i g_l = g_l f_i$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

The concepts of weakly commuting, weak* commuting and weak** commuting are introduced by¹⁴⁻¹⁷ respectively. Next, we introduce the weakly contraction self mappings in the complex valued metric space.

Definition 2.8. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. Then

- (1) The two self maps f and g are weakly commuting if

$$d(fgx, gfx) \leq d(fx, gx),$$

- (2) The two self maps f and g are weak* commuting if

$$d(fgx, gfx) \leq d(f^2x, g^2x)$$

- (3) The two self maps f and g of a complete space (X, d) are weak** commuting if

$$f(x) \subseteq g(x) \text{ for } x \in X$$

$$d(f^2g^2x, g^2f^2x) \leq d(f^2gx, gf^2x) \leq d(fg^2x, g^2fx) \leq d(fgx, gfx) \leq d(f^2x, g^2x)$$

- (4) The two self maps f and g of a complete space (X, d) are m -weak** commute, if

$$f(x) \subseteq g(x) \text{ for any } x \in X$$

$$d(f^m g^m x, g^m f^m x) \leq d(f^m g x, g f^m x) \\ \leq d(f g^m x, g^m f x) \leq d(f g x, g f x) \leq d(f^m x, g^m x).$$

In this article, we denote X to the complex metric space (X, d) , and to a self mapping $f: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ by a self mapping f on X . And we define new concept of weak commuting in the complex valued metric space as follows.

Definition 2.9. For a real number $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the two self mappings f_1 and f_2 defined in a complex valued metric space (X, d) are said to be 2α -weak commuting if $f_1(X) \subset f_2(X)$ and

$$d(f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z) \leq d(f_1^{2\alpha} f_2 z, f f_1^{2\alpha} z) \\ \leq d(f_1 f_2^{2\alpha} z, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1 z) \\ \leq d(f_1 f_2 z, f_2 f_1 z) \leq d(f_1^{2\alpha} z, f_2^{2\alpha} z).$$

The 2α -weak commuting mapping is a generalization of various types of weak commuting maps.

3. The Main Results

By a rational expression of contraction mapping defined in a complex valued metric space X , we prove the next result of a common fixed point.

Theorem 3.1 Let f_1 and f_2 be two self sequentially continuous mappings on a complete complex valued metric space X such that $f_1(X) \subset f_2(X)$ and the order pair $\{f, g\}$ is 2α -weakly commuting, also the condition is satisfied

$$d(f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} w) \leq \lambda d(f_1^{2\alpha} z, f_2^{2\alpha} w)$$

$$+ \delta \left(\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha} w, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} w) [1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z)]}{1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z, f_1^{2\alpha} w)} \right) \\ + \gamma \left(\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha} w, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z) [1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} w)]}{1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z, f_1^{2\alpha} w)} \right)$$

where, $\alpha, \delta, \gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \delta + \gamma < 1$. Then f_1 and f_2 have a unique common fixed point

Proof. Let z_0 be an arbitrary point in X , and construct a sequence $\{z_n\}$ such that

$$f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n} = z_{2n+1} \text{ and } f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1} = z_{2n+2}, \text{ for } n=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

To show that $\{z_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Let $f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1} = z_{2n+1}$. Then

$$d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+2}) = d(f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n}) \leq \lambda d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n})$$

$$+ \delta \left(\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n}) [1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1})]}{1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n})} \right)$$

$$+ \gamma \left(\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}) [1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n})]}{1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n})} \right)$$

But $d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}) = 0$ since $f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1} = z_{2n}$. So that

$$d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+2}) \leq \lambda d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n})$$

$$+ \delta \left(\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n}) [1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1})]}{1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n})} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$|d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+2})| \leq \lambda |d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n})|$$

$$+ \delta \frac{|d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n})| [1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1})]}{|1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n})|}.$$

