

## Influence of aquatic training on selected physical fitness variables among volleyball players

K. Kamalakkannan<sup>1</sup>, N.Vijayaragunathan<sup>2</sup> and R.Kalidasan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Director of Physical Education, Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai, TN, India.

<sup>2</sup>Director of Physical Education, Arts & Science College, Melasivapuri, TN, India.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physical Education, Bharathidasan University, Trichy, TN, India.

kkk\_kkkn@yahoo.co.in

### Abstract

The purpose of this study was to analyze the aquatic training on selected physical fitness variables among volleyball players. To achieve this, 40 physically active and interested undergraduate engineering volleyball players were selected as subjects and their age ranged between 18 and 20 years. The subjects were categorized into two groups namely control group, aquatic training group with each 20 subjects. The experimental group underwent the experimental treatment for 12 weeks, 3 days per week and a session on each day with 45 min duration. Speed, endurance and explosive power were taken as variables for this investigation. Fifty meters run, cooper 12 min run and standing vertical jump were used as test. The data collected prior and after the experimental treatment was analyzed using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). The result revealed that aquatic training group shows significant improvement in all the selected physical fitness variables.

**Keywords:** Aquatic training, speed, endurance, explosive power, volleyball, sports improvement

### Introduction

The benefits of aquatic exercise originate from the supportive nature of the water environment, muscular strengthening and toning of muscles which result from the resistive properties of water as a dense liquid. Water has several properties that make it an ideal environment for exercise. The buoyancy experienced in water reduces body weight and makes many exercises possible while reducing stress on joints. Buoyancy is the force that water applies in an upward direction against gravity. The buoyant force provided by water decreases the player's weight in relation to the degree of submersion and decreases the amount of force and joint compression during landing. The buoyancy effect of water makes aquatic training an optimal exercise environment for the players and individuals as impact and stress on joints is reduced (Gappmaier *et al.*, 2006). The buoyancy of water supports the submerged body from the downward pull of gravity, providing up to a 90% reduction in body weight (DiPrampero, 1986; Darby & Yaekle, 2000; Wilder & Brennan, 2004). Benefits of this buoyant effect include less stress and pressure on bone, muscle and connective tissue while the viscosity and drag force of water provides a resistance proportional to the exerted effort (Wilder & Brennan, 2004). When the velocity of movement doubles, the drag force produced by water quadruples providing a resistance training stimulus (Tsourlou *et al.*, 2006). As the density of water is approximately 800 times that of air (DiPrampero, 1986), the buoyant properties of water reduce forces on the musculoskeletal system thereby

decreasing the risk of overuse injuries such as tendonitis and stress fractures.

Aquatic training resulted in similar training effects as land-based training with a possible reduction in stress due to the reduction of impact afforded by the buoyancy and resistance of the water upon landing (Stemm & Jacobsen, 2007). Aquatic exercise does not worsen the joint condition or result in injury (Wang, 2006). The resistance of the water promotes strengthening. Water acts as a variable 'accommodating' resistance (Prins, 2009). An aquatic training programme can decrease compression forces, vibration forces and torsional forces that a player may ensure while training on land (Roswell, 2009). In recent years aquatic training became one of the most important training to improve the physical and physiological variables (Krishnan *et al.*, 2005). The purpose of this study was to analyze the aquatic training on selected physical fitness variables among volleyball players.

### Methods

Forty physically active and interested undergraduate engineering volleyball players were randomly selected as subjects and their age ranged between 18 and 20 years. The subjects are categorized into two groups namely control group (CG), aquatic training group (ATG) and each group had 20 subjects. The selected criterion variables speed was assessed by 50 m run; endurance was assessed by coopers 12 min run test and explosive power was assessed by standing vertical jump. The aquatic training group underwent the experimental

treatment for 12 weeks, 3 days/week and a session on each day with 45 min duration.

### Aquatic training

Warm-up exercise was performed in ground and water. The water level was just above the hip level. After that the aquatic training group performed the following aquatic exercises. 1. Single leg jump (alternative leg), 2. Double leg jump, 3. High knee action, 4. Walking and 5.

significant difference in pre and post test data of control and aquatic training groups. The analysis of adjusted post test mean data reveals that obtained 'F' value of 19.78 was greater than table 'F', hence there exist difference in speed among the CG and ATG groups.

