ISSN (Print) : 0974-6846 ISSN (Online) : 0974-5645 DOI: 10.17485/ijst/2015/v8iS8/71505

Stochastic Modified MAJ Model for Measuring the Efficiency and Ranking of DMUs

H. Dibachi^{1*}, M. H. Behzadi¹ and M. Izadikhah²

¹Department of Statistics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran; h-dibachi@iau-arak.ac.ir, behzadi@srbiau.ac.ir ²Department of Mathematics, Arak-Branch, Islamic Azad University, Arak, Iran; m-izadikhah@iau-arak.ac.ir

Abstract

The present study focuses on the extention of modified MAJ model in stochastic-data presence. To this aim, a stochastic modified MAJ model in stochastic data envelopment analysis (SDEA) is proposed for measuring the stochastic α -efficiency of decision-making units (DMUs) with normal-distribution inputs and outputs. Furthermore, stochastic super efficiency based on modified MAJ model is proposed for ranking stochastic α -efficient DMUs in stochastic modified MAJ model. Finally, a numerical example is presented to demonstrate the proposed idea.

Keywords: Maj Model, Modified Maj Model, Stochastic Data Envelopment Analysis, Stochastic Modified Stochastic Super Efficiency Model

1. Introduction

Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) proposed by Charnes et al¹ and developed by Banker et al² is an approach for evaluating the efficiencies of Decision Making Units (DMUs) with similar quantitative characteristics. This is reflected by the assumption that each DMU uses the same set of inputs to produce the same set of outputs, but the inputs are consumed and outputs are produced in varying amounts. Additional DEA approaches and applications can be found in, but are not limited to, Kordrostami and Jahani Sayyad Noveiri³, Kordrostami et al⁴, Shamsi et al⁵.

In cases for which several DMUs have the same efficiency score of 1, a standard DEA approach is not able to discriminate amongst this DMUs. The related literature provide several approaches to rank efficient DMUs in DEA. Adler et al.⁶, for example, classify and present these approaches into six streams:

- 1) cross-efficiency ranking methods;
- 2) benchmark ranking method;
- ranking with multivariate statistics in the DEA context;

- 4) ranking inefficient DMUs;
- 5) DEA and multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) methods and
- 6) super-efficiency ranking techniques.

The sixth stream is super-efficiency ranking techniques proposed by Anderson and Petersen⁷. They rank efficiency DMUs by measuring the distance from an efficiency DMU to a frontier, based on a set of observations, excluding the efficiency DMU in question. Therefore, the most efficient DMU is the one that can proportionally reduce outputs relative to the most efficient one without becoming inefficient.

Olesen and Petersen⁸ developed a chance-constrained DEA model which utilizes the piecewise linear envelopments of confidence regions for use with stochastic multiple inputs and multiple outputs. Huang and Li⁹ utilized this joint chance-constrained concept to discuss general dominance structures in the stochastic situations. Cooper et al^{10–12} have introduced the chance-constrained models to deal with the technical inefficiencies and congestion in the stochastic situation. Dibachi et al¹³ utilized stochastic multiplicative DEA model for

^{*}Author for correspondence

measuring the efficiency and ranking of DMUs under VRS technology.

In this paper, we propose the stochastic modified MAJ model and stochastic super efficiency based on modified MAJ model for measuring the efficiency and ranking of DMUs, respectively. We consider this DMUs with the inputs and outputs having normal distributions. The paper unfolds as follows:

Some basic concepts in statistics and deterministic modified MAJ model will be introduced in the next section. Section 3 addresses the proposed method for introducing the stochastic modified MAJ model. Section 4 addresses the stochastic super efficiency based on modified MAJ model proposed for ranking of DMUs. A numerical example is given in section 5. Conclusions will appear in section 6.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic concepts and results which will be used throughout the paper.