Since

$$|1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1})| \\ = |1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n})| > |d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n})|$$

which implies that

$$|d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+2})| \leq \lambda |d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n})| \\ + 2\delta |d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n})|$$

and

$$|d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+2})| \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-2\delta} |d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n-1}, f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n})|.$$

Moreover,

$$+ \delta \left(\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1}, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1}) [1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n})]}{1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})} \right) \\ + \delta \left(\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1}, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1}) [1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n})]}{1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})} \right) \\ + \gamma \left(\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1}, f_2^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}) [1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})]}{1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})} \right).$$

$$\text{But } d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1}, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}) = 0 \quad \text{since}$$

$$f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n} = z_{2n+1}. \text{ So that}$$

$$d(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+3}) \leq \lambda d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})$$

$$+ \delta \left(\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1}, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1}) [1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n})]}{1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$|d(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+3})| \leq \lambda |d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})|$$

$$+ \delta \frac{|d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1}, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})| [1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n})]}{|1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})|}.$$

Since

$$|1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n})| = |1 + d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})|$$

$$> |d(f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})|$$

which implies that

$$|d(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+3})| \leq \lambda |d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})|$$

$$+ 2\delta |d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1}, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})|$$

and

$$|d(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+3})| \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-2\delta} |d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n}, f_2^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})|.$$

$$\text{Let } \delta = \frac{\lambda}{1-2\delta}. \text{ Then}$$

$$|d(z_n, z_{n+1})| \leq \delta |d(z_{n-1}, z_n)| \leq \delta^2 |d(z_{n-2}, z_{n-1})| \leq \delta^3 |$$

$$d(z_{n-3}, z_{n-2})| \leq \dots \leq \delta^n |d(z_0, z_1)|.$$

So that for $m > n$,

$$|d(z_n, z_m)| \leq |d(z_n, z_{n+1})| + |d(z_{n+1}, z_{n+2})|$$

$$+ |d(z_{n+2}, z_{n+3})| + \dots + |d(z_{m-1}, z_m)|$$

$$\leq (\delta^n + \delta^{n+1} + \delta^{n+2} + \dots + \delta^{m-1}) |d(z_0, z_1)|$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\delta^n}{1-\delta}\right) |d(z_0, z_1)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, the sequence $\{z_n\}$ is Cauchy. By the completeness of the complex valued metric space X , there exists a number $t \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Assume by the contradiction that $t \neq f_1^a t$ and $0 \leq z = d(t, f_1^{2\alpha} t)$ so that

$$z = d(t, f_1^{2\alpha} t) \leq d(t, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1}) + d(f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1}, f_1^{2\alpha} t)$$

$$l(t, z_{2n+2}) + \lambda d(t, z_{2n+1}) + \delta \left(\frac{d(t, f_1^{2\alpha} t)[1 + d(z_{2n+1}, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})]}{1 + d(t, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n})} \right)$$

$$+ \gamma \left(\frac{d(z_{2n+1}, f_1^{2\alpha} t)[1 + d(t, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n+1})]}{1 + d(t, f_1^{2\alpha} z_{2n})} \right)$$

Hence,

$$|d(t, f_1^{2\alpha} t)| \leq |d(t, z_{2n+2})| + \lambda |d(t, z_{2n+1})|$$

$$+ \delta \left(\frac{|z|[1 + |d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+2})|]}{|1 + d(t, z_{2n+1})|} \right)$$

$$+ \gamma \left(\frac{|d(z_{2n+1}, f_1^{2\alpha} t)[1 + |d(t, z_{2n+1})|]}{|1 + d(t, z_{2n+1})|} \right)$$