Table 2 reveals that the pre and post test means and standard deviation of control and aquatic training groups on endurance. The obtained 'F' value for pre and post test means on speed was 0.09 and 1.12 respectively,

*Table 1. analysis of covariance for speed for control and aquatic training groups*

| Test                    | Control group (CG) | Aquatic training group (ATG) | Sources of variance | Sum of the squares | df | Means squares | F-ratio |
|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----|---------------|---------|
| Pre test                | 7.56               | 7.58                         | BG                  | 0.04               | 1  | 0.004         | 0.29    |
| Mean SD ( $\pm$ )       | 0.35               | 0.38                         | WG                  | 5.26               | 38 | 0.13          |         |
| Post test               | 7.52               | 7.27                         | BG                  | 0.62               | 1  | 0.62          | 3.90    |
| Mean SD ( $\pm$ )       | 0.32               | 0.46                         | WG                  | 6.07               | 38 | 0.16          |         |
| Adjusted post test mean | 7.52               | 7.26                         | BS                  | 0.72               | 1  | 0.72          | 19.78*  |
|                         |                    |                              | WS                  | 5.62               | 37 | 0.148         |         |

\* Significant at 0.05 level

Aerobic exercise. These exercises were performed for 45 min in a day and for 3 days/week. Pre and post test data were collected before and after 12 weeks of training. The collected data was analyzed using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA).

### Statistical analysis

The means and standard deviations of both control and aquatic training groups were calculated for speed, endurance and explosive power for the pre as well as post tests. The collected data was analyzed using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). ANCOVA was used to examine significance between testing groups (CG & ATG). Statistical significance was set to a priority at  $p < 0.05$ . All statistical tests were calculated using the statistical package for the social science (SPSS) for Windows (Version 15).

### Results

Table 1 show that the pre and post test means and standard deviation of control and aquatic training groups on speed. The obtained 'F' value for pre and post test means on speed was 0.29 and 3.90 respectively, which was lesser than table value of 4.09 for degree of freedom 1 and 38 at 0.05 level of confidence; hence there was no

which was lesser than table value of 4.09 for degree of freedom 1 and 38 at 0.05 level of confidence; hence there was no significant difference in pre and post test data of control and aquatic training groups. The analysis of adjusted post test mean data reveals that obtained 'F' value of 84.85 was greater than table 'F', hence there exist difference in endurance among the control group and aquatic training group.

Table 3 indicates that the pre and post test means and standard deviation of control and aquatic training groups on explosive power. The obtained 'F' value for pre and post test means on speed was 0.06 and 0.63 respectively, which was lesser than table value of 4.09 for degree of freedom 1 and 38 at 0.05 level of confidence; hence there was no significant difference in pre and post test data of control and aquatic training groups. The analysis of adjusted post test mean data reveals that obtained 'F' value of 63.66 was greater than table 'F', hence there exist difference in explosive power among the control group and aquatic training group.

### Discussion

Aquatic-based exercise intervention, the resistive properties of water provided a resistive stimulus

*Table 2. analysis of covariance for endurance for control and aquatic training groups*

| Test                    | Control group (CG) | Aquatic training group (ATG) | Sources of variance | Sum of the squares | df | Means squares | F-ratio |
|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----|---------------|---------|
| Pre test                | 2168.50            | 2145.00                      | BG                  | 5522.50            | 1  | 5522.50       | 0.09    |
| Mean SD ( $\pm$ )       | 224.66             | 252.03                       | WG                  | 2165955            | 38 | 56998.81      |         |
| Post test               | 2137.50            | 2212.50                      | BG                  | 56250.00           | 1  | 56250.00      | 1.12    |
| Mean SD ( $\pm$ )       | 203.15             | 243.04                       | WG                  | 19606550           | 38 | 50172.36      |         |
| Adjusted post test mean | 2126.59            | 2223.40                      | BS                  | 93485.17           | 1  | 93485.17      | 84.85*  |
|                         |                    |                              | WS                  | 140765.35          | 37 | 1101.76       |         |

\* Significant at 0.05 level

regardless of whether specific resistance training exercises are prescribed. An aquatic-based exercise demonstrated positive alterations in lower body strength. The majority of these studies suggest that adding deep-water running to an athlete's training regimen has the potential to increase fitness and ultimately improve performance (Burns & Lauder, 2001). The aquatic environment may be used to provide a workload sufficient to create fatigue and produce strength gains in both de-conditioned adults and trained athletes (Tsourlou *et al.*, 2006).