2.1 Normal Distribution

The normal distribution is a family of probability density functions that is frequently used in practical situations. In quantitative economics and finance, the normal distribution is ubiquitous and it arises, among other things, in connection with Brownian motion, the standard model for the price dynamics of securities in mathematical finance.

DEFINITION 2.1

A random variable X is said to have normal distribution if its probability density function is given as follows

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2} \quad ; \quad x \in R$$
 (1)

We will use the notation $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ to denote a random variable X following a normal distribution with parameters $\sigma > 0$ and $\mu \in R$. The corresponding cumulative distribution function has the following form:

$$F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(t-\mu)^2} dt$$
 (2)

Note that if $Z \sim N$ (0, 1) then $f_z(z)$ is called standard normal distribution and $f_{\alpha}(z)$ is denoted by $\Phi(z)$ and Φ^{-1} , its inverse, is the so-called fractile function. Specially, $\Phi^{-1}(0.5) = 0$, $\Phi^{-1}(0.1) = -1.28$, and $\Phi^{-1}(0.67) = 0.44.$

2.2 Modified MAJ Model

One of the basic DEA models for measuring the efficiency of DMUs is the modified MAJ model introduced by Saati et al¹⁴. The modified MAJ-efficiency of a specific DMU_0 is obtained by solving the following model

$$\begin{split} w_{o}^{*} &= min \; \{1 + w_{o}\} \\ s.t. \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} x_{ij} + s_{i}^{-} \\ &= x_{io} + w_{o}, \; i = 1, ..., m, \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} y_{rj} - s_{r}^{+} \\ &= y_{ro} - w_{o}, \; r = 1, ..., s, \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} = 1, \\ s_{r}^{+} &\geq 0, \qquad r = 1, ..., s, \\ s_{i}^{-} &\geq 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., m \; and \\ &\lambda_{i} &\geq 0, \qquad j = 1, ..., n, \end{split}$$

 $X_i = (x_{1i}, x_{2i}, \dots, x_{mi})$ and $Y_i = (y_{1i}, y_{2i}, \dots, y_{si})$ are the input and output vectors of DMU_i , respectively where $x_{ii} \ge 1$ 0 and $y_{ij} \ge 0$ for each $r \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}, j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, and $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}.$

DEFINITION 2.2

(Modified MAJ-efficient) DMU₀ is said to be modified MAJ-efficient if and only if the following two conditions are both satisfied:

i.
$$w_o^* = 1$$

ii. All slack variables are zero in the alternative optimal solution.

2.3 Super Efficiency Based on Modified **MAJ Model**

Perhaps super-efficiency is the most well known, most widely applied and researched ranking method in DEA which was developed by Andersen and Petersen⁷. To solve the important difficulties of super-efficiency model, Mehrabian et al¹⁵ proposed another model for ranking efficient units. Their proposed model is

$$w_{o}^{*} = min \quad \{1 + w_{o}\}$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} x_{ij} \leq w_{o} + x_{io}, \quad i = 1, ..., m,$$

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} y_{rj} \geq y_{ro}, \qquad r = 1, ..., s,$$

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} = 1 \qquad and$$

$$\lambda_{i} \geq 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., n.$$

$$(4)$$

The MAJ model may be infeasible in some cases. To solve this problem, Saati et al¹⁴ proposed the following modified MAJ model

$$\begin{split} w_{o}^{*} &= min \quad \{1 + w_{o}\} \\ s.t. \\ &\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} x_{ij} \leq x_{io} + w_{o}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ &\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} y_{rj} \geq y_{ro} - w_{o}, \quad r = 1, \dots, s, \\ &\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} = 1 \qquad and \\ &\lambda_{i} \geq 0, \qquad j = 1, \dots, n \end{split}$$