Therefore, $|d(t, f_1^{2\alpha} t)| = 0$ As $n \rightarrow \infty$ which is a contradiction. Hence $t = f_1^a t$. In similar arguemnts we

find that $t = f_2^{2\alpha} t$. Also $t = f_1^{2\alpha} t = f_2^{2\alpha} t$. Now since $f_1^{2\alpha}$

is sequentially continuous, then

$$f_1^{2\alpha} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_2^{2\alpha} f_1 z_n) \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_1^{2\alpha} (f_2^{2\alpha} f_1 z_n))$$

$$\text{So that } f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} t = f_1^{2\alpha} t.$$

$$\text{Since } f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} f_1(t) = f_1^{2\alpha} f_1(t), \text{ and } f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} f_1(t) = f_2^{2\alpha} f_1(t)$$

then

$$d(t, f_1 t) = d(f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} t, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} (f_1 t)) \leq \lambda d(f_1^{2\alpha} t, f_2^{2\alpha} (f_1 t))$$

$$+ \delta \left(\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha} (f_1 t), f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} (f_1 t)) [1 + d(f_1^{2\alpha} t, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} t)]}{1 + d(f_1^{2\alpha} t, f_2^{2\alpha} (f_1 t))} \right)$$

$$+ \gamma \left(\frac{d(f_2^{2\alpha} (f_1 t), f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} (f_1 t)) [1 + d(f_1^{2\alpha} t, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} t)]}{1 + d(f_1^{2\alpha} t, f_2^{2\alpha} (f_1 t))} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$|d(t, f_1 t)| \leq \lambda |d(f_1^{2\alpha} t, f_2^{2\alpha} (f_1 t))|$$

$$+ \delta \frac{|d(f_1^{2\alpha} (f_1 t), f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} (f_1 t))| [1 + |d(f_1^{2\alpha} t, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} t)|]}{|1 + d(f_1^{2\alpha} t, f_2^{2\alpha} (f_1 t))|}$$

$$\leq [\delta + \lambda] |d(t, f_1 t)|.$$

So that $|d(t, f_1 t)| = 0$ and $f_1 t = t$ since $\delta + \lambda < 1$.

Similarly we get $f_2 t = t$. Thus t is a common fixed point

of f and g . Let $s \in X$ be another common fixed point

of f_1 and f_2 (i.e. $s = f_1 s = f_2 s$). Then

$$d(s, t) = d(f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} s, f_2^{2\alpha} f_1^{2\alpha} t) \leq \lambda d(f_1^{2\alpha} s, f_2^{2\alpha} t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\delta\left(\frac{d(f_2^{2\alpha}t, f_2^{2\alpha}f_1^{2\alpha}(f_1t))[1+d(f_1^{2\alpha}s, f_1^{2\alpha}f_2^{2\alpha}, (f_1s))]}{1+d(f_1^{2\alpha}s, f_2^{2\alpha}t)}\right) \\
& +\gamma\left(\frac{d(f_2^{2\alpha}s, f_2^{2\alpha}f_1^{2\alpha}(f_1t))[1+d(f_1^{2\alpha}t, f_1^{2\alpha}f_2^{2\alpha}, (f_1s))]}{1+d(f_1^{2\alpha}s, f_2^{2\alpha}t)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
|d(s, t)| & \leq \lambda |d(f_1^{2\alpha}s, f_2^{2\alpha}t)| + \delta \left(\frac{|d(f_2^{2\alpha}t, f_2^{2\alpha}f_1^{2\alpha}(f_1t))| [1+d(f_1^{2\alpha}s, f_1^{2\alpha}f_2^{2\alpha}, (f_1s))]}{|1+d(f_1^{2\alpha}s, f_2^{2\alpha}t)|} \right) \\
& \leq [\delta + \lambda] |d(s, t)|
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction and $s=t$ since $\tilde{a} + \tilde{e} < 1$. This

complete the proof of the theorem. **W**

Let $\{f_i\}_1^m$ and $\{g_j\}_1^n$ be two families of pairwise

commuting self mappings on a complete complex valued metric space X . Define F and G to be a finite products

of self mappings on X . such that $F = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n$ and