According to Evans (1978) the dual effects of buoyancy and resistance make possible high levels of energy expenditure with relatively little movement or strain on lower-joint extremities. Additionally, enhanced temperature regulation during water exercise makes this an ideal environment for obese individuals who have an

5. Gappmaier E, Lake W, Nelson AG and Fisher AG (2006) Aerobic exercise in water versus walking on land: Effects on Indices of fat reduction and weight loss of obese women. *J. Sports Med. Physical Fitness.* 46, 564-569.
6. Krishnan BA (2005) An investigation of the current status of aquatic physical activity in K-12 public school physical education programmes in the state of Florida. Sport management, Recreation Management & Physical Education Department. pp:10-14.
7. Martel GF, Harmer ML, Logan JM and Parker CB (2005) Aquatic plyometric training increases vertical jump in female volleyball players. *Med. Sci. Sports Exercises.* 37, 1814-1819.
8. Prins JH (2009) Aquatic rehabilitation. *Serbian J. Sport Sci.* 3(2), 45-51.
9. Roswell GJ, Aaron J, Coutts B, Reaburn P and

Table 3. Analysis of covariance for explosive power control and aquatic training groups

| Test                    | Control group (CG) | Aquatic training group (ATG) | Sources of variance | Sum of the squares | df | Means squares | F-ratio |
|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----|---------------|---------|
| Pre test                | 46.20              | 45.75                        | BG                  | 2.02               | 1  | 2.02          | 0.06    |
| Mean SD ( $\pm$ )       | 5.75               | 5.67                         | WG                  | 1240.95            | 38 | 32.65         |         |
| Post test               | 47.40              | 48.9                         | BG                  | 22.50              | 1  | 22.50         | 0.63    |
| Mean SD ( $\pm$ )       | 6.13               | 5.76                         | WG                  | 1346.60            | 38 | 35.43         |         |
| Adjusted post test mean | 47.16              | 49.13                        | BS                  | 38.54              | 1  | 38.54         | 63.66*  |
|                         |                    |                              | WS                  | 22.39              | 37 | 0.60          |         |

\* Significant at 0.05 level

increased risk of heat intolerance (Wallace, 2003). Martel (2005) demonstrated the ability to increase vertical jump in female volleyball players using specific aquatic plyometric training and these improvements could be accomplished with less muscle pain as well.

## Conclusion

Aquatic training group (ATG) showed significant improvement in all selected physical fitness variables namely speed, endurance and explosive power among volleyball players after aquatic based exercise training intervention. However the control group (CG) had not shown significant change in any of the selected variables.

## References

1. Burns AS and Lauder TD (2001) Deep water running: an effective non-weight bearing exercise for the maintenance of land-based running performance. *Milit. Med.* 166, 253-258.
2. Darby LA and Yaeke BC (2000) Physiological responses during two types of exercises performed on land and in the water. *J. Sports Med. Physical Fitness.* 40, 303-311.
3. DiPrampiero PE (1986) The energy cost of human locomotion on land and in water. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 7, 55-72.
4. Evans BW, Cureton KJ and Purvis JW (1978) Metabolic and circulatory responses to walking and jogging in water. *Res. Quarterly.* 49, 442-449.
5. Stephen Hill-Haas D (2009) Effects of cold-water immersion on physical performance between successive matches in high-performance junior male soccer players. *J. Sports Sci.* 27(6), 565-573.
10. Stemm JD and Jacobson BH (2007) Comparison of land and aquatic based plyometric training on vertical jump performance. *J. Strength Conditioning Res.* 21(2), 568-571.
11. Wang T, Basia Belza F, Thompson E, Joanne D Whitney and Bennett K (2006) Effects of aquatic exercise on flexibility, strength and aerobic fitness in adults with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. *J. Adv. Nursing.* 57(2), 141-152.
12. Tsourlou T, Benik A, Dipla K, Zafeiridis A and Kellies S (2006) the effects of a twenty-four week aquatic training programme on muscular strength performance in healthy women. *J. Strength Conditioning Res.* 20, 811-818.
13. Wallace (2003) Obesity. In: *ACSM's exercise management for persons with chronic diseases and disabilities* Durstine JL & Moore GE (Eds.), USA: Human kinetics. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). pp:149-156.
14. Wilder RP and Brennan D (2004) Aqua running. In: *Comprehensive aquatic therapy* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Cole AJ & Becker BE (Eds.), Philadelphia, USA: Butterworth Heinemann. pp:137-149.