3. Stochastic Modified MAJ Model

In this section, we present the stochastic modified MAJ model. For each DMU_i , let $X_i = (X_{1i}, X_{2i}, \ldots, X_{mi})$ and $Y_i = (Y_{1i}, Y_{2i}, \dots, X_{si})$ be the input and output random vectors of DMU_i , respectively. Moreover, suppose that X_{ii} ≥ 0 and $Y_{ri} \geq 0$. If $X_{ij} \sim N(\mu_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}^2)$ and $Y_{rj} \sim N(\gamma_{rj}, \tau_{rj}^2)$ then by using model (3) the stochastic modified MAJ model can be obtained as follows

$$\begin{split} & w_{o}^{*}(\alpha) = min \ \{1 + w_{o}\} \\ & s.t. \\ & P(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} X_{ij} \leq X_{io} + w_{o}) \geq 1 - \alpha \quad i = 1, ..., m, \\ & P(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} Y_{rj} \geq Y_{ro} - w_{o}) \geq 1 - \alpha, \quad r = 1, ..., s, \\ & \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} = 1 & and \\ & \lambda_{j} \geq 0, & j = 1, ..., n \end{split}$$

where α is a predetermined number between 0 and 1 which specifies the significance level and P stands for probability.

3.1 Deterministic Equivalent

If $X_{ii} \sim N(\mu_{ii}, \sigma_{ii}^2)$ and $Y_{ri} \sim N(\gamma_{ri}, \tau_{ri}^2)$ then for all $r \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}, j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, and $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ we have that

$$\sigma_{i}^{2}(\lambda) = Var(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} X_{ij} - X_{io} - w_{o})$$

$$= Var(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} X_{ij} - X_{io})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \lambda_{k} Cov(X_{ik}, X_{ij})$$

$$-2\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} Cov(X_{ij}, X_{io}) + \sigma_{io}^{2}$$
(7)

Similarly,

$$\tau_r^2(\lambda) = Var(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j Y_{rj} - Y_{ro} + w_o)$$

$$= Var(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j Y_{rj} - Y_{ro})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \lambda_k Cov(Y_{rk}, Y_{rj})$$

$$-2\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j Cov(Y_{rj}, Y_{ro}) + \tau_{ro}^2$$
(8)

THEOREM 3.1

The deterministic equivalent of stochastic modified MAJ model (6) is

$$\begin{split} w_{o}^{*}(\alpha) &= min \ \{1 + w_{o}\} \\ s.t. \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \mu_{ij} + s_{i}^{-} - \sigma_{i}(\lambda) \Phi^{-1}(\alpha) \\ &= \mu_{io} + w_{o}, \ i = 1, ..., m, \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{rj} - s_{r}^{+} + \tau_{r}(\lambda) \Phi^{-1}(\alpha) \\ &= \gamma_{ro} - w_{o}, \ r = 1, ..., s, \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} &= 1, \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} &= 1, \\ s_{i}^{-} &\geq 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., m, \\ s_{r}^{+} &\geq 0, \qquad r = 1, ..., s \quad and \\ &\lambda_{i} &\geq 0, \qquad j = 1, ..., n \end{split}$$

$$(9)$$

Proof.

From the first constraint in model (6) and statement (7) we have

$$\begin{split} P(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} X_{ij} \leq X_{io} + w_{o}) \geq 1 - \alpha \\ & \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} X_{ij} - X_{io} - w_{o} - (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \mu_{ij} - \mu_{io} - w_{o}) \\ \Leftrightarrow P(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \mu_{ij} + \mu_{io} + w_{o}}{\sigma_{i}(\lambda)}) \geq 1 - \alpha \Leftrightarrow \\ & \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \mu_{ij} + \mu_{io} + w_{o}}{\sigma_{i}(\lambda)} \\ P(Z \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \mu_{ij} + \mu_{io} + w_{o}}{\sigma_{i}(\lambda)}) \\ & \geq 1 - \alpha \Leftrightarrow P(Z \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \mu_{ij} - \mu_{io} - w_{o}}{\sigma_{i}(\lambda)}) \leq \alpha \\ \Leftrightarrow P(Z \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \mu_{ij} - \mu_{io} - w_{o} + s_{i}^{-}}{\sigma_{i}(\lambda)}) \end{split}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \mu_{ij} - \mu_{io} - w_{o} + s_{i}^{-}$$