$G = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n$. Then we have the next result.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\{f_i\}_1^m$ and $\{g_j\}_1^n$ be two families of pairwise sequentially continuous commuting self mappings on the complex valued metric space X . Define F and G to be a finite products of self mappings on X such that $F = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n$ and $G = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n$. If $F(X) \subset G(X)$, the order pair $\{F, G\}$ is 2α -weakly commuting and the condition is satisfied

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(F^{2\alpha}G^{2\alpha}z, G^{2\alpha}F^{2\alpha}w) \leq \lambda d(F^{2\alpha}z, G^{2\alpha}w) \\
& +\delta\left(\frac{d(F^{2\alpha}w, G^{2\alpha}F^{2\alpha}w)[1+d(G^{2\alpha}z, F^{2\alpha}G^{2\alpha}z)]}{1+d(G^{2\alpha}z, F^{2\alpha}w)}\right) \\
& +\gamma\left(\frac{d(F^{2\alpha}w, F^{2\alpha}G^{2\alpha}z)[1+d(G^{2\alpha}z, G^{2\alpha}F^{2\alpha}w)]}{1+d(G^{2\alpha}z, F^{2\alpha}w)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where, $\acute{a}, \acute{a}, \tilde{a} \geq 0$ and $\acute{a} + 2\tilde{a} + \tilde{a} < 1$. Then F and G have a unique common fixed point.

Consider $\varphi : [0; +\infty) \rightarrow [0; +\infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable (i.e. with finite integral) on each compact subset of $[0; +\infty)$, non-negative,

and such that for each $\delta > 0$, $\int_0^\delta \varphi(t) dt > 0$. Then by using the general contractive condition of integral type we have the following Corollaries.

Corollary 3.3. Let f_1 and f_2 be two self sequentially continuous mappings on a complete complex valued metric space X such that $f_1(X) \subset f_2(X)$ and the order pair $\{f, g\}$ is 2α -weakly commuting, also the condition is satisfied

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha}f_2^{2\alpha}z, f_2^{2\alpha}f_1^{2\alpha}w)}{d(f_1^{2\alpha}z, f_2^{2\alpha}w)}} \varphi(t) dt \leq \lambda \int_0^{\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha}z, f_2^{2\alpha}w)}{d(f_1^{2\alpha}z, f_2^{2\alpha}w)}} \varphi(t) dt \\
& +\delta \int_0^{\left(\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha}w, f_2^{2\alpha}f_1^{2\alpha}w)[1+d(f_2^{2\alpha}z, f_1^{2\alpha}f_2^{2\alpha}z)]}{1+d(f_2^{2\alpha}z, f_1^{2\alpha}w)}\right)} \varphi(t) dt \\
& +\gamma \int_0^{\left(\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha}w, f_1^{2\alpha}f_2^{2\alpha}z)[1+d(f_2^{2\alpha}z, f_2^{2\alpha}f_1^{2\alpha}w)]}{1+d(f_2^{2\alpha}z, f_1^{2\alpha}w)}\right)} \varphi(t) dt
\end{aligned}$$

where, $\acute{a}, \acute{a}, \tilde{a} \geq 0$, $\acute{a} + \tilde{a} + \tilde{a} < 1$ and

$\ddot{o} : [0; +\infty) \rightarrow [0; +\infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable (i.e. with finite integral) on each compact subset of $[0; +\infty)$, non-negative, and such that for each $\delta > 0$, $\int_0^\delta \ddot{o}(t) dt > 0$. Then f_1 and f_2 have a unique common fixed point.