$$= \Phi(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \mu_{ij} - \mu_{io} - w_{o} + s_{i}^{-}}{\sigma_{i}(\lambda)}) = a$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \Phi^{-1}(a) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \mu_{ij} - \mu_{io} - w_{o} + s_{i}^{-}}{\sigma_{i}(\lambda)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \mu_{ij} + s_{i}^{-} - \sigma_{i}(\lambda) \Phi^{-1}(a) = \mu_{io} + w_{o}$$

$$(10)$$

Similarly, from the second constraint in model (6) and statement (8) we have that

$$P(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} Y_{rj} \geq Y_{ro} - w_{o}) \geq 1 - a$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} Y_{rj} - Y_{ro} + w_{o} - (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{rj} - \gamma_{ro} + w_{o})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{rj} + \gamma_{ro} - w_{o}}{\tau_{r}(\lambda)}) \geq 1 - a$$

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{rj} + \gamma_{ro} - w_{o}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(Z \geq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{rj} + \gamma_{ro} - w_{o}}{\tau_{r}(\lambda)}) \geq 1 - a$$

$$\Rightarrow P(Z \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{rj} + \gamma_{ro} - w_{o}}{\tau_{r}(\lambda)}) \leq a$$

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{rj} + \gamma_{ro} - w_{o} + s_{r}^{+}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(Z \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{rj} + \gamma_{ro} - w_{o} + s_{r}^{+}}{\tau_{r}(\lambda)}) = a$$

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{rj} + \gamma_{ro} - w_{o} + s_{r}^{+}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \Phi^{-1}(a) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{rj} + \gamma_{ro} - w_{o} + s_{r}^{+}}{\tau_{r}(\lambda)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{rj} - s_{r}^{+} + \tau_{r}(\lambda) \Phi^{-1}(a) = \gamma_{ro} - w_{o}$$

$$(11)$$

Thus, by (11) and (10), the deterministic model is completely specified where $w_o^*(a)$, s_i^{-*} , and s_i^{+*} can be determined by solving model (9).

4. Stochastic Super Efficiency based on Modified MAJ Model

In this section we propose stochastic super efficiency based on modified MAJ model as follows

$$\begin{split} w_{o}^{*}(a) &= min \ \{1 + w_{o}\} \\ s.t. \\ P(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} X_{ij} \leq X_{io} + w_{o}) \\ &\geq 1 - \alpha, \qquad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ P(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} Y_{rj} \geq Y_{ro} - w_{o}) \\ &\geq 1 - \alpha, \qquad r = 1, \dots, s, \\ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} &= 1 \qquad and \\ \lambda_{i} \geq 0, \qquad j = 1, \dots, n, \end{split}$$

In a similar manner to the proof of Theorem (3.1) the deterministic equivalent of stochastic super efficiency MAJ model (12) is specified as follows

$$\begin{split} w_{o}^{*}(a) &= \min \ \{1 + w_{o}\} \\ s.t. \\ &\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} \mu_{ij} + s_{i}^{-} - \sigma_{i}(\lambda) \Phi^{-1}(a) \\ &= \mu_{io} + w_{o}, \quad i = 1, ..., m, \\ &\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{rj} - s_{r}^{+} + \tau_{r}(\lambda) \Phi^{-1}(a) \\ &= \gamma_{ro} - w_{o}, \quad r = 1, ..., s, \\ &\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} &= 1, \\ &\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} &= 1, \\ &s_{i}^{-} \geq 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., m, \\ &s_{r}^{+} \geq 0, \qquad r = 1, ..., s \quad and \\ &\lambda_{j} \geq 0, \qquad j = 1, ..., n \end{split}$$
 (13)