Corollary 3.4. Let $\{f_i\}_1^m$ and $\{g_j\}_1^n$ be two families of pairwise sequentially continuous commuting self mappings on the complex valued metric space X . Define F and G to be a finite products of self mappings on X such that $F = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_m$ and $G = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n$. If $F(X) \subset G(X)$, the order pair $\{F, G\}$ is 2α -weakly commuting and the condition is satisfied

$$\int_0^{\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} \dots f_m^{2\alpha} z, f_1^{2\alpha} f_2^{2\alpha} \dots f_m^{2\alpha} w)}{1 + d(G^{2\alpha} z, F^{2\alpha} w)}} \varphi(t) dt \leq \lambda \int_0^{\frac{d(f_1^{2\alpha} z, f_2^{2\alpha} w)}{1 + d(G^{2\alpha} z, F^{2\alpha} w)}} \varphi(t) dt + \delta \int_0^{\frac{d(F^{2\alpha} w, G^{2\alpha} F^{2\alpha} z)}{1 + d(G^{2\alpha} z, F^{2\alpha} w)}} \varphi(t) dt + \gamma \int_0^{\frac{d(F^{2\alpha} w, F^{2\alpha} G^{2\alpha} z)}{1 + d(G^{2\alpha} z, F^{2\alpha} w)}} \varphi(t) dt$$

where, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$, $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma < 1$ and

$\ddot{o} : [0; +\infty) \rightarrow [0; +\infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable (i.e. with finite integral) on each compact subset of $[0; +\infty)$, non-negative, and such that for each $\delta > 0$, $\int_0^\delta \ddot{o}(t) dt > 0$. Then F and G have a unique common fixed point.

4. Acknowledgement

The author thanks the referees for their useful suggestions to improve this paper.

5. References

1. Azam A, Fisher B, Khan M. Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces. *Journal of Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*. 2011; 32(3):243–53. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01630563.2011.533046>.
2. Rao K, Swamy P, Prasad J. A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b-metric spaces. *Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research*. 2013; 1(1):1–8.
3. Aydi H, Bota M, Karapinar E, Mitrovic S. A fixed point theorem for set valued quasi-contractions in b-metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*; 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2012-88>.
4. Bhatt S, Chaukiyal S, Dimri R. A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible maps in complex valued metric space. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science*. 2011; 1(3):1385–9.
5. Bhatt S, Chaukiyal S, Dimri R. Common fixed point of mappings satisfying rational inequality in complex valued metric space. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. 2011; 73(2):159–64.
6. Branciari A. A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*. 2002; 9(29):531–36. <https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171202007524>.
7. Datta S, Ali S. A common fixed point theorem under contractive condition in complex valued metric spaces. *International Journal for Science and Advance Research*. 2012; 2(6):467–75.
8. Datta S, Ali S. Common fixed point theorems for four mappings in complex valued metric spaces. *Journal of Nonlinear Functional Analysis*. 2013; 5(2):91–102.
9. Rouzkard F, Imdad M. Some common fixed point theorems on complex valued metric spaces. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*. 2012; 64(6):1866–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2012.02.063>.
10. Roshan J, Parvaneh J, Sedghi S, Shobkolaei N, Shatanawi W. Common fixed points of almost generalized S-contractive mappings in ordered b-metric spaces. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*. 2012; 64:1866–74.
11. Sessa S. On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations. *Publications de l'Institut Mathématique*. 1982; 32(46):149–53.

12. Sintunavarat W, Kumam P. Generalized common fixed point theorems in complex valued metricspaces and applications. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*; 2012. p. 1–12.
13. Verma R, Pathak H. Common fixed point theorems using property (E.A) in complex valued metric spaces. *Thai Journal of Mathematics*. 2013; 11(2):275–83.
14. Imdad M, Ali J, Tanveer M. Coincidence and common fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in Menger PM spaces. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*. 2009; 42(5):3121–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2009.04.017>.
15. Pathak H. Common fixed point theorems for weak** commuting mappings. *Bulletin - Calcutta Mathematical Society*. 1989; 81:455–66.
16. Pathak H, Lakshmi V. m-weak** commuting mappings and fixed point, *Studii, Si cerc. stintif. ser. Math*. 1993; 3:83–8.
17. Pathak H, Popa V, Lakshmi V. A fixed point theorem for m-weak commuting mappings. *Demonstratio Mathematica*. 1995; 28:697–702. <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-1995-0321>.