Note that in the above model we have that

$$\sigma_{i}^{2}(\lambda) = Var(\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} X_{ij} - X_{io} - w_{o})$$

$$= Var(\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} X_{ij} - X_{io}) = \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq o}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} \lambda_{k} Cov(X_{ik}, X_{ij})$$

$$-2\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq o}}^{n} \lambda_{j} Cov(X_{ij}, X_{io}) + \sigma_{io}^{2}$$

$$(14)$$

and,

$$\tau_r^2(\lambda) = Var(\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq o}}^n \lambda_j Y_{rj} - Y_{ro} + w_o)$$

$$= Var(\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq o}}^n \lambda_j Y_{rj} - Y_{ro})$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq o}}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq o}}^n \lambda_j \lambda_k Cov(Y_{rk}, Y_{rj})$$

$$-2\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq o}}^n \lambda_j Cov(Y_{rj}, Y_{ro}) + \tau_{ro}^2$$
(15)

The rank of the DMU under evaluation is determined by solving (12).

In order to determine the stochastic modified MAJ α –efficiency we consider the following theorem

THEOREM 5.1

For $\alpha = 0.5$. The inefficiency vs. efficiency classification of DMU₀ in the modified MAJ model (3) is the same as in the stochastic modified MAJ model (6).

PROOF.

If $\alpha = 0.5$ then $\Phi^{-1}(0.5) = 0$. Thus, the inefficiency vs. efficiency classification of DMU₀ in deterministic modified MAJ model (3) is the same as in stochastic modified MAJ model (6).

5. Numerical Example

In this section, a numerical example is presented to demonstrate the modeling idea and the effectiveness of the proposed method.

We apply the proposed stochastic modified MAJ model and stochastic super efficiency modified MAJ model for measuring the efficiency and ranking of 12 DMUs. Suppose that Y_{ri} , r = 1, 2 are the random outputs of DMU_i where have the normal distribution with parameters τ_{rj}^2 and γ_{rj} which are denoted with $Y_{rj} \sim N(\gamma_{rj}, \tau_{rj}^2)$. Moreover, suppose that X_{ij} , $i=1,\ 2$ are the random inputs of DMU_{j} where have the normal distribution with parameters σ_{ij}^2 and μ_{ij} which are denoted with

 $X_{ij} \sim N(\mu_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}^2)$.

Thus, by solving models(9) and (13) can be obtain the stochastic α -efficiency of DMUs and its rank. The data set for this example is shown in Table 1.

We run models (9) and (13) by means of GAMS software for all $\alpha \in \{0.1, 0.5, 0.67\}$ and the results are shown in Table 2.

There are a lot of number of the DMUs, which are α -efficient and thus, the rank of the DMU under evaluation is determined by solving the stochastic super efficiency modified MAJ model. Table 2 expresses that for a set of 12 DMUs, if $0 \le \alpha < \alpha' < \frac{1}{2}$ then the number of DMUs

Table 1.	The data set of the numerical example

$\overline{DMJ_{j}}$	Input 1	Input 2	Output 1	Output 2	
$DMJ_{_{1}}$	$X_{11} \sim N(20, 25)$	$X_{21} \sim N$ (25, 16)	$Y_{11} \sim N (1000, 100)$	$Y_{21} \sim N$ (900, 400)	
DMJ_2	$X_{12} \sim N(15, 4)$	$X_{22} \sim N$ (23, 18)	$Y_{12} \sim N$ (800, 200)	$Y_{22} \sim N$ (500, 450)	
DMJ_3	$X_{13} \sim N(10, 4)$	$X_{23} \sim N(9, 9)$	$Y_{13} \sim N$ (950, 400)	$Y_{23} \sim N$ (500, 450)	
DMJ_4	$X_{14} \sim N(18, 8)$	$X_{24} \sim N(10, 8)$	$Y_{14} \sim N$ (850, 500)	$Y_{24} \sim N$ (550, 430)	
DMJ_{5}	$X_{15} \sim N(17, 6)$	$X_{25} \sim N(18, 7)$	$Y_{15} \sim N$ (950, 550)	$Y_{25} \sim N$ (800, 100)	
DMJ_6	$X_{16} \sim N(16, 4)$	$X_{26} \sim N (19, 15)$	$Y_{16} \sim N (700, 520)$	$Y_{26} \sim N$ (600, 250)	
DMJ_7	$X_{17} \sim N(11, 9)$	$X_{27} \sim N$ (20, 14)	$Y_{17} \sim N$ (750, 700)	$Y_{27} \sim N$ (650, 230)	
$DMJ_{_{8}}$	$X_{18} \sim N (19, 20)$	$X_{28} \sim N(17, 4)$	$Y_{18} \sim N$ (850, 350)	$Y_{28} \sim N$ (830, 450)	
DMJ_9	$X_{19} \sim N (12, 10)$	$X_{29} \sim N (15, 17)$	$Y_{19} \sim N$ (600, 150)	$Y_{29} \sim N (580, 160)$	
DMJ_{10}	$X_{110} \sim N (13, 5)$	$X_{210} \sim N (10, 12)$	$Y_{110} \sim N$ (970, 300)	$Y_{210} \sim N$ (560, 400)	
DMJ_{11}	$X_{111} \sim N (16, 6)$	$X_{211} \sim N$ (12, 16)	$Y_{111} \sim N (780, 110)$	$Y_{211} \sim N$ (700, 350)	
DMJ_{12}	$X_{112} \sim N(9,4)$	$X_{212} \sim N(8,3)$	$Y_{112} \sim N$ (650, 90)	$Y_{212} \sim N$ (860, 310)	

Results of measuring the efficiency and ranking of DMUs

DMU_{i}	Efficiency	Super Efficiency	Ranking	Efficiency	Super Efficiency	Ranking	Efficiency	Super Efficiency	Ranking
	$\alpha = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.67$	$\alpha = 0.67$	$\alpha = 0.67$	$\alpha = 0.1$	$\alpha = 0.1$	$\alpha = 0.1$
$DMU_{_{1}}$	1	64.6364	1	-0.7820	59.6241	1	27.7153	79.4697	2
$DMU_{_2}$	1	51.0000	2	-0.3325	40.0767	2	28.8062	82.7769	1
$DMU_{_3}$	1	3.7160	5	0.2329	3.0141	4	4.8433	6.0304	6
$DMU_{_4}$	-0.3289	-0.3289	7	-0.5328	-1.2727	7	2.0944	2.2202	7
DMU_{5}	1	2.9339	6	-0.0707	1.2110	6	5.6635	8.0954	5
$DMU_{_{6}}$	-5.8106	-5.8106	12	-6.8016	-6.8016	12	-3.6277	-3.6277	12
DMU_{7}	-0.6611	-0.6611	8	-1.5401	-1.5401	8	1.3723	1.7217	9
$DMU_{_8}$	-1.3085	-1.3085	9	-2.5069	-2.5069	9	2.0589	2.0589	8
DMU_{9}	-2.0000	-2.0000	10	-3.1181	-3.1181	10	0.3723	0.3723	10
$DMU_{_{10}}$	1	4.8462	4	-0.1294	2.7005	5	7.3962	9.1336	4
DMU_{11}	-5.3428	-5.3428	11	-6.3447	-6.3447	11	-2.8452	-2.8452	11
DMU_{12}	1	11.0000	3	-0.0700	9.7650	3	5.1911	14.7714	3

 α' -efficient is less than or equal to the number of DMUs α – efficient. If $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \alpha' \le 1$ then the number of DMUs a' -efficient is greater than or equal to the number of DMUs α – efficient. Moreover, DMU_6 is the worst DMUs for each $\alpha \in \{0.1, 0.5, 0.67\}$.

6. Conclusion

The purpose of the classic DEA model is to evaluate the performance of a set of DMUs using deterministic inputs and outputs. In real-world scenarios, stochastic models may be better suited for DEA model when there is uncertainty associated with the inputs and/or outputs of DMUs. The stochastic inputs and outputs in DEA model are represented with random variables. This paper attempted to extend the modified MAJ model and develop a new model with the stochastic inputs and outputs. Therefore, we proposed a stochastic modified MAJ model for measuring the stochastic α -efficiency of DMUs which inputs and outputs following a normal distribution. Furthermore, we proposed a stochastic super efficiency modified MAJ model for ranking of DMUs by using stochastic modified MAJ model. Some basic concepts in statistics were stated and the concepts of stochastic α -efficient, α -inefficient are defined. Finally, a numerical example was used to demonstrate the capability of the proposed approach. This example was run in three cases of α and it was observed that the number of DMUs featured stochastic α -inefficient increases when the value of α increases for $0 \le \alpha < \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and also for $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \le 1$ the number of DMUs featured stochastic

7. References

1. Charnes A, Cooper WW, Rhodes E. Measuring the efficiency of decision making units. European Journal of Operational Research. 1978; 2:429-44.

 α -efficient increases when the value of α increases. The

approach of this research may be extended to some other

DEA models and other distributions the as well.

2. Banker RD, Charnes A, Cooper WW. Some Models for Estimating Technical and Scale Inefficiencies in Data Envelopment Analysis. Management Science. 1984; 30:1078-92.

- 3. Kordrostami S, Noveiri JSM. Evaluating the efficiency of decision making units in the presence of flexible and negative data. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2012; 5(12):78-84.
- 4. Kordrostami S, Azmayandeh O, Bakhoda Z, Shokri S. The new model in interval dynamic network DEA for parallel production systems; an illustration with Iranian banks. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2013; 6(1):44-53.
- 5. Shamsi R, Jahanshahloo GR, Mozaffari MR, Lotfi HF. Centralized resource allocation with MOLP structure. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2014; 7(9):1297-306.
- 6. Adler N, Friedman L, Sinuany-Stern Z. Review of ranking methods in the data envelopment analysis context. European Journal of Operational Research. 2002; 140:249-65.
- 7. Andersen P, Petersen NC. A procedure for ranking efficient units in data envelopment analysis. Management Science. 1993; 39: 1261-4.
- 8. Olesen OB, Petersen NC. Chance constrained efficiency evaluation. Management Science. 1995; 41:442-57.
- 9. Huang ZM, Li SX. Dominance stochastic models in data envelopment analysis. European Journal of Operational Research. 1996; 95:370-403.
- 10. Cooper WW, Deng H, Huang ZM. Li SX. Chance constrained programming approaches to technical efficiencies and inefficiencies in stochastic data envelopment analysis. Journal of the Operational Research Society. 2002; 53:1347-56.
- 11. Cooper WW, Lelas V, Sullivan DW. Chance-constrained programming and skewed distributions of matrix coefficients and applications to environmental regulatory activities. In: Cawrence, K.D, Kleinbore, R.K, editors. Science: in productivity, finance and management. Oxford: Elsevier Publishers; 2002.
- 12. Cooper WW, Deng H, Huang ZM, Li SX. Chance constrained programming approaches to congestion in stochastic data envelopment analysis. European Journal of Operational Research. 2003; 155:231-8.
- 13. Dibachi H, Behzadi MH, Izadikhah M. Stochastic multiplicative DEA model for measuring the efficiency and ranking of DMUs under VRS technology. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2014; 7(11):1765-73.
- 14. Saati MS, Angiz ZM, Jahanshahloo GR. A model for ranking decision making units in data envelopment analysis. Recrca operativa. 1999; 31:48-58.
- 15. Mehrabian S, Alirezaee MR, Jahanshahloo GR. A complete efficiency ranking of decision making units in data envelopment analysis. Computational optimization and applications. 1999; 4:261-